1		BEFORE THE
2	CALIFORNIA	INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT BOARD
3		
4		
5 :	IN THE MATTER OF THE:	
6 I	REGULAR MONTHLY)
7 I	BUSINESS MEETING)
8 _		
9		
10	DATE AND TIME:	TUESDAY, DECEMBER 15, 1998
11		8800 CAL CENTER DRIVE
12		SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95826
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25	REPORTER:	DEANNE MEINBERG

Z	APPEARANCES
3	DANIEL G. PENNINGTON, CHAIRMAN
4	ROBERT C. FRAZEE, VICE CHAIRMAN
5	DAN EATON, BOARD MEMBER
б	STEVEN R. JONES, BOARD MEMBER
7	DAVID A. ROBERTI, BOARD MEMBER
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

INDEX

CALL TO ORDER	4
EX PARTE COMMUNICATIONS	6
REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR	19
CONTINUED BUSINESS	27
ITEM 1: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION ON THE BIENNIAL REVIEW FINDINGS FOR THE SOURCE REDUCTION AN]) RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR VARIOUS JURISDICTIONS	29
ITEM 2: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION ON THE BIENNIAL REVIEW FINDINGS FOR THE SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR VARIOUS JURISDICTIONS	44
ITEM 3: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION ON THE BIENNIAL REVIEW FINDINGS FOR THE HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE ELEMENT FOR VARIOUS JURISDICTIONS	60
ITEM 4: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION ON THE BIENNIAL REVIEW FINDINGS FOR THE SOURCE REDUCTION AN]) RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF HAWAIIAN GARDENS IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY	63
ITEM 5: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION ON THE BIENNIAL REVIEW FINDINGS FOR THE SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF HAWTHORNE	104
ITEM 6: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE BIENNIAL REVIEW FINDINGS FOR THE SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT AN]) THE HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE ELEMENT OF THE CITY OF COACHELLA IN RIVERSIDE COUNTY	122
ITEM 7: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE PREVIOUSLY CONDITIONALLY APPROVED SOURCE REDUCTION AN]) RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR THE SIERRA COUNTY REGIONAL AGENCY	159
ITEM 8: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS TO CORRECT THE BASE-YEAR DISPOSAL TONNAGE FOR THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED SOURCE REDUCTION AN]) RECYCLING ELEMENTS FOR THE CITIES OF CLOVIS, FOWLER, ORANGE COVE, PARLIER, REEDLEY, SANGER, SELMA AND FRESNO COUNTY	162
ITEM 9: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE FINAL SITING ELEMENT, SUMMARY PLAN AND THE	

COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR LAKE COUNTY	204
ITEM 10: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF POINT ARENA IN MENDOCINO COUNTY	208
ITEM 11: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE REVISED NONDISPOSAL FACILITY ELEMENT FOR THE UNINCORPORATED AREA OF SOLANO COUNTY	211
ITEM 12: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE AMENDED NONDISPOSAL FACILITY ELEMENT FOR THE SONOMA COUNTY WASTE MANAGEMENT AGENCY	214
ITEM 14: UPDATE ON THE PROGRESS OF THE STATEWIDE DISPOSAL CHARACTERIZATION STUDY CONTRACT AND CONSIDERATION OF POTENTIAL AMENDMENTS TO THE SCOPE OF WORK, AND POTENTIAL MODIFICATIONS TO AND AUGMENTATIONS OF THE CONTRACT	141
ITEM 15: CONSIDERATION AN]) APPROVAL OF STANDARDIZED PROCESS FOR ALL BOARD GRANT PROGRAMS	216
ITEM 18: CONSIDERATION OF APPOINTMENT/RENEWAL OF TWO LOAN COMMITTEE MEMBERS FOR THE RECYCLING MARKET DEVELOPMENT LOAN PROGRAM	257
ITEM 19: CONSIDERATION OF APPROVAL TO BEGIN THE 45-DAY COMMENT PERIOD FOR REGULATIONS PERTAINING TO FEDERAL SUB TITLE D FLEXIBILITIES FOR Municipal SOLID WASTE LANDFILLS RECEIVING 20 TONS OR LESS PER DAY	259
ITEM 24: CONSIDERATION AND APPROVAL OF THE 1999 ANNUAL RULEMAKING CALENDAR	264
ADJOURNMENT	268

INDEX

CALL TO ORDER	273
ROLL CALL	273
REPORTS AND PRESENTATIONS	274
ITEM 1: CONSIDERATION AND REDIRECTION OF FUNDING TO SUPPORT SPONSORSHIP OF THE CALIFORNIA HEARTLAND	
TELEVISION SERIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1998-1999	282
ITEM 26: RPPC CERTIFICATION PROCESS FOR 1996	327
ITEM 27: PUBLIC HEARING ON PROPOSED REGULATIONS FOR TUE FARM AND RANCH SOLID WASTE CLEANUP AND ABATEMENT GRANT PROGRAM ANT) CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS FOR REVISIONS, AND APPROVAL TO NOTICE A 15-DAY COMMENT PERIOD	407
PUBLIC HEARING ON PROPOSED REGULATIONS FOR THE SOLID WASTE DISPOSAL AND CODISPOSAL SITE CLEANUP PROGRAM, CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS FOR REVISIONS	
AND APPROVAL TO NOTICE A 15-DAY COMMENT PERIOD	442
ADJOURNMENT	480

- 1 AGENDA ITEM I: CALL TO ORDER
- 2 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: GOOD MORNING AND
- 3 WELCOME TO THE DECEMBER 15TH MEETING OF THE
- 4 CALIFORNIA INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT BOARD.
- 5 BEFORE WE GET STARTED I'D LIKE TO
- 6 INTRODUCE OUR NEWEST BOARD MEMBER, SENATOR
- 7 ROBERTI, WHO HAS JOINED THE BOARD AS THE
- 8 SENATE APPOINTEE, REPLACING MR. CHESBRO. AS
- 9 I'M SURE ALL OF YOU KNOW, SENATOR ROBERTI HAS
- 10 HAD A LONG, DISTINGUISHED CAREER IN THE
- 11 LEGISLATURE, AND SERVED AS THE PRESIDENT OF THE
- 12 SENATE FOR 13 YEARS. AND HE WAS A MAJOR
- 13 ADVOCATE OF ENVIRONMENTAL LEGISLATION, HE WAS
- 14 THE SENATE LEADER FOR THE AB 939.
- 15 I REMEMBER SENATOR ROBERTI AS WELL
- 16 FROM MY DAYS IN HOUSING, WHERE HE IS A VERY
- 17 STRONG
- 18 SUPPORTER OF LOW INCOME HOUSING.
- 19 IN FACT, I THINK JUST RECENTLY YOU
- 20 WERE NAMED THE HOUSING MAN OF THE YEAR BY THE --
- 21 WHAT WAS IT, THE EAST L.A. HOUSING --
- 22 SENATOR ROBERTI: NO, SOUTHERN
- 23 CALIFORNIA-24
- 24 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: SOUTHERN
- 25 CALIFORNIA, OKAY.

- 1 SENATOR ROBERTI: -- ASSOCIATION OF
- 2 NONPROFIT HOUSING.
- 3 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: GOOD, OKAY. SO,
- 4 WELCOME, SENATOR.
- 5 SENATOR ROBERTI: THANK YOU.
- 6 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: AND IT'S NICE TO
- 7 HAVE YOU ON THE BOARD WITH US.
- 8 AGENDA ITEM II: ROLL CALL AND DECLARATION OF
- 9 QUORUM
- 10 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: WILL THE
- 11 SECRETARY CALL THE ROLL PLEASE?
- 12 THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER EATON?
- 13 MEMBER EATON: HERE.
- 14 THE SECRETARY: FRAZEE?
- 15 MEMBER FRAZEE: HERE.
- 16 THE SECRETARY: JONES?
- 17 MEMBER JONES: HERE.
- 18 THE SECRETARY: RHOADS?
- 19 MEMBER RHOADS: HERE.
- THE SECRETARY: ROBERTI?
- 21 MEMBER ROBERTI: HERE.
- THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON?
- 23 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: HERE.
- 24 WE HAVE A QUORUM.
- 25 AGENDA ITEM III: OPENING REMARKS

- 1 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: EX PARTE
- 2 COMMUNICATIONS. WE'LL START WITH MR. EATON.
- 3 MEMBER EATON: I JUST HAVE ONE OTHER
- 4 THAN WHAT'S ALREADY BEEN INPUTTED, MR. CHAIR.
- 5 I JUST HAD A BRIEF CONVERSATION WITH MR. GEORGE
- 6 GOUGH, WHO IS THE NEW HEAD OF THE GOVERNMENTAL
- 7 RELATIONS FOR THE CALIFORNIA CATTLEMEN'S
- 8 ASSOCIATION THIS MORNING, JUST A BRIEF
- 9 GREETING.
- 10 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. THANK YOU.
- 11 MR. JONES?
- 12 MEMBER JONES: MR. CHAIRMAN, ONE NEW
- 13 REPORT FROM JERRY JAMGOTCHIAN FROM -- A
- 14 RESIDENT OF THE CITY OF HAWTHORNE. I ALSO MET
- 15 GEORGE GOUGH JUST A COUPLE OF MINUTES AGO AND
- 16 HAD A -- DIDN'T REALLY HAVE A DISCUSSION, JUST
- 17 MET HIM. AND ALSO HAD A QUICK EX PARTE WITH
- 18 EVAN EDGAR ON AB 739 AND BIENNIAL REVIEWS.
- 19 AND THAT'S IT.
- 20 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: VERY GOOD.
- 21 SENATOR ROBERTI?
- 22 MEMBER ROBERTI: YES. NO EX PARTES
- 23 THIS MORNING.
- 24 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. THANK YOU.
- 25 MR. RHOADS?

- 1 MEMBER RHOADS: NO, I HAVEN'T HAD ANY.
- 2 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. AND MR.
- 3 FRAZEE?
- 4 MEMBER FRAZEE: MINE ARE ALL RECORDED
- 5 IN THE RECORD.
- 6 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. AND I JUST
- 7 HAVE TWO. I HAVE A DECEMBER 14TH LETTER FROM
- 8 BOB DECOMIER, CITY OF HAWTHORNE, AND FROM
- 9 JERRY JAMGOTCHIAN CONCERNING THE CITY OF
- 10 HAWTHORNE MATTER, AS WELL.
- 11 THERE ARE SPEAKER SLIPS AT THE
- 12 TABLE IN THE BACK. IF ANYBODY WISHES TO ADDRESS
- 13 ANY ITEM BEFORE THE BOARD TODAY, PLEASE FILL OUT
- 14 A SPEAKER REQUEST FORM AND GET IT TO MARLENE
- 15 KELLY, WHO WILL GET IT TO ME, AND WE'LL MAKE
- 16 SURE THAT YOU GET AN OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK.
- 17 DUE TO THE NUMBER OF AGENDA ITEMS
- 18 TO BE PRESENTED TO THE BOARD DURING THIS
- 19 MEETING, I ASK ALL PERSONS PROVIDING TESTIMONY
- 20 TODAY TO BE AS CONCISE AND BRIEF AS POSSIBLE.
- 21 IF NECESSARY, I WILL LIMIT PUBLIC TESTIMONY TO
- 22 A MAXIMUM OF FIVE MINUTES.
- 23 OTHER ANNOUNCEMENTS. WE DO NOT
- 24 HAVE ANY AGENDA ITEMS TO BE HEARD UNDER THE
- 25 CONTINUING BUSINESS AGENDA ITEM OR THE CONSENT

- 1 CALENDAR.
- 2 THE FOLLOWING ITEMS HAVE BEEN
- 3 PULLED FROM TODAY'S AGENDA: THE CITY OF
- 4 WATERFORD FROM ITEM NUMBER ONE; THE CITY OF
- 5 FRESNO FROM ITEM NUMBER EIGHT; AND THE COMPLETE
- 6 AGENDA ITEMS NUMBERED 13, 17, 21, AND 22.
- 7 AGENDA ITEM 14, THE UPDATE ON THE
- 8 STATEWIDE DISPOSAL CHARACTERIZATION STUDY WILL
- 9 BE HEARD AT APPROXIMATELY 2:00 P.M. TODAY.
- 10 AGENDA ITEM 19, THE CONSIDERATION OF THE RMDZ
- 11 LOAN PROGRAM APPLICATIONS, WILL BE HEARD AT
- 12 APPROXIMATELY 3:00 TODAY. THE ADDENDUM AND
- 13 AGENDA ITEM 20 WILL BE HEARD TOMORROW.
- 14 AGENDA ITEM IV: REPORTS AND PRESENTATIONS
- 15 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: DO ANY OF THE
- 16 BOARD MEMBERS HAVE ANY REPORTS THAT THEY'D LIKE
- 17 TO BRING UP THIS MORNING?
- 18 MEMBER EATON: I JUST HAVE A COUPLE OF
- 19 BRIEF COMMENTS, AS WELL.
- 20 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: MR. EATON.
- 21 MEMBER EATON: FIRST OFF, I'D LIKE TO
- 22 ALSO WELCOME SENATOR ROBERTI TO THE BOARD. AND
- 23 IT'S NICE TO SEE THAT ONCE, AT LEAST IN MY
- 24 TENURE HERE, I BELIEVE WE A FULL COMPLEMENT OF
- 25 MEMBERS, AND THAT'S ALWAYS SOMETHING TO SEE.

- 1 AND AT THE RISK OF KNOWING SENATOR ROBERTI FOR
- 2 A NUMBER OF YEARS, I WILL MAKE MY REMARKS BRIEF
- 3 BECAUSE HE'S A -- IN ADDITION TO BEING A
- 4 TREMENDOUS PROBLEM-SOLVER, HE'S ALSO A VERY
- 5 MODEST AND HUMBLE PERSON, AND I THINK WORKS
- 6 BEST IN HIS OWN MANNER, AND I DON'T PRETEND TO
- 7 SPEAK FOR HIM. BUT, I WELCOME YOU, AND I LOOK
- 8 FORWARD TO MANY YEARS OF YOUR INTELLECT AND
- 9 YOUR ABILITY TO SOLVE PROBLEMS FOR THE STATE OF
- 10 CALIFORNIA. AND, SO WELCOME.
- 11 MEMBER ROBERTI: THANK YOU VERY MUCH,
- 12 MR. EATON.
- 13 MEMBER EATON: TWO, WITH REGARD TO THE
- 14 21ST CENTURY, BEFORE YOU GET TO IT, MR. CHAIR,
- 15 WHICH IS -- ALWAYS SEEMS TO START OFF THESE
- 16 MEETINGS, I'LL BEAT YOU TO THE PUNCH TODAY A
- 17 LITTLE BIT, AND LET YOU KNOW THAT I AM PLEASE
- 18 TO ANNOUNCE THAT WE HAVE CONFIRMED BOTH SENATOR
- 19 SHER AS WELL AS RAY ANDERSON FOR THAT
- 20 CONFERENCE AS OUR SPEAKERS.
- 21 IN ADDITION, YOU WILL BE HAPPY TO
- 22 KNOW THAT WITH REGARD TO THE SPECIAL
- 23 INVITATIONS THAT WENT OUT, I WOULD SAY THAT WE
- 24 ARE NEARLY 70 TO 80 PERCENT CONFIRMED, JUST OUT
- 25 OF THE BOX, IN THE FIRST WEEK OR TWO. SO THE

- 1 INTEREST IS QUITE HIGH. AND I THINK AS WE MOVE
- 2 CLOSER TO THAT DATE, WE'LL HAVE MORE AND MORE
- 3 INTEREST THAN HAS ALREADY BEEN EXPRESSED.
- 4 SO, I THINK THINGS ARE PROGRESSING
- 5 VERY WELL, LOGISTICS ARE WORKING QUITE WELL AS
- 6 WE MOVE DOWN. AND I THINK PEOPLE ARE VERY MUCH
- 7 INTERESTED, LOOKING AT THE AGENDA THAT HAS BEEN
- 8 PROPOSED, THE PEOPLE WHO WILL BE PARTICIPATING,
- 9 AND THE KINDS OF THINGS WE'LL BE DISCUSSING.
- 10 SO I THINK NEXT MONTH WE'LL HAVE A
- 11 REALLY GOOD CONFERENCE, AND I APPRECIATE THE
- 12 CONFIDENCE THAT OUR FELLOW BOARD MEMBERS HAVE
- 13 PUT IN MR. JONES AND MYSELF TO TRY AND BRING
- 14 THIS TO AHEAD.
- 15 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: VERY GOOD.
- 16 MR. JONES, DID YOU WANT TO MAKE A
- 17 PRESENTATION AT THIS POINT?
- 18 MEMBER JONES: I DID. I'VE GOT A
- 19 COUPLE OF THINGS, MR. CHAIRMAN.
- 20 FIRST I WANT TO -- ON THE AMERICA
- 21 RECYCLES DAY EVENTS THAT WENT ON, THE -- FOR
- 22 THOSE OF YOU IN THE AUDIENCE THAT KNOW, THE
- 23 BOARD WAS ONE OF THE SPONSORS OF THE SECOND
- 24 ANNUAL AMERICA RECYCLES DAY EVENT. WE'VE GOTTEN
- 25 IN A LOT OF THE INFORMATION AS TO THE SUCCESS.

- 1 WE'VE ACTUALLY DOUBLED THE AMOUNT
- 2 OF EVENTS THAT HAPPENED STATEWIDE IN THE STATE
- 3 OF CALIFORNIA, WHICH WAS -- EXCEEDED OUR GOAL.
- 4 WE ALSO MORE THAN DOUBLED THE
- 5 AMOUNT OF HITS ON THE WEB PAGES. PEOPLE WERE
- 6 ACTIVELY INVOLVED. AND I JUST, AGAIN, WANT TO
- 7 THANK ALL THE BOARD MEMBERS AND ALL THE STAFF
- 8 THAT WORKED SO HARD ON PUTTING THOSE
- 9 CERTIFICATES TOGETHER, AND ALL THE PEOPLE THAT
- 10 PARTICIPATED AS SPONSORS.
- 11 THEY'RE ACTUALLY HAVING THE LAST
- 12 MEETING OF AMERICA RECYCLES DAY FOR THIS YEAR
- 13 IN I THINK JANUARY 28TH, AND THEY'LL SET THE
- 14 AGENDA FOR NEXT YEAR.
- 15 I DO THINK, THOUGH, MR. CHAIRMAN,
- 16 BECAUSE WE HAD PUT THE CERTIFICATES -- WE WERE
- 17 GOING TO GIVE OUT CERTIFICATES FOR THOSE CITIES
- 18 AND COUNTIES THAT HAD MET THE FIRST PART OF THE
- 19 MANDATE AND AWARD THOSE AT THOSE EVENTS, THAT
- 20 MAYBE FOR THE NEXT MEETING WE COULD HAVE A
- 21 DISCUSSION ON HOW WE WANT TO DEAL WITH THE REST
- 22 OF THOSE PLAQUES.
- 23 IT WAS CRITICAL THAT WE TIED THOSE
- 24 TO EVENTS SO THAT PEOPLE -- THE MESSAGE GOT OUT
- 25 TO THE PEOPLE THAT WERE PARTICIPATING, NOT JUST

- 1 A CITY COUNCIL CHAMBER THAT MAYBE HAD FIVE
- 2 COUNCIL MEMBERS AND SOME STAFF, AND TWO OR
- 3 THREE CONCERNED CITIZENS. SO, I THINK WE
- 4 REALLY NEED TO THINK ABOUT PROMOTING THE
- 5 MESSAGE THROUGH THOSE CERTIFICATES. AND MAYBE
- 6 IN NEXT MONTH'S -- ONE OF NEXT MONTH'S AGENDAS
- 7 WE COULD HAVE A DISCUSSION ON THAT, SINCE WE'VE
- 8 GOT QUITE A FEW PLAQUES NOW THAT HAVE BEEN
- 9 CREATED THAT WE NEED TO GET OUT, AND WE NEED TO
- 10 FIGURE OUT WHAT MAKES SENSE.
- 11 THERE ARE 536 CITIES AND COUNTIES,
- 12 AND I DON'T THINK WE WANT TO MAKE VISITS TO ALL
- 13 OF THOSE. SO, WE NEED TO FIGURE SOMETHING OUT.
- 14 AND THEN, MR. CHAIRMAN, I DO HAVE A
- 15 PRESENTATION THAT I NEED TO MAKE TO YOU.
- 16 JUVENILE DIABETES FOUNDATION, WHICH THE BOARD
- 17 ALSO -- BOARD STAFF HAVE BEEN BIG SUPPORTERS
- 18 OF, AND BIG WALKERS....
- 19 WE RECEIVED A SILVER-LEVEL
- 20 ACHIEVEMENT AWARD FOR RAISING ALMOST \$3500 FOR
- 21 THE ONE-DAY EVENT. AND I WANT TO THANK THE
- 22 TEAM LEADERS, CAREN TRGOVCICH, KARIN FISH,
- 23 SELMA LINDRUD, BOB ANDERSON, MIKE KEFFER,
- 24 BRENDA NIEMEYER, KAY WILSON, TABATHA WILMAN,
- 25 AND HEATHER BENTLEY, JEANNINE BAKULICH, WHO

- 1 DID A LOT OF THE COORDINATION FROM MY OFFICE.
- 2 I WANT TO THANK THOSE FOLKS.
- 3 AND, MR. CHAIRMAN, I'D LIKE TO
- 4 PRESENT THIS PLAQUE FROM THE JUVENILE DIABETES
- 5 FOUNDATION WALK TO CURE DIABETES SEVERAL
- 6 SILVER-LEVEL ACHIEVEMENT AWARD TO THE
- 7 CALIFORNIA WASTE MANAGEMENT BOARD, SACRAMENTO
- 8 VALLEY CHAPTER, FOR OUTSTANDING TEAM
- 9 PERFORMANCE IN THE WALK TO CURE DIABETES.
- 10 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: THANK YOU.
- 11 MEMBER JONES: SO, I THINK EVERYBODY
- 12 NEEDS TO CONGRATULATE -- (APPLAUSE).
- 13 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: ON BEHALF OF THE
- 14 BOARD I THANK YOU. AND I WANT TO TELL YOU THAT
- 15 YOUR TEAM DID AN OUTSTANDING JOB IN
- 16 COORDINATING THIS AND BRINGING IT TO FRUITION.
- 17 AS WELL AS THE EFFORTS THAT YOU DID
- 18 ON AMERICA'S RECYCLING DAY AND THAT EFFORT,
- 19 WHICH WAS REALLY A HERCULEAN EFFORT, SINCE
- 20 THERE WERE SO MANY JURISDICTIONS INVOLVED.
- 21 AND THERE'S A LOT OF PEOPLE LEAVING
- 22 GOVERNMENT, MAYBE WE COULD SEND THEM OUT BACK
- 23 HOME WITH SUPPLIES. BUT, THANK YOU.
- 24 MEMBER JONES: THANK YOU.
- 25 MEMBER RHOADS: MR. CHAIRMAN, I HAVE AN

- 1 ANNOUNCEMENT.
- 2 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: YES, MR. RHOADS.
- 3 MEMBER RHOADS: FIRST, I TOO WOULD LIKE
- 4 TO SEND MY WELCOMES TO SENATOR ROBERTI. I
- 5 WORKED NOT WITH HIM, BUT KIND OF ON THE OTHER
- 6 SIDE OF THE AISLE DURING THE BUDGET
- 7 NEGOTIATIONS FOR MANY, MANY YEARS IN
- 8 THE LEGISLATURE, AND IT WAS ALWAYS A PLEASURE
- 9 DEALING WITH THE SENATOR.
- 10 I THINK STAFF HERE WILL FIND THE
- 11 SENATOR TO BE A VERY, VERY GOOD BOARD MEMBER.
- 12 AND, I HAVE ALREADY HEARD COMPLIMENTS FROM
- 13 STAFF ABOUT THE OUESTIONS AND THE CONCERNS THAT
- 14 YOU HAVE ALREADY EXPRESSED. SO, I JUST WANT TO
- 15 SEND MY CONGRATULATIONS.
- 16 ON ANOTHER MATTER, I'D LIKE TO
- 17 REPORT ABOUT A VISIT THAT I MADE TO TULARE
- 18 COUNTY WITH CARA MORGAN, DIANE I MAY PRONOUNCE
- 19 HER NAME.
- 20 DON'T HELP ME, PAT -- SHIMIZU, AND HEIDI SANBORN. WE
- 21 VISITED ALL -- MANY, MANY OF THE FACILITIES AND
- 22 THE COUNTY GOVERNMENTS, SUCH AS DINUBA, EXETER,
- 23 TULARE CITY --
- 24 MEMBER EATON: DINUBA.
- 25 MEMBER RHOADS: THANK YOU.

- 1 MEMBER EATON: SINCE YOU'RE GOING TO SEND
- 2 ME THERE, MR. RHOADS, I'M GOING TO --
- 3 MEMBER RHOADS: I'LL GET TO THAT IN A
- 4 MINUTE --
- 5 MEMBER RHOADS: I LITERALLY
- 6 MISPRONOUNCED THAT --
- 7 MEMBER EATON: I'VE BEEN PRACTICING.
- 8 MEMBER RHOADS: AND A CHROME RUBBER
- 9 FACILITY, THE SOLID WASTE TECHNICAL ADVISORY
- 10 COMMITTEE, THE JPA, AND MANY, MANY OTHER
- 11 PLACES.
- 12 IT WAS GREAT TO SEE THE STAFF GET
- 13 OUT THERE, PROVIDE SERVICES TO A TARGETED AREA
- 14 LIKE TULARE COUNTY, AND THE RECEPTION THAT WE
- 15 RECEIVED FROM THE VARIOUS PEOPLE IN THE FIELD
- 16 WAS VERY, VERY GREAT, AND VERY, VERY
- 17 APPRECIATIVE. I HAVE THIS LITTLE MEMO THAT
- 18 DESCRIBES THE TRIP AND TALKS ABOUT THE VARIOUS
- 19 PEOPLE WE SAW.
- 20 AND ONE OF THE THINGS WE EMPHASIZED
- 21 WAS THE TEAM APPROACH. WE NOT ONLY HAD PEOPLE
- 22 FROM THE TARGETED ASSISTANCE GROUP, WE HAD
- 23 PEOPLE FROM THE RMDZ, PEOPLE FROM ORGANICS,
- 24 PEOPLE FROM PUBLIC EDUCATION, PEOPLE FROM THE
- 25 PUBLIC INFORMATION AREA, AND PEOPLE FROM THE

- 1 PERMITTING AND ENFORCEMENT PROGRAM.
- 2 SO, AND I THINK IT WAS VERY, VERY
- 3 NICE THAT A BOARD MEMBER WAS ALSO ABLE TO BE
- 4 THERE, BECAUSE IT WAS KIND OF A TOTAL TEAM
- 5 REPRESENTING THE TOTAL BOARD IN PROVIDING
- 6 SERVICES AND HELP TO AN AREA THAT WE'RE
- 7 CONCERNED ABOUT, THEIR MEETING THEIR 2000 YEAR
- 8 GOALS. AND THE STAFF, I MIGHT ADD, JUST DID AN
- 9 EXCELLENT JOB AND WAS VERY, VERY WELL RECEIVED
- 10 BY THE LOCAL COMMUNITY.
- 11 AND I DID TELL THEM THAT DAN EATON
- 12 HAS A REAL SOFT SPOT IN HIS HEART FOR TULARE
- 13 COUNTY, SINCE HE USED TO WORK FOR CRUZ
- 14 BUSTAMONTE AND -- AND WHO CAME FROM -- LET'S
- 15 SEE. CAN YOU PRONOUNCE THIS AGAIN FOR ME, MR.
- 16 EATON?
- 17 MEMBER EATON: TULARE.
- 18 MEMBER RHOADS: NO, NO, NO.
- 19 MEMBER EATON: DINUBA, WHICH IS JUST A
- 20 LITTLE WEST OF TRANQUILITY, CALIFORNIA.
- 21 MEMBER RHOADS: AND I ALSO OFFERED ALL
- 22 THESE PLAQUES THAT WE HAVE MADE UP THAT STEVE
- 23 JONES WAS MENTIONING, THAT WE'D BE VERY, VERY
- 24 GLAD TO HAVE ANY TYPE OF PRESS EVENTS THAT THEY
- 25 WOULD WANT.

- 1 AND SO DINUBA SAID, ON THE 26TH OF
- 2 JANUARY, THAT A PRESENTATION TO THE CITY
- 3 COUNCIL WOULD BE MOST APPROPRIATE, ON THE FACT
- 4 THAT THEY EXCEEDED THEIR 25 PERCENT, 1995. AND
- 5 I WAS NOT TOO SURE I WAS GOING TO BE HERE ON THE
- 6 26TH, BUT JUST IN CASE I'M NOT, I DID OFFER DAN
- 7 EATON TO FILL IN FOR ME AND GIVE THAT
- 8 PRESENTATION. SO -- AND HE'S OBVIOUSLY VERY
- 9 THRILLED TO DO THAT.
- 10 50, I ALSO WANT TO ADD THAT -- AND I
- 11 LISTED IN THE MEMO -- THAT IN THE MONTH OF
- 12 JANUARY THERE ARE VISITATIONS TO ORANGE COUNTY,
- 13 AND TO MONTEREY AND TO SANTA CRUZ. AND I WOULD
- 14 URGE THE BOARD MEMBERS THAT THEY MIGHT WANT TO
- 15 THINK ABOUT GOING OUT WITH THE GROUP, BECAUSE
- 16 IT IS REALLY A GREAT EXPERIENCE, AND A GREAT
- 17 LEARNING EXPERIENCE FOR US.
- 18 THE STAFF WILL BE SELECTING NEW
- 19 TARGETED AREAS IN THE MONTH OF JANUARY, AND
- 20 THEY WILL LET US KNOW WHAT THE SCHEDULE IS FOR
- 21 FEBRUARY. AND I THINK IF WE CAN GET OUT THERE,
- 22 SUPPORT THE STAFF, AND SUPPORT THE LOCAL
- 23 COMMUNITIES, IT'S REALLY A PLUS FOR THE
- 24 COMMISSION. THANK YOU.
- 25 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: THANK YOU, MR.

- 1 RHOADS.
- 2 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
- 3 MEMBER FRAZEE: YES, IF I COULD, MR.
- 4 CHAIRMAN?
- 5 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: MR. FRAZEE.
- 6 MEMBER FRAZEE: YES, MR. CHAIRMAN. I,
- 7 TOO, WANTED TO WELCOME SENATOR ROBERTI TO THIS
- 8 BODY. THERE IS GOING TO BE A BRIEF OVERLAP
- 9 WITH HIS COMING ON THE BOARD AND MY RETIREMENT.
- 10 SO THAT'S A BIT OF A PLEASURE FOR ME TO HAVE
- 11 AT LEAST A COUPLE OF MONTHS AGAIN TO SERVE WITH
- 12 SENATOR ROBERTI.
- 13 I SERVED IN THE LEGISLATURE DURING
- 14 HIS TENURE AS PRESIDENT PRO TEM OF THE SENATE,
- 15 AND HAVE ALWAYS KNOWN HIM TO BE A GENTLEMAN, AN
- 16 EVEN-HANDED, THOUGHTFUL INDIVIDUAL, AND I'M
- 17 SURE HE'S GOING TO BRING THAT FORWARD TO THE
- 18 BOARD.
- 19 SENATOR, IF YOU CAN OVERCOME THE
- 20 CHEAP SHOTS BY THOSE IN THE MEDIA WHO INDICATE
- 21 THAT THIS IS A PLACE FOR RETIRED LEGISLATURES
- 22 TO REST AND RELAX BEFORE THEY REALLY GO INTO
- 23 RETIREMENT, LET ME REASSURE YOU THAT'S NOT THE
- 24 CASE. THAT I WORKED-
- 25 SENATOR ROBERTI: I'M USED TO CHEAP

- 1 SHOTS.
- 2 MEMBER FRAZEE: YEAH, RIGHT. I THINK
- 3 THAT I HAVE WORKED AS HARD, IF NOT HARDER, IN
- 4 THIS JOB THAN I DID DURING MY 16 YEARS IN THE
- 5 LEGISLATURE. AND IT'S A FULL-TIME JOB IN SPITE
- 6 OF THE -- AGAIN, THE CHEAP SHOTS THAT SAY
- 7 PRETTY GOOD PAY FOR A BOARD THAT MEETS ONCE A
- 8 MONTH.
- 9 AND LET ME -- IF YOU HAVEN'T
- 10 ALREADY FOUND OUT, IT'S A LOT MORE THAN ONCE A
- 11 MONTH, AND IT REQUIRES A GREAT DEAL OF STUDY
- 12 AND WORK, AND SITE VISITS, AND WORKING WITH
- 13 THIS GREAT STAFF THAT WE HAVE. I THINK THAT'S
- 14 ONE OF THE PLEASURES OF THIS JOB, IS THIS
- 15 ABSOLUTELY FANTASTIC STAFF THAT WE HAVE THAT
- 16 ARE DEDICATED. SO, I LOOK FORWARD TO AT LEAST
- 17 MY TWO MONTHS WITH YOU.
- 18 SENATOR ROBERTI: THANK YOU.
- 19 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. NEXT WE'LL
- 20 MOVE TO THE REPORT FROM THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR,
- 21 MR. CHANDLER.
- MR. CHANDLER: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN,
- 23 AND GOOD MORNING MEMBERS. AND A HAPPY HOLIDAY
- 24 SEASON TO ALL OF YOU. AND CERTAINLY ON BEHALF
- 25 OF THE STAFF, SENATOR ROBERTI, I ALSO WANT TO

- 1 WELCOME YOU TO THE BOARD.
- 2 THIS MORNING I WOULD LIKE TO REPORT
- 3 ON SEVERAL ITEMS, STARTING WITH A COUPLE OF
- 4 IMPORTANT TIRE-RELATED ISSUES.
- 5 FIRST OF ALL, I'D LIKE TO UPDATE
- 6 YOU ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF SOME OF THE
- 7 BOARD'S TIRE MARKET DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS. THE
- 8 BOARD HAS RECEIVED 18 SCRAP TIRE AMNESTY DAY
- 9 GRANT APPLICATIONS TOTALING IN EXCESS OF
- 10 \$207,000, AND 51 PLAYGROUND COVER GRANT
- 11 APPLICATIONS TOTALING IN EXCESS OF \$1 MILLION.
- 12 AS YOU'LL RECALL, THE BOARD ALLOCATED 150,000
- 13 FOR THE AMNESTY DAY PROGRAM, AND 300,000 FOR
- 14 THE PLAYGROUND COVER PROGRAM. APPLICATION
- 15 REVIEW PANELS ARE NOW BEING ORGANIZED BY STAFF
- 16 TO BEGIN THE EVALUATION AND RANKING PROCESS.
- 17 ALSO ON THE SUBJECT OF TIRES, THE
- 18 FIRST PHASE OF PREPARING FOR THE AB 117 REPORT
- 19 TO THE LEGISLATURE HAS BEEN COMPLETED. STAFF
- 20 PROVIDED STAKEHOLDERS WITH A NUMBER OF WAYS TO
- 21 GIVE INPUT INTO THE PROCESS, CULMINATING WITH
- 22 MAJOR PUBLIC WORKSHOPS ON DECEMBER 8TH AND
- 23 10TH. BOARD MEMBER JONES AND BOARD MEMBER
- 24 RHOADS ATTENDED THE DECEMBER 8TH WORKSHOP IN
- 25 DIAMOND BAR, AND MEMBERS PENNINGTON AND JONES

- 1 ATTENDED THE DECEMBER 10TH WORKSHOP HERE AT THE
- 2 BOARD.
- 3 THE EFFORT SO FAR HAS RECEIVED
- 4 SUPPORT FROM THE PUBLIC AND PARTICIPATION FROM
- 5 ALL STAKEHOLDER AREAS. THE STRONGEST MESSAGE
- 6 WE'VE RECEIVED TO DATE IS THE NEED FOR A STRONG
- 7 ENFORCEMENT PROGRAM. NUMEROUS OTHER ISSUES AND
- 8 CONCERNS HAVE BEEN NOTED AND WILL BE ADDRESSED
- 9 IN THE DRAFT REPORT.
- 10 THE NEXT PHASE OF THE PROCESS WILL
- 11 BE TO COMPLETE THE EVALUATION OF CURRENT BOARD
- 12 PROGRAMS, AND THE REVIEW OF PROGRAMS FROM OTHER
- 13 STATES. THE DRAFT REPORT SHOULD BE READY BY
- 14 LATE FEBRUARY AND WILL INCLUDE RECOMMENDATIONS
- 15 BASED ON PUBLIC INPUT, EVALUATION OF CURRENT
- 16 PROGRAMS, AND LESSONS LEARNED IN OTHER STATES.
- 17 AND ANOTHER IMPORTANT AREA, A
- 18 NUMBER OF BILLS WERE INTRODUCED LAST WEEK AS
- 19 THE LEGISLATURE BEGAN ITS NEW SESSION.
- 20 ONE IN PARTICULAR I'D LIKE TO BRING
- 21 TO YOUR ATTENTION IS SUBPOENA 46 BY SENATOR
- 22 SHEARER. THIS BILL IS AN URGENCY MEASURE THAT,
- 23 IF ENACTED, WOULD REQUIRE THAT FROM NOW ON ONE
- 24 OF THE TWO PUBLIC MEMBERS APPOINTED BY THE
- 25 GOVERNOR HAVE A BACKGROUND IN LOCAL GOVERNMENT

- 1 IN THE SAME WAY AS THE ENVIRONMENTAL AND
- 2 INDUSTRY APPOINTEES CURRENTLY HAVE.
- 3 THE BILL WOULD REQUIRE THIS LOCAL
- 4 GOVERNMENT MEMBER TO HAVE SERVED AS AN ELECTED
- 5 MEMBER OF A CITY COUNCIL OR A COUNTY BOARD OF
- 6 SUPERVISORS, OR AS A MAYOR FOR AT LEAST ONE
- 7 TERM WITHIN TWO YEARS OF HIS OR HER APPOINTMENT
- 8 TO THE BOARD.
- 9 THE BILL WOULD ALSO REQUIRE THE
- 10 BOARD TO DEVELOP A REVISED MODEL SOURCE
- 11 REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT BY JULY 1ST OF
- 12 NEXT YEAR. OUR LEGISLATIVE AND EXTERNAL
- 13 AFFAIRS OFFICE WILL FOLLOW THE PROGRESS OF THIS
- 14 BILL AND KEEP YOU CLOSELY INFORMED.
- 15 MY LAST AREA WAS TO SPEAK BRIEFLY
- 16 TO THE TULARE COUNTY WORK. I THINK MEMBER
- 17 RHOADS HAS DONE AN EXCELLENT JOB OF THAT
- 18 OVERVIEW. HOWEVER, I WOULD LIKE TO ADD THAT AS
- 19 PART OF THE TRIP STAFF ALSO FINISHED THE SITE
- 20 VISITS WITH THE TULARE JPA, WHICH REPRESENTED
- 21 THE CITIES OF DINUBA, VISALIA, PORTERVILLE,
- 22 LINDSEY AND TULARE.
- 23 STAFF LEARNED THAT THE JPA WAS
- 24 PLANNING ON GOING OUT TO BID FOR AN ASSESSMENT
- 25 OF ITS WASTE MANAGEMENT PROGRAM, AND RECEIVED

- 1 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR REACHING ITS DIVERSION
- 2 GOALS. INSTEAD, OUR TARGETED ASSISTANT TEAM
- 3 OFFERED TO CONDUCT THE NEEDS ASSESSMENT AND
- 4 PROVIDE BOARD TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE TO HELP
- 5 ACHIEVE THESE RESULTS, WHICH WILL SAVE THE
- 6 TULARE JPA THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS.
- 7 THIS IS THE KIND OF RESULTS WE ARE
- 8 TRYING TO ACHIEVE IN HELPING OUR PARTNERS AT
- 9 THE LOCAL LEVEL TO HELP MEET AND EXCEED THEIR
- 10 WASTE DIVERSION MANDATES. AND I'M PLEASED TO
- 11 SHARE THIS SUCCESS STORY WITH YOU.
- 12 THAT CONCLUDES MY REPORT. AND, ID
- 13 BE HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS YOU MAY HAVE.
- 14 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: QUESTIONS?
- 15 MEMBER JONES: MR. CHAIRMAN?
- 16 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: YES, MR. JONES.
- 17 MR. CHANDLER: THERE IS SOMETHING
- 18 I FORGOT TO ADD IN MY TALK ABOUT
- 19 THE TULARE COUNTY. THERE WAS ONE THING THAT WE
- 20 DID THAT WAS MISSING, AND THAT WAS VISITING THE
- 21 LOCAL LEGISLATORS' DISTRICT OFFICES AND INFORM
- 22 THEM OF THE WORK THAT WE WERE DOING THERE AND
- 23 THE REASON THAT WE WERE COMING.
- 24 AND, ALSO, WHEN THERE ARE POTENTIAL
- 25 PROBLEMS. AND THERE WAS ONE AREA THAT WE WERE

- 1 CONCERNED ABOUT IN THE COUNTY THAT WOULD HAVE
- 2 BEEN VERY, VERY APPROPRIATE TO INFORM THE
- 3 LEGISLATURE OF THOSE DIFFICULTIES.
- 4 AND RALPH HAS TAKEN IT UPON HIMSELF
- 5 TO MAKE SURE THAT, IN FUTURE MEETINGS, WHEN WE
- 6 GO VISIT TARGETED AREAS AND OFFER THEIR SUPPORT,
- 7 THAT WE INFORM THE SACRAMENTO OFFICES
- 8 AND SPEAK TO THE LEGISLATURES, LET THEM KNOW
- 9 WHAT WE'RE DOING.
- 10 AND THEN I--AND, ALSO, WHEN OUR
- 11 TEAMS GO OUT THERE, TO MAKE A POINT OF VISITING
- 12 THE DISTRICT OFFICES, AND LETTING THEM KNOW THE
- 13 VARIOUS FUNCTIONS AND THE THINGS THAT WE'RE
- 14 DOING, AND THE SUPPORT THAT WE'RE GIVING. AND
- 15 THERE'S MANY, MANY WAYS THAT THEY CAN ALSO AID
- 16 AND HELP US. SO, I'D JUST LIKE TO ADD THAT
- 17 PARTICULAR POINT.
- 18 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: VERY GOOD, THANK
- 19 YOU.
- 20 MEMBER JONES: MR. CHAIRMAN?
- 21 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: YES, MR. JONES.
- 22 MEMBER JONES: ON MR. CHANDLER'S
- 23 SHARING THAT INFORMATION, THAT ON THE TIRE
- 24 AMNESTY DAYS THERE WERE, WHAT 200 AND --
- 25 MR. CHANDLER: TWO HUNDRED AND SEVEN

- 1 THOUSAND IN APPLICATIONS, 150 ALLOCATED, AND IN
- 2 EXCESS OF A MILLION ON THE PLAYGROUND
- 3 APPLICATIONS WITH, I THINK, 300,000 ALLOCATED.
- 4 MEMBER JONES: ON THE TIRE AMNESTY DAY
- 5 PART OF THAT, WHILE WE'RE PUTTING MONEY OUT TO
- 6 TRY TO GET ABANDONED TIRES TO OUR SITE, TO
- 7 COLLECTION SITES, OUR LAW SAYS THAT YOU CAN
- 8 ONLY HAUL FIVE TIRES AT A TIME. SO, I THINK
- 9 THAT WE NEED TO -- AND THAT'S BY STATUTE.
- 10 SO, I THINK WE NEED TO PROBABLY
- 11 WORK OUT WHATEVER REMEDY WE'RE GOING TO HAVE.
- 12 AND I DON'T THINK VARIANCE IS A REMEDY,
- 13 PERSONALLY, I THINK WE NEED TO GET A PLACARD OR
- 14 SOMETHING SO THE HIGHWAY PATROL CAN CONTINUE TO
- 15 ENFORCE THOSE LAWS.
- 16 BUT, I THINK WE NEED TO MOVE THAT
- 17 QUICKLY. WE'RE GOING TO HAVE MONEY TO GIVE
- 18 OUT, BUT WE'RE GOING TO LIMIT PEOPLE TO FIVE
- 19 TIRES PER TRIP AND THAT MAY NOT ACHIEVE WHAT WE
- 20 WANT TO ACHIEVE. SO, BECAUSE IT'S IN STATUTE, I
- 21 THINK IT'S GOING TO TAKE SOME TIME TO CHANGE.
- 22 BUT, I THINK WE'VE GOT TO GET IT TO THE TOP OF
- 23 THE PAGE.
- 24 AND THEN, IF YOU'LL JUST INDULGE ME
- 25 FOR A SECOND, SENATOR ROBERTI? I'M GLAD YOU'RE

- 1 HERE, TOO, BELIEVE ME. YOU AND I HAD HAD A
- 2 BRIEF CONVERSATION, BUT I WAS DOING
- 3 MY THINGS, AND I WANTED YOU TO KNOW HOW HAPPY I
- 4 AM THAT YOU'RE GOING TO BE PART OF THIS BOARD.
- 5 AND EVERYTHING THAT MY COLLEAGUES HAVE SAID,
- 6 AND EVERYTHING WE'VE HEARD, THAT I THINK IT'S
- 7 GOING TO BE A GREAT ADDITION TO THIS BOARD, SO.
- 8 MEMBER EATON: MR. CHAIR? WHEN WILL THE
- 9 AMNESTY DAYS -- HAVE THEY BEEN SET YET,
- 10 TIMING-WISE? AND IF THEY HAVEN'T, THAT'S FINE TOO. I
- 11 WAS JUST....
- 12 MR. CHANDLER: I DON'T HAVE IT IN FRONT
- 13 OF ME TODAY, SO --
- 14 MEMBER EATON: THEY'RE SOMETIME IN THE
- 15 SPRING, THOUGH, AREN'T THEY?
- 16 MR. CHANDLER: -- BUT I'M SURE....
- 17 PERHAPS, CAREN TRGOVCACH, WHEN YOU
- 18 COME FORWARD LATER IN THESE TWO DAYS OF BOARD
- 19 MEETING ACTIVITIES, COULD YOU JUST MAYBE BRING
- 20 FORWARD A QUICK NOTE ON WHEN WE'RE GOING TO
- 21 ACTUALLY BE DELIVERING THESE GRANT APPLICATIONS
- 22 AND START THE CYCLE?
- 23 50, WE'LL GET THAT TO YOU --
- 24 MEMBER EATON: THE ONE REASON TO FOLLOW UP
- 25 ON MR. JONES' COMMENT, I THINK ANY TIME WE'VE

- 1 HAD THESE SITUATIONS ARISE, WHERE THERE IS A
- 2 LITERAL MEANING TO A PARTICULAR STATUTE, AND
- 3 THEN SOME KIND OF AMNESTY DAY OR WHAT HAVE YOU,
- 4 THE LOCAL AND STATE LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES,
- 5 IF YOU KIND OF GIVE THEM AN IDEA AND WORK WITH
- 6 THEM AHEAD OF TIME, THEY WILL DO THE KINDS OF
- 7 THINGS -- AS YOU SAID, EITHER A PLACARD OR
- 8 SOMETHING LIKE THAT, THAT WE CAN PUT OUT. AND
- 9 FOR THOSE DAYS THEY SORT OF SUSPEND THE
- 10 ENFORCEMENT, AS LONG AS THERE IS A RELATIONSHIP
- 11 TO WHAT THE OTHER GOVERNMENT AGENCY IS DOING.
- 12 SO, PERHAPS MAYBE EITHER UNDER YOUR
- 13 DIRECTION, THAT WE CAN TALK TO THE CHP OFFICE,
- 14 AND WHEN THOSE DATES ARE SET. AND SOMETIMES
- 15 COMMUNICATION SOLVES THE PROBLEMS, INSTEAD OF
- 16 HAVING TO GO PASS ANOTHER BILL OR ANOTHER
- 17 REGULATION.
- 18 MR. CHANDLER: WE'LL DO THAT. VERY
- 19 GOOD. THANK YOU.
- 20 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY? VERY GOOD.
- 21 AGENDA ITEMS V AND VI: CONTINUED BUSINESS
- 22 AGENDA ITEMS AND CONSENT AGENDA, RESPECTIVELY.
- 23 OKAY. NEXT WE
- 24 HAVE -- WAIT A MINUTE -- OKAY. NEXT IS ITEMS
- 25 27 AND 28 ON THE FARM AND RANCH CLEANUP, SOLID

- 1 WASTE DISPOSAL CLEANUP. THE ORIGINAL NOTICE OF --
- 2 I'M SORRY.
- 3 WE'RE NOT COVERING ITEMS 27 AND 28.
- 4 OKAY. THE ORIGINAL NOTICE OF
- 5 PROPOSED RULEMAKING ISSUE IN OCTOBER, 1998, FOR
- 6 REGULATIONS PERTAINING TO THE FARM AND RANCH
- 7 SOLID WASTE CLEANUP PROGRAM AND THE SOLID
- 8 WASTE DISPOSAL CLEANUP PROGRAM ANNOUNCED THAT
- 9 THE PUBLIC HEARING ON THESE REGULATIONS WOULD
- 10 BEGIN TODAY. GIVEN THE NUMBER OF ITEMS BEING
- 11 HEARD BY THE BOARD AT OUR MEETING THIS MONTH,
- 12 THESE TWO ITEMS ARE SCHEDULED TO BE HEARD
- 13 TOMORROW. HOWEVER, WE WILL GIVE INDIVIDUALS AN
- 14 OPPORTUNITY TO PROVIDE TESTIMONY TODAY IF THEY
- 15 SO DESIRE.
- 16 IS THERE ANYONE WHO IS HERE TO
- 17 TESTIFY ON THE FARM, RANCH AND CLEANUP SOLID
- 18 WASTE DISPOSAL CLEANUP PROGRAM? IF YOU'RE HERE
- 19 TODAY AND YOU CAN'T COME TOMORROW, WE'LL BE
- 20 GLAD TO HEAR FROM YOU NOW. IF NOT, WE WILL
- 21 BRING THIS IS SUE UP TOMORROW. OKAY, THEN WE'LL
- 22 BRING IT UP TOMORROW.
- 23 AGENDA ITEM 1
- 24 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. ITEM
- 25 NUMBER ONE, CONSIDERATION OF STAFF

- 1 RECOMMENDATION ON THE BIENNIAL REVIEW FINDINGS
- 2 FOR THE SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT
- 3 FOR VARIOUS JURISDICTIONS. JUDY FRIEDMAN.
- 4 MS. FRIEDMAN: GOOD MORNING MR.
- 5 CHAIRMAN, BOARD MEMBERS. THIS MORNING, STAFF
- 6 WILL BE PRESENTING RECOMMENDATIONS CONCERNING
- 7 LOCAL JURISDICTIONS' PROGRESS TOWARDS MEETING
- 8 THE MANDATES OF THE INTEGRATED WASTE
- 9 MANAGEMENT ACT.
- 10 BEFORE I TURN THE PRESENTATION OVER
- 11 TO STAFF, I HAVE A LITTLE BIT OF BACKGROUND I'D
- 12 LIKE TO PROVIDE FOR YOU.
- 13 THE BOARD IS REQUIRED AT LEAST
- 14 EVERY TWO YEARS TO REVIEW IMPLEMENTATION OF
- 15 EACH JURISDICTION'S SOURCE REDUCTION RECYCLING
- 16 ELEMENT AND HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE ELEMENT.
- 17 THIS BIENNIAL REVIEW IS THE BOARD'S INDEPENDENT
- 18 EVALUATION OF A JURISDICTION'S PROGRESS IN
- 19 IMPLEMENTING THE SELECTED PROGRAMS DESCRIBED IN
- 20 THEIR SOURCE REDUCTION RECYCLING ELEMENT, OR
- 21 SRRE, AND HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE ELEMENT, OR
- 22 HHWE, IN REACHING THEIR DIVERSION GOAL.
- 23 IN OCTOBER OF 1997, THE BOARD
- 24 ADOPTED A PROCESS TO REVIEW REPORTS SUBMITTED
- 25 BY LOCAL JURISDICTIONS. THIS PROCESS INCLUDED

- 1 MAKING THE DETERMINATION OF GOOD-FAITH EFFORTS
- 2 AND OTHER STATUTORY RELIEF CONSIDERATION DURING
- 3 THE STAFF REVIEW AND RECOMMENDATION PHASE OF
- 4 REVIEW. THROUGH THIS PROCESS, THE BOARD HAS
- 5 APPROVED APPROXIMATELY 170 BIENNIAL REVIEWS TO
- 6 DATE, NOT INCLUDING THE REVIEWS BEFORE YOU
- 7 TODAY, AND THAT'S SOME 73.
- 8 STAFF IS ON SCHEDULE TO HAVE THE
- 9 APPROXIMATELY 450 BIENNIAL REVIEWS COMPLETED BY
- 10 LATE SPRING, 1999. THE BIENNIAL REVIEW PROCESS
- 11 IS OUTLINED IN THE FLOW CHART ON THE MONITOR.
- 12 THE COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
- 13 MANAGEMENT PLAN ENFORCEMENT POLICY, OR WHAT WE
- 14 CALL CIWMP ENFORCEMENT POLICY, APPROVED BY THE
- 15 BOARD IN FEBRUARY OF 1995, DESIGNATES SCENARIOS
- 16 TO DETERMINE JURISDICTIONS' IMPLEMENTATION OF
- 17 PROGRAMS AND ATTAINMENT OF THE DIVERSION
- 18 REQUIREMENTS.
- 19 THE FOUR SCENARIOS ARE:
- 20 JURISDICTIONS THAT ARE FULLY IMPLEMENTING
- 21 PROGRAMS AND MEETING THEIR DIVERSION
- 22 REQUIREMENTS; JURISDICTIONS THAT DO NOT HAVE
- 23 FULL PROGRAM IMPLEMENTATION, BUT ARE MEETING
- 24 THE DIVERSION GOALS; JURISDICTIONS THAT HAVE
- 25 PARTIAL TO FULL PROGRAM IMPLEMENTATION BUT ARE

- 1 EITHER NOT MEETING THE REQUIREMENTS, OR A
- 2 DETERMINATION OF WHETHER THEY ARE MEETING
- 3 DIVERSION REQUIREMENTS CANNOT BE MADE; AND
- 4 JURISDICTIONS THAT ARE NOT IMPLEMENTING
- 5 DIVERSION PROGRAMS AND ARE NOT MEETING THE
- 6 DIVERSION REQUIREMENTS.
- 7 ITEMS ONE AND THREE TODAY REQUEST
- 8 YOUR CONSIDERATION OF THE BIENNIAL REVIEW FOR
- 9 JURISDICTIONS THAT FALL INTO SCENARIOS ONE AND
- 10 TWO. IN OTHER WORDS, THEY HAVE IMPLEMENTED
- 11 THEIR PLANS AND HAVE REACHED THEIR DIVERSION
- 12 GOALS AS APPROVED BY THE BOARD. SO LOOKING AT
- 13 THE FLOW CHART, THESE ITEMS FOLLOW THE PATH,
- 14 IMPLEMENTATION, NUMBERS OKAY.
- 15 ITEM TWO CONCERNS JURISDICTIONS
- 16 THAT DID NOT MEET THE DIVERSION REQUIREMENT IN
- 17 THE GOAL YEAR, BUT HAVE IMPLEMENTED THEIR PLANS
- 18 AND ARE MAKING A GOOD-FAITH EFFORT TO MEET THE
- 19 REQUIREMENTS. SO ON THE FLOW CHART, THIS ITEM
- 20 FOLLOWS THE PATH IMPLEMENTATION, NUMBER
- 21 PROBLEMS, AND OKAY STATUTORY RELIEF.
- 22 ITEMS FOUR THROUGH SIX REPRESENT
- 23 THREE JURISDICTIONS WHO, ACCORDING TO THE
- 24 DOCUMENTATION RECEIVED TO DATE, FALL INTO THE
- 25 FOURTH SCENARIO. THAT IS, THOSE THAT ARE NOT

- 1 IMPLEMENTING DIVERSION PROGRAMS AS DESCRIBED IN
- 2 THEIR SRRES, AND ARE NOT MEETING THE DIVERSION
- 3 REQUIREMENT. SO, BACK TO THE FLOW CHART, THESE
- 4 ITEMS FOLLOW THE PATH IMPLEMENTATION, NUMBER
- 5 PROBLEMS, AND COMPLIANCE ORDER AND SCHEDULE.
- 6 ITEMS FOUR THROUGH SIX TODAY ASK
- 7 BOARD CONSIDERATION OF ISSUING COMPLIANCE
- 8 ORDERS TO THESE JURISDICTIONS BECAUSE IT IS THE
- 9 FIRST TIME WE ARE DOING A TWO-PART PROCESS,
- 10 WHERE WE ARE COMING TO THE BOARD TO GET
- 11 DIRECTION TO PREPARE THE COMPLIANCE ORDER.
- 12 AN ORDER OF COMPLIANCE CONTAINS A
- 13 TIME SCHEDULE WHICH IS INTENDED TO FOCUS THE
- 14 JURISDICTION'S EFFORTS ON A PLAN OF ACTION TO
- 15 IMPLEMENT THE SOURCE REDUCTION RECYCLING
- 16 ELEMENT AND/OR ACHIEVE THE DIVERSION
- 17 REQUIREMENT. JURISDICTIONS FAILING TO MEET THE
- 18 PROVISIONS OF THE COMPLIANCE ORDER MAY BE
- 19 SUBJECT TO FINES OF UP TO \$10,000 PER DAY.
- 20 THIS IS THE FIRST TIME THAT THE BOARD HAS HEARD
- 21 ITEMS DEALING WITH JURISDICTIONS THAT FALL INTO
- 22 THIS SCENARIO.
- 23 AND, THE FLOW CHART IS DIRECTLY
- 24 FROM THE OCTOBER 1997 BOARD ITEM THAT ADOPTED
- 25 THE PROCESS FOR BIENNIAL REVIEW.

- 1 WITH THAT, I WILL NOW INTRODUCE
- 2 TREVOR O'SHAUGHNESSY, WHO WILL PRESENT ITEMS
- 3 ONE, TWO, AND THREE.
- 4 MR. O'SHAUGHNESSY: GOOD MORNING
- 5 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON AND BOARD MEMBERS. I AM
- 6 TREVOR O'SHAUGHNESSY WITH THE OFFICE OF LOCAL
- 7 ASSISTANCE, DIVERSION PLANNING AND LOCAL
- 8 ASSISTANCE DIVISION.
- 9 I AM HAPPY TO PRESENT TO YOU TODAY
- 10 50 MORE JURISDICTIONS FROM 16 COUNTIES THAT
- 11 HAVE DEMONSTRATED MEETING OR EXCEEDING THE 1995
- 12 GOAL OF 25 PERCENT IN BOTH '95 AND '96. THESE
- 13 FINDINGS ARE THE RESULT OF BOARD STAFF'S REVIEW
- 14 AND ANALYSIS OF THESE JURISDICTIONS' SOURCE
- 15 REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENTS, AND '95 AND
- 16 1996 ANNUAL REPORTS.
- 17 CLARIFICATION AND VERIFICATION OF
- 18 PROGRAM IMPLEMENTATION WAS CONDUCTED BY
- 19 NUMEROUS PHONE CALLS AND CORRESPONDENCES WITH
- 20 THE JURISDICTIONS, AND CHECKING PERTINENT
- 21 DIVERSION FACILITY DATABASES IN-HOUSE AND
- 22 EXTERNALLY.
- 23 THESE JURISDICTIONS HAVE IMPLEMENTED
- 24 AND CONTINUE TO IMPLEMENT NUMEROUS
- 25 SOURCE REDUCTION, RECYCLING, COMPOSTING,

- 1 SPECIAL WASTE, AND EDUCATION PROGRAMS TO HELP
- 2 THEM REACH THE 25 AND 50 PERCENT GOALS. THEIR
- 3 SUCCESS IS THE RESULT OF COOPERATIVE EFFORTS BY
- 4 THE CITIES AND COUNTIES, THE RESIDENTS,
- 5 SCHOOLS, AND COMMERCIAL SECTORS, AND WASTE
- 6 MANAGEMENT INDUSTRY AND RECYCLING INDUSTRIES.
- 7 I WOULD NOW LIKE TO ACKNOWLEDGE
- 8 EACH JURISDICTION BY READING EACH INTO THE
- 9 RECORD.
- 10 ALPINE COUNTY: ALPINE COUNTY
- 11 UNINCORPORATED.
- 12 AMADOR COUNTY: AMADOR CITY, AMADOR
- 13 COUNTY UNINCORPORATED, IONE, JACKSON, PLYMOUTH,
- 14 SUTTER CREEK.
- 15 CONTRA COSTA COUNTY: WEST CONTRA
- 16 COSTA INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT AUTHORITY.
- 17 FRESNO COUNTY: KINGSBURG, SANGER.
- 18 KERN COUNTY: ARVIN.
- 19 LOS ANGELES COUNTY: BRADBURY,
- 20 CULVER CITY, DOWNEY, LOS ANGELES COUNTY
- 21 UNINCORPORATED, PALMDALE, REDONDO BEACH.
- 22 MADERA COUNTY: MADERA COUNTY
- 23 UNINCORPORATED.
- 24 MERCED COUNTY: MERCED REGIONAL
- 25 AGENCY.

- 1 NEVADA COUNTY: GRASS VALLEY,
- 2 NEVADA COUNTY UNINCORPORATED.
- 3 ORANGE COUNTY: HUNTINGTON BEACH,
- 4 LA HABRA, VILLA PARK.
- 5 SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY: CHINO
- 6 HILLS, GRAND TERRACE, RANCHO CUCAMONGA,
- 7 REDLANDS, RIALTO.
- 8 SAN DIEGO COUNTY: OCEANSIDE,
- 9 POWAY, SAN DIEGO, SAN MARC OS, SOLANA BEACH,
- 10 VISTA.
- 11 SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY: TRACY.
- 12 SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY: EL PASO DE
- 13 ROBLES, GROVER BEACH, MORRO BAY, PISMO BEACH,
- 14 SAN LUIS OBISPO.
- 15 SANTA CRUZ COUNTY: WATSONVILLE.
- 16 STANISLAUS COUNTY: CERES, HUGHSON,
- 17 OAKDALE, PATTERSON, RIVERBANK, STANISLAUS
- 18 COUNTY UNINCORPORATED, TURLOCK, AND WATERFORD.
- 19 THAT CONCLUDES MY PRESENTATION.
- 20 ILL BE HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS.
- 21 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: QUESTIONS?
- 22 OKAY. WE HAVE ONE PUBLIC MEMBER
- 23 WHO WISHES TO ADDRESS US. ROBERT EPLER.
- MR. EPLER: CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON,
- 25 MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, MY NAME IS ROBERT EPLER.

- 1 I'M THE ASSISTANT DIRECTOR OF ENVIRONMENTAL
- 2 SERVICES FOR THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO.
- 3 IN THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO WASTE
- 4 MANAGEMENT AND RECYCLING ARE TWO OF THE MOST
- 5 IMPORTANT SERVICES THAT THE CITY PROVIDES TO
- 6 ITS CITIZENS.
- 7 WE'RE VERY PLEASED WITH OUR
- 8 DIVERSION RATES AS THEY WERE REPORTED TODAY.
- 9 THAT WAS 35 PERCENT IN 1995 AND 45 PERCENT IN
- 10 1996. AND WE CONSIDER THOSE TO BEA
- 11 SIGNIFICANT ACCOMPLISHMENT FOR A LARGE CITY
- 12 SUCH AS OURS THAT HAS SUCH A HIGHLY MOBILE
- 13 POPULATION, AND THAT IS SO HEAVILY IMPACTED BY
- 14 THE MILITARY.
- 15 WE GOT INTO THIS BUSINESS WHEN OUR
- 16 CITY COUNCIL APPROVED A WASTE REDUCTION AND
- 17 RECYCLING PROGRAM IN SEPTEMBER 1988, A FULL
- 18 YEAR BEFORE AB 939 WAS ENACTED.
- 19 WE'VE IMPLEMENTED MANY PROGRAMS TO
- 20 REACH THE LEVEL OF SUCCESS THAT WE HAVE, BUT
- 21 WE'RE STILL CONCERNED WITH THAT LAST FIVE
- 22 PERCENT. WE'RE CONCERNED WITH IT BECAUSE TOO
- 23 MANY GENERATORS ARE NOT SUBJECT TO AB 939.
- 24 THOSE ARE PRIMARILY STATE AGENCIES,
- 25 UNIVERSITIES, FEDERAL AGENCIES, AND THE

- 1 MILITARY.
- 2 IN SAN DIEGO, NAVY CONSTRUCTION AND
- 3 DEBRIS MATERIAL HAS VIRTUALLY DOUBLED SINCE ITS
- 4 1990 LEVELS. MUCH OF THAT, ADMITTEDLY, IS DUE
- 5 TO THE BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE PROCESS,
- 6 BUT WE'RE STILL CONCERNED WITH THE IMPACTS OF
- 7 THAT AS WE TRY AND ACHIEVE THE LAST FIVE
- 8 PERCENT OF REACHING THE AB 939 MANDATES.
- 9 WE'RE VERY PLEASED WITH THE BILL
- 10 THAT WAS JUST INTRODUCED IN THE NEW LEGISLATURE
- 11 TO REQUIRE STATE AGENCIES TO PARTICIPATE
- 12 EQUALLY IN 939 ACTIVITIES. AND, MUCH AS WE
- 13 LOVED GOVERNOR WILSON AS A SAN DIEGAN, WE'VE
- 14 BEEN VERY DISPLEASED THAT HE HAS NOT SUPPORTED
- 15 THESE TYPE OF BILLS IN THE PAST. AND WE HOPE,
- 16 WITH THE NEW ADMINISTRATION, THAT IT WILL BE
- 17 ENACTED THIS YEAR.
- 18 ONE OF THE THINGS THAT WE'RE DOING
- 19 THAT'S VERY INNOVATIVE IS THAT OUR CITY COUNCIL
- 20 JUST APPROVED US PUTTING \$50,000 OF SEED MONEY
- 21 INTO A DECONSTRUCTION PROJECT OF 39 BUILDINGS
- 22 AT THE JUST-CLOSED NAVAL TRAINING CENTER IN SAN
- 23 DIEGO. THE NAVY OTHERWISE WAS SIMPLY GOING TO
- 24 DEMOLISH THEM, TRUCK THEM TO THE LANDFILL.
- 25 WE'RE GOING TO SPONSOR THE

- 1 DECONSTRUCTION AND RECYCLING, AND HOPE TO REACH
- 2 AN 80 OR 90 PERCENT DIVERSION RATE ON THIS
- 3 MATERIAL, WHICH IS 3,000 TONS ON THE BUILDINGS
- 4 ALONE. BUT, WE GOT THE AGREEMENT FROM THE NAVY
- 5 THAT ALL OF THE GRINDERS, THE LARGE PARADE
- 6 GROUNDS, THE STREETS, THE CURBS, THE SIDEWALKS,
- 7 ARE GOING TO BE RECYCLED INTO THE CONSTRUCTION
- 8 THAT GOES ON THERE AFTER THIS IS OVER.
- 9 ONE OF THE THINGS THAT WE'RE REALLY
- 10 CONCERNED ABOUT IS GOOD-FAITH EFFORT, AND HOW
- 11 YOUR BOARD INTERPRETS THAT IN THE FUTURE. WE
- 12 BELIEVE THAT OUR CITY, AS MANY, MAY CITIES AND
- 13 COUNTIES THROUGHOUT THE STATE, HAVE PUT A
- 14 GOOD-FAITH EFFORT INTO ACHIEVING THE GOALS. AND WE
- 15 HOPE THAT AS THIS LAST FIVE PERCENT, LAST 10
- 16 PERCENT COMES UP, THAT YOU WILL CONTINUE TO
- 17 RECOGNIZE GOOD-FAITH EFFORTS AS YOUR STAFF HAS
- 18 RECOMMENDED IN THE PAST, AND REFLECT ON THAT AS
- 19 WE LOOK AT THOSE FINAL NUMBERS.
- 20 THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME.
- 21 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: THANK YOU.
- 22 ANY QUESTIONS? MR. RHOADS.
- 23 MEMBER RHOADS: I HAVE A QUESTION. COULD
- 24 YOU ELABORATE A LITTLE BIT MORE ON THE 50,000
- 25 THAT THE CITY'S SETTING ASIDE? WHAT'S IT GOING

- 1 TO BE USED FOR, AND WHO ARE THE CONTRACTORS,
- 2 AND WHAT'S GOING TO BE BUILT THERE AND SO
- 3 FORTH?
- 4 MR. EPLER: OKAY. THE NAVAL TRAINING
- 5 CENTER IS SOME 222 ACRES OF VERY VALUABLE LAND
- 6 ON THE BAY FRONT OF SAN DIEGO. THE CITY GAVE
- 7 IT TO THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT IN 1922 FOR THE
- 8 DEVELOPMENT OF THE NAVY TRAINING CENTER, WHICH
- 9 WAS CLOSED UNDER THE BRAC PROGRAM.
- 10 THE NAVY IS RETAINING 50 ACRES OF
- 11 THE PROPERTY TO BUILD 500 HOUSES, NAVY FAMILY
- 12 HOUSING UNITS. AND THEIR TRADITIONAL PATTERN
- 13 IN DOING THIS HAS -- SINCE THEY ARE THE
- 14 LANDOWNERS OF THE MIRAMAR LANDFILL, AND OUR
- 15 AGREEMENT WITH THEM IS THAT WE CAN OPERATE THE
- 16 LANDFILL THERE, AND IN EXCHANGE THE NAVY GETS
- 17 FREE DISPOSAL. THIS HAS MADE IT VERY DIFFICULT
- 18 FOR THEM TO MAKE THE SAME ECONOMIC DECISIONS AS
- 19 OTHER GENERATORS IN HOW THEY DEAL WITH C&D
- 20 DEBRIS.
- 21 SO, WE ARE PUTTING \$50,000 OF CASH
- 22 IN SO THAT WHEN WE HAVE THE DECONSTRUCTORS COME
- 23 AND BID ON THE DIFFERENT BUILDINGS, IF THEY SAY
- 24 WE WOULD LOVE TO TAKE THIS BUILDING DOWN, WE
- 25 CAN USE 80 PERCENT OF IT, BUT IT'S GOING TO

- 1 COST \$15,000. WE'LL HAVE SOME SEED MONEY TO GET
- 2 THEM UP TO THE 80 PERCENT LEVEL. AND IF
- 3 ANOTHER ONE COMES IN AND SAYS THIS IS A GREAT
- 4 BUILDING, IT'S GOT LOTS OF VALUABLE THINGS IN
- 5 IT. WE'LL GIVE YOU \$10,000 FOR THE RIGHTS TO
- 6 TAKE THIS BUILDING DOWN. THAT \$10,000 ALSO GOES
- 7 INTO THE POOL.
- 8 AND THE GOAL OF THIS IS, IS TO
- 9 ACHIEVE THE HIGHEST DIVERSION RATE, EVEN IF IT
- 10 MEANS PAYING SOME MONEY. BECAUSE NOT PUTTING
- 11 THAT INTO THE LANDFILL SAVES SPACE, SAVES
- 12 DOLLARS, AND HELPS TOWARDS OUR 939 COMMITMENT.
- 13 MEMBER RHOADS: THAT'S A VERY, VERY GOOD
- 14 PROJECT AND YOU SHOULD BE CONGRATULATED.
- 15 ARE YOU WORKING WITH THE BUILDERS
- 16 AT ALL, THE PEOPLE THAT WILL BE PUTTING UP THE
- 17 NEW BUILDINGS?
- 18 MR. EPLER: SINCE THIS IS UNDER A
- 19 FEDERAL CONTRACT, THEY'RE STILL 12 MONTHS AWAY
- 20 FROM PUTTING OUT THE BID PACKAGES, SO WE'RE NOT
- 21 GOING TO HAVE ANY IDEA WHO THE CONTRACTORS ARE.
- 22 BUT THE NAVY HAS COMMITTED, AS
- 23 PART OF THEIR BUILDING SPECS, THAT THEY'RE
- 24 GOING TO REQUIRE ALL OF THE CONCRETE, ASPHALT
- 25 IN THIS AREA TO BE RECYCLED ON SITE AND USED AS

- 1 IN THIS AREA TO BE RECYCLED ON SITE AND USED AS
- 2 BASE MATERIAL IN THE NEW CONSTRUCTION. IT WILL
- 3 BE PART OF THE BASE SPECIFICATION.
- 4 MEMBER RHAOADS: THANK YOU.
- 5 MR. EPLER: THANK YOU.
- 6 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: ARE THERE ANY
- 7 QUESTIONS?
- 8 MEMBER EATON: MR. CHAIRMAN, I HAVE --
- 9 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: MR. EATON.
- 10 MEMBER EATON: I, TOO, WANT TO COMMEND
- 11 YOU. AND I THINK THAT IT'S AN EXEMPLARY KIND
- 12 OF PROJECT, AND ONE THAT SAN DIEGO HAS -- A
- 13 NUMBER OF BOARD MEMBERS HAVE VISITED, BOTH THE
- 14 BUILDINGS DOWN THERE, AS WELL.
- 15 WHAT IS THE OVERALL COST? YOU'VE
- 16 PUT IN \$50,000 WORTH OF SEED MONEY. DO YOU
- 17 HAVE ANY KIND OF PRELIMINARY ESTIMATE AS TO
- 18 WHAT IT WOULD TAKE TO ACTUALLY REACH THE NUMBER
- 19 THAT YOU'RE SHOOTING AT, THE 80 PERCENT OR SO?
- 20 IS IT ANOTHER 50, IS IT, YOU KNOW, 150,000 PLUS
- 21 YOUR 50?
- 22 AND, THE REASON WHY I'M ASKING IS
- 23 PERHAPS, MAYBE WE CAN LOOK INTO SOME OF OUR
- 24 KINDS OF THINGS FROM TIME TO TIME. AT THE END
- 25 OF THE YEAR SOME AGENCIES', FOR ONE REASON OR

- 1 ANOTHER, WELL-INTENTIONED PLANS FREE UP SOME
- 2 MONEY LATER ON IN THE YEAR. AND THIS MAY BE A
- 3 PERFECT OPPORTUNITY TO SORT OF PUT OUR MONEY
- 4 WHERE OUR MOUTH IS. AND THAT'S WHY I WAS JUST
- 5 TRYING TO KIND OF GET A SENSE OF WHAT YOU
- 6 THOUGHT IT MIGHT DO.
- 7 MR. EPLER: AS WE'VE LOOKED AT IT TO
- 8 DATE, WE BELIEVE THAT THE VALUE OF THE BUILDING
- 9 MATERIALS AND THE OTHER STRUCTURES SHOULD BE
- 10 SUFFICIENT TO CARRY THE PROJECT WITH THIS
- 11 CONTRIBUTION.
- 12 HOWEVER, SHOULD OUR CALCULATIONS
- 13 NOT BE CORRECT, I HOPE THAT'S AN OFFER FOR US
- 14 TO COME IN WITH A REQUEST TO THE BOARD FOR SOME
- 15 POSSIBLE SUPPORT IF -- LATER IN THE YEAR.
- 16 MEMBER EATON: I THINK WE WOULD BE
- 17 RECEPTIVE TO IT. I CAN'T MAKE AN OFFER WITHOUT
- 18 A FORMAL BOARD ACTION, BUT I THINK' IT'S
- 19 SOMETHING THAT WE WOULD LIKE TO PARTICIPATE IN,
- 20 IN ANY WAY. WE MIGHT BE ABLE TO DO THAT WITH
- 21 THE RESOURCES, THE BOARD, AND THE BOARD STAFF
- 22 HAVE AVAILABLE TO YOU.
- MR. EPLER: THANK YOU.
- 24 MEMBER JONES: MR. CHAIRMAN?
- 25 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: YES, MR. JONES.

- 1 MEMBER JONES: PD LIKE TO MOVE
- 2 RESOLUTION 98-3 87, THE CONSIDERATION OF THE
- 3 BIENNIAL REVIEW FINDINGS FOR THOSE CITIES
- 4 LISTED.
- 5 MEMBER FRAZEE: I'LL SECOND.
- 6 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. IT'S BEEN
- 7 MOVED BY MR. JONES, SECONDED BY MR. FRAZEE, THE
- 8 ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION 98-3 87.
- 9 IF THERE'S NO FURTHER DISCUSSION,
- 10 WILL THE SECRETARY CALL THE ROLL?
- 11 THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER EATON?
- 12 MEMBER EATON: AYE.
- 13 THE SECRETARY: FRAZEE?
- 14 MEMBER FRAZEE: AYE.
- 15 THE SECRETARY: JONES?
- 16 MEMBER JONES: AYE.
- 17 THE SECRETARY: RHOADS?
- MEMBER RHOADS: AYE.
- 19 THE SECRETARY: ROBERTI?
- 20 MEMBER ROBERTI: AYE.
- 21 THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON?
- 22 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: AYE.
- 23 MOTION CARRIES.
- 24 WE'LL MOVE TO ITEM NUMBER TWO,
- 25 CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION ON THE

- 1 BIENNIAL REVIEW FINDINGS FOR THE SOURCE
- 2 REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR VARIOUS
- 3 JURISDICTIONS. JUDY FRIEDMAN. TREVOR.
- 4 MR. O'SHAUGHNESSY: GOOD MORNING
- 5 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON, BOARD MEMBERS. AGAIN, I'M
- 6 TREVOR O'SHAUGHNESSY WITH THE BOARD'S OFFICE OF
- 7 LOCAL ASSISTANCE, DIVERSION PLANNING AND LOCAL
- 8 ASSISTANCE DIVISION.
- 9 TODAY I'M PRESENTING ITEM NUMBER
- 10 TWO, BIENNIAL REVIEW RESULTS FOR 20
- 11 JURISDICTIONS FROM NINE COUNTIES. STAFF'S
- 12 REVIEW INDICATES THAT ALTHOUGH THESE
- 13 JURISDICTIONS WERE BELOW THE 25 PERCENT GOAL
- 14 FOR EITHER 1995, 1996, OR BOTH YEARS, THEY ARE
- 15 MAKING A GOOD-FAITH EFFORT TO IMPLEMENT
- 16 DIVERSION PROGRAMS.
- 17 OF THE 20 JURISDICTIONS, 13
- 18 JURISDICTIONS HAVE INCREASED THEIR DIVERSION TO
- 19 EXCEED 25 PERCENT DIVERSION, AS INDICATED IN
- 20 THEIR 1996 ANNUAL REPORTS. THEY INCLUDE
- 21 ARROYO, BELL, CHINO, LA MIRADA, LINCOLN,
- 22 ARROYO GRANDE, LOS ALTOS, MONROVIA, MONTEREY,
- 23 ROCKLIN,
- 24 ROSEMEAD, SAN MARINO, TUSTIN, AND UPLAND.
- 25 FIVE HAVE NOT MET THE 25 PERCENT

- 1 DIVERSION REQUIREMENT, HOWEVER, THEY HAVE
- 2 CONTINUED TO INCREASE THEIR DIVERSION. THEY
- 3 INCLUDE DANA POINT, MONTEREY UNINCORPORATED,
- 4 SALINAS, SOLVANG, AND VICTORVILLE.
- 5 AND, FINALLY, TWO HAVE HAD A
- 6 DECREASE IN THEIR DIVERSION BETWEEN 1995 AND
- 7 1996. THEY INCLUDE SANTA CRUZ COUNTY
- 8 UNINCORPORATED AND GILROY.
- 9 REPRESENTATIVES FROM SEVERAL OF
- 10 THESE JURISDICTIONS ARE HERE TODAY TO ANSWER
- 11 ANY QUESTIONS YOU MAY HAVE FOR THEM.
- 12 IN ALL CASES, THE JURISDICTIONS ARE
- 13 IMPLEMENTING NUMEROUS SOURCE REDUCTION,
- 14 RECYCLING, COMPOSTING, SPECIAL WASTE AND
- 15 EDUCATION PROGRAMS, INCLUDING PROCUREMENT AND
- 16 C&D-RELATED PROGRAMS, IN THEIR EFFORTS TO REACH
- 17 25 AND 50 PERCENT GOALS.
- 18 BASED ON STAFF'S REVIEW, IT IS
- 19 BELIEVED THAT THE JURISDICTIONS ARE MAKING
- 20 GOOD-FAITH EFFORT TO IMPLEMENT DIVERSION
- 21 PROGRAMS, AND RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF THE
- 22 BIENNIAL REVIEWS.
- 23 THAT CONCLUDES MY PRESENTATIONS.
- 24 ARE THERE ANY QUESTIONS?
- 25 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: QUESTIONS OF

- 1 STAFF?
- 2 MEMBER JONES: MR. CHAIRMAN?
- 3 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: YES, MR. JONES?
- 4 MEMBER JONES: I DON'T KNOW IF
- 5 ANYBODY'S HERE FROM THE CITY OF GILROY, BUT I'M
- 6 CONCERNED ABOUT -- WHEN I LOOK AT THE PROGRAMS
- 7 THAT THE CITY OF GILROY HAS DONE, IT'S AN AG
- 8 COMMUNITY, IT IS A -- OR, A SEMI-AG COMMUNITY.
- 9 THERE'S NO COMPO STING PROGRAMS AT ALL IN THE
- 10 CITY OF GILROY LISTED DOWN HERE. AND, IT'S
- 11 GONE FROM 20 PERCENT DOWN TO 17 PERCENT. AND,
- 12 IN MY MIND, THAT'S -- THAT DOESN'T FIT THE SAME
- 13 DEFINITION OF GOOD-FAITH EFFORT THAT MAY HAVE
- 14 BEEN ENVISIONED.
- 15 AND MAYBE THAT'S A MISTAKE, BUT I
- 16 DON'T SEE ANY DOWN HERE. AND THAT IS A WASTE
- 17 STREAM THAT DEFINITELY HAS LOTS OF GREEN WASTE
- 18 IN IT, AS WELL AS GARLIC PEELS AND ALL THAT
- 19 GOOD STUFF.
- 20 50, I SEE SOMEBODY STANDING, AND
- 21 I'M ASSUMING THEY ARE FROM THE CITY OF GILROY
- 22 AND ARE GOING TO ANSWER THAT QUESTION.
- MR. O'SHAUGHNESSY: BOARD MEMBER JONES,
- 24 WE DO HAVE A MEMBER FROM GILROY HERE TO SPEAK
- 25 AND ADDRESS THESE ISSUES.

- 1 MEMBER JONES: OKAY. GREAT.
- 2 MS. JENSEMA: GOOD MORNING BOARD
- 3 MEMBERS, MY NAME IS LISA JENSEMA, AND I DO WORK
- 4 FOR THE CITY OF GILROY. I'M THE ENVIRONMENTAL
- 5 PROGRAMS COORDINATOR. AND I DID WANT TO
- 6 ADDRESS YOUR QUESTION, MR. JONES.
- 7 ALTHOUGH WE DID NOT HAVE A
- 8 COMPOSTING PROGRAM IN 1995, WE IMPLEMENTED THAT
- 9 PROGRAM IN JULY OF 1997, AND WE'RE DIVERTING
- 10 APPROXIMATELY 600 TONS A MONTH OF GREEN WASTE.
- 11 AND THE AGRICULTURAL WASTE THAT WAS
- 12 MOSTLY COMING FROM GILROY FOODS IS NOW FULLY
- 13 BEING COMPOSTED THROUGH THE CO-COMPOSTING
- 14 PROGRAM WITH GREEN WASTE AND THE FOOD WASTE.
- 15 MEMBER JONES: DO THESE NUMBERS REFLECT
- 16 CREDIT FOR THAT MATERIAL? WAS IT EXCLUDED?
- MR. O'SHAUGHNESSY: NO, THEY WOULDN'T
- 18 INCLUDE IT BECAUSE THE PROGRAM WAS IMPLEMENTED
- 19 IN 1997, AND THESE NUMBERS REFLECT --
- 20 MEMBER JONES: NO, BUT I MEAN--NO, I
- 21 UNDERSTAND THAT PART. I'M TALKING ABOUT THE
- 22 HUSKS -- I MEAN, THE STUFF FROM THE GARLIC.
- 23 THAT HAD BEEN --A LOT OF THAT HAD BEEN ONGOING
- 24 PROGRAMS.
- 25 IS YOUR NEW TREATMENT OF THAT A

- 1 BRAND-NEW PROGRAM?
- 2 MS. JENSEMA: NO. I BELIEVE THAT
- 3 STARTED IN ABOUT 1996.
- 4 MEMBER JONES: OKAY. AND WHAT DO YOU
- 5 SEE AS FAR AS THAT DIVERSION?
- 6 YOU SEE, WHAT MY PROBLEM IS, YOU GO
- 7 FROM 20 TO 17, AND IF WE DON'T KNOW THAT
- 8 THERE'S OTHER PROGRAMS THAT ARE GOING TO GET
- 9 YOU TO 50, YOU KNOW, I HAVE A HARD TIME WITH
- 10 SAYING THAT'S A GOOD-FAITH EFFORT. SO, YOU'RE
- 11 ENLIGHTENING ME NOW AS TO WHAT YOU'RE DOING.
- 12 BUT, THERE WAS AN AWFUL LOT OF
- 13 PROGRAMS FOR THAT GARLIC WASTE BACK IN '89,
- 14 '90, '91. ARE THOSE PROGRAMS STILL GOING ON?
- 15 MS. JENSEMA: YES. ARE YOU TALKING
- 16 ABOUT FOR THE AGRICULTURAL WASTE? YES.
- 17 MEMBER JONES: AND WITH THOSE PROGRAMS
- 18 STILL GOING ON YOU'RE DOWN TO 17 PERCENT?
- 19 MS. JENSEMA: ARE YOU TALKING ABOUT
- 20 CURRENTLY?
- 21 MEMBER JONES: NO, I'M TALKING ABOUT
- 22 '95 AND '96. WHEN THOSE EXISTING PROGRAMS WERE
- 23 IN EFFECT, WERE THEY COUNTED IN AS PART OF THE
- 24 DIVERSION? DO YOU KNOW?
- MS. JENSEMA: I BELIEVE SO.

- 1 MEMBER JONES: OKAY.
- 2 MS. JENSEMA: BUT WE HAVE MADE QUITE A
- 3 BIT OF EFFORT TO INCREASE THE RECYCLING FOR THE
- 4 CITY OF GILROY, INCLUDING THE COMPOSTING
- 5 PROGRAM, A MIXED PAPER PROGRAM, AND A
- 6 COMMERCIAL CARDBOARD AND MIXED PAPER PROGRAM.
- 7 MEMBER JONES: OKAY.
- 8 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: MR. RHOADS?
- 9 MEMBER RHOADS: YOU SEEM TO IMPLY THAT
- 10 YOU KNOW WHAT THE NUMBERS MIGHT BE FOR '97 OR
- 11 '98. DO YOU HAVE ANY FEELS FOR WHAT THOSE
- 12 NUMBERS MIGHT BE? ARE YOU GOING TO BE ABLE TO
- 13 GET TO THE 50 PERCENT BY THE YEAR 2000?
- MS. JENSEMA: WE BELIEVE THAT WE WILL.
- 15 WE'RE ACTUALLY WORKING WITH THE STATE TO HAVE
- 16 THEM CONSIDER AN ADJUSTMENT TO OUR BASE-YEAR,
- 17 TO ADD IN SLUDGE. WE STARTED A NEW SEWER
- 18 TREATMENT PLANT, I BELIEVE IT STARTED IN 1995,
- 19 AND WE'RE GENERATING APPROXIMATELY 12,000 TONS
- 20 OF SLUDGE PER YEAR, WHICH WAS NOT PART OF OUR
- 21 BASE-YEAR CALCULATIONS.
- 22 MEMBER JONES: STAFF HAVE ANY COMMENTS
- 23 ON THE EFFORTS THAT GILROY'S BEEN MAKING?
- MR. O'SHAUGHNESSY: IT'S OUR
- 25 UNDERSTANDING THAT SLUDGE WAS NOT BEING

- 1 DISPOSED OF IN THEIR LANDFILL. THEY STARTED
- 2 DISPOSING IT RECENTLY, WHICH CAUSED AN INCREASE
- 3 IN THEIR DISPOSAL. BUT THEY ARE WORKING WITH
- 4 US TO GET APPROVAL FOR EITHER ALTERNATIVE
- 5 DAILY COVER OR OTHER USES OF THAT SLUDGE,
- 6 THROUGH EITHER COMPOSTING OR ALTERNATIVE COVER.
- 7 MEMBER RHOADS: AND ON MR. JONES'
- 8 QUESTIONS ON THE COMPOSTING, HAVE YOU LOOKED
- 9 INTO THE NEW PROGRAMS THAT THEY'RE PROPOSING,
- 10 OR THEY'RE ENTERING INTO?
- 11 MR. O'SHAUGHNESSY: WE HAVE NOT
- 12 REVIEWED THEIR '97 SUBMITTED ANNUAL REPORT AS
- 13 OF YET BECAUSE WE'VE BEEN WORKING ON THE
- 14 BIENNIAL REVIEWS TO GET THOSE TO THIS BOARD.
- 15 SO, AT THIS POINT, NO, WE HAVE NOT REVIEWED
- 16 THOSE PROGRAMS.
- 17 MEMBER RHOADS: THANK YOU.
- 18 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY? OKAY.
- 19 THANK YOU. BEFORE YOU LEAVE, WOULD YOU FILL
- 20 OUT A SPEAKER REQUEST FORM AND GET IT TO MS.
- 21 KELLY. THANK YOU.
- 22 OKAY. WE ALSO HAVE MARLEN MUNOZ
- 23 WHO WANTS TO SPEAK TO US.
- MR. MUNOZ: MY NAME IS MARLEN MUNOZ.
- 25 I'M WITH THE CITY OF LA MIRADA. AND ON BEHALF

- 1 OF THE CITY COUNCIL, I'D LIKE TO THANK THE BOARD
- 2 FOR CONSIDERING THIS ITEM TODAY.
- 3 AS YOU'LL NOTE, WE WORKED ON A
- 4 NONCOMPLIANCE IN 1995. WE DID COME INTO
- 5 COMPLIANCE IN 1996, AND THAT WAS DUE TO TWO
- 6 ITEMS. ONE, THE RESIDENTIAL CURBSIDE RECYCLING
- 7 PROGRAM AND ALSO PROCESSING OUR COMMERCIAL
- 8 WASTE MRF. THAT WAS AT A LARGE COST TO OUR
- 9 RESIDENTS AND BUSINESSES.
- 10 AND, FOR YOUR INFORMATION ALSO, OUR
- 11 FUTURE PLANS ARE, WE ARE FINALIZING AN
- 12 AGREEMENT WITH CONSOLIDATED WASTE, AND WE WILL
- 13 -- I'M SORRY, CONSOLIDATED DISPOSAL SERVICE.
- 14 WE WILL BE IMPLEMENTING AN AUTOMATED SYSTEM FOR
- 15 OUR RESIDENTS, WHICH WILL INCLUDE A GREEN WASTE
- 16 PROGRAM AND ALSO AN EXPANDED RECYCLING
- 17 PROGRAM.
- 18 SO, THANK YOU.
- 19 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: VERY GOOD. THANK
- 20 YOU. ANY QUESTIONS OF THIS GENTLEMAN?
- 21 MEMBER JONES: THAT WORKS.
- 22 MEMBER JONES: MR. CHAIRMAN, JUST ONE OTHER
- 23 QUESTION ON SANTA CRUZ?
- 24 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: SURE.
- 25 MEMBER JONES: DO WE HAVE A -- DOES STAFF

- 1 HAVE A SENSE THAT - I MEAN, THEY'VE GONE FROM
- 2 21 DOWN TO 20. IS THIS A BLIP ON THE SCREEN?
- 3 DO THEY -- THAT'S A PRETTY ACTIVE COMMUNITY, I
- 4 THOUGHT, AS FAR AS TRYING TO DEAL WITH THESE
- 5 THINGS. HAVE THEY JUST HAD SOME KIND OF A --
- 6 WE'RE GOING TO HEAR.
- 7 MR. DE GRASSI: GOOD MORNING, CHAIRMAN
- 8 PENNINGTON, AND BOARD MEMBERS. MY NAME IS DAN
- 9 DE GRASSI. I'M REPRESENTING THE COUNTY OF SANTA
- 10 CRUZ.
- 11 WE HAVE INSTITUTED A NEW FRANCHISE
- 12 AGREEMENT IN 1997 WHICH HAS BASICALLY
- 13 QUADRUPLED OUR RESIDENTIAL DIVERSION. AND WE
- 14 FEEL THAT THAT IS GOING TO CHANGE THE LINE,
- 15 GOING UP RATHER THAN DOWN.
- 16 THE DOWNWARD TREND HAS GOT US
- 17 BAFFLED. WE HAVE EXPERIENCED SOME PROBLEMS
- 18 WITH DISPOSAL REPORTING. DIFFERENT LANDFILLS
- 19 IN THE REGION WOULD SAY, YOU KNOW, WHERE IS
- 20 THIS WASTE COMING FROM? SANTA CRUZ COUNTY.?
- 21 WELL, IT MAY BE A CITY WITHIN SANTA CRUZ
- 22 COUNTY. IT -- AND I'M SURE THAT'S NOT AN
- 23 UNUSUAL PROBLEM.
- 24 WE ARE GOING TO BE CONDUCTING --
- 25 PROBABLY STARTING IN FEBRUARY -- A WASTE

- 1 CHARACTERIZATION STUDY IN COOPERATION WITH THE
- 2 BOARD. WE'RE WORKING WITH THE BOARD'S TARGET
- 3 ASSISTANCE TEAM ON THAT. AND THE PURPOSE,
- 4 OBVIOUSLY, IS TO FIND OUT WHAT'S STILL GOING
- 5 INTO THE LANDFILL, WHERE IT'S COMING FROM. AND
- 6 WITH THAT INFORMATION, WE'LL BE DEVELOPING NEW
- 7 DIVERSION PROGRAMS.
- 8 WE ARE ALSO GOING TO BE DOING A
- 9 DIVERSION INVENTORY. WE FEEL THAT WE HAVE A
- 10 PROBLEM WITH OUR BASE-YEAR NUMBER, AND WE'RE
- 11 GOING TO BE ASKING THE BOARD TO WORK WITH US TO
- 12 LOOK INTO THAT ALSO.
- 13 YEAH, IT'S GOT US BAFFLED, TOTALLY
- 14 BAFFLED. I MEAN, WHEN YOU ACTUALLY LOOK AT THE
- 15 TONNAGES, THE DISPOSAL TONNAGES, THERE WAS AN
- 16 INCREASE.
- 17 AND SOME OF IT HAD TO DO WITH
- 18 RATES. YOU KNOW, OUR LANDFILL'S KIND OF RIGHT
- 19 ON THE BORDER SO, YOU KNOW, OUR RATES GO UP,
- 20 YOU KNOW. THE WASTE GOES OVER THERE AND VICE
- 21 VERSA. SO -- AND YOU'D THINK THE DISPOSAL
- 22 REPORTING WOULD PICK THAT UP, BUT, YOU KNOW,
- 23 ALL THAT STUFF IS KIND OF MOOT.
- 24 WHAT REALLY IS DRIVING IT IS TO GET
- 25 THE STUFF OUT OF THE LANDFILL. AND WE'VE GOT

- 1 ABOUT 20 YEARS' OF CAPACITY LEFT. I FIGURE
- 2 THIS IS GOING TO BE THE LAST -- IT COULD VERY
- 3 LIKELY BE THE LAST LANDFILL IN OUR COUNTY. SO
- 4 WE'VE GOT A REAL STRONG INCENTIVE TO MAKE THAT
- 5 PUBLIC INVESTMENT LAST AS LONG AS WE CAN. SO
- 6 I APPRECIATE YOUR HELP.
- 7 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: ANY OTHER
- 8 QUESTIONS?
- 9 OKAY. THANK YOU. WOULD YOU FILL
- 10 OUT A SPEAKER'S SLIP THERE ON THE BACK TABLE
- 11 THERE. THANK YOU.
- 12 MEMBER EATON: MR. CHAIR, BEFORE WE DO ANY
- 13 MOTION, I JUST HAVE A COUPLE GENERAL QUESTIONS
- 14 OF STAFF ON THESE. IF I MAY JUST TAKE A LITTLE
- 15 BIT OF TIME?
- 16 HOW MANY OF THESE BIENNIAL REVIEWS
- 17 LEFT DO WE HAVE TO COMPLETE? ARE WE ALMOST
- 18 THROUGH THE PROCESS? ROUGHLY? SO I CAN JUST
- 19 GET SOME SENSE?
- 20 MS. JENSEMA: WE ROUGHLY HAVE ABOUT
- 21 200 MORE TO DO.
- 22 MEMBER EATON: OKAY. SO WE'RE A LITTLE
- 23 OVER-
- MS. JENSEMA: A LITTLE OVER HALF.
- 25 MEMBER EATON: WILL THESE REVIEWS, THEN,

- 1 FORM THE BASIS FOR GRANTING EXTENSIONS UNDER
- 2 SP-1066?
- 3 MS. JENSEMA: I THINK THEY NEED TO BE
- 4 A BIG FACTOR IN THAT, YES.
- 5 MEMBER EATON: OKAY. SO TARGETED UNDER
- 6 YOUR TIMELINE, WHEN DO YOU THINK THEY WILL BE
- 7 COMPLETED?
- 8 MS. JENSEMA: I THINK WE ARE
- 9 PROJECTING MARCH OF NEXT YEAR.
- 10 MEMBER EATON: SO IF A JURISDICTION WERE TO
- 11 COME IN, IN NOVEMBER OF THIS YEAR -- ASSUMING
- 12 WE HAVE ALL OF THE DUCKS LINED UP FOR OUR 1066,
- 13 YOU KNOW, APPLICATION PROCESS, ET CETERA, ALL
- 14 OF THOSE KINDS OF THINGS -- IF A JURISDICTION -- WE
- 15 HAVEN'T COMPLETED THIS REVIEW, HOW WOULD
- 16 WE FORM THE BASIS BY WHICH TO JUDGE WHETHER OR
- 17 NOT THEY HAVE ACTUALLY MADE THE EFFORT FOR
- 18 GOOD-FAITH? OR, IS THERE A WAY THAT WE CAN
- 19 SPEED THAT UP, OR SOMEHOW GET THAT INFORMATION?
- 20 BECAUSE, I THINK IN DISCUSSIONS
- 21 WE'VE ALL HAD, THAT THE 25 PERCENT, OR REACHING
- 22 THE 25 PERCENT IS A CRITICAL JUNCTURE. OR, YOU
- 23 KNOW, UNLESS IN THE EXTREME CASES. SO, I'M
- 24 JUST KIND OF TRYING TO GET SOME SENSE OF THE
- 25 TIME LINE THAT WE'RE WORKING WITH.

- 1 MS. JENSEMA: SURE. WE'RE TAKING
- 2 EVERY STEP TO SPEED UP THE PROCESS. WE'VE DONE
- 3 A LOT OF AUTOMATION AND ELIMINATED REDUNDANT
- 4 PROCESSES. SO, WE'RE ACTUALLY -- IF YOU
- 5 NOTICED, THE NUMBER OF BIENNIALS THAT WE HAVE
- 6 ON EACH AGENDA, WHEN WE COME FORWARD, IS
- 7 ACTUALLY REALLY INCREASING EXPONENTIALLY. SO
- 8 WE'RE REALLY SHOOTING TO GET ALL OF THESE
- 9 THINGS DONE, YOU KNOW, BY MARCH OF NEXT YEAR.
- 10 IF SOMEBODY WERE TO COME IN EARLIER
- 11 THAN THAT, WE WOULD HAVE TO TAKE A LOOK AT --
- 12 FIRST OF ALL, WE WOULD NEED TO GET THE POLICY
- 13 IN PLACE.
- 14 AND, OF COURSE, WE'RE WORKING
- 15 DILIGENTLY TO DO THAT, AS WELL. AS YOU'RE ALL
- 16 AWARE, WE HAD THE WORKSHOPS EARLIER THIS YEAR.
- 17 WE WOULD TAKE A LOOK AT, YOU KNOW, WHATEVER
- 18 RELEVANT INFORMATION WE HAD AVAILABLE TO US.
- 19 AND, EVEN IF WE HADN'T COMPLETED THE BIENNIAL
- 20 REVIEW, WE WOULD PROBABLY START LOOKING AT THAT
- 21 MATERIAL AS QUICKLY AS POSSIBLE.
- 22 BUT, IT'S OUR HOPE TO HAVE THESE
- 23 ALL DONE SO THAT WHEN WE FINALLY GET
- 24 JURISDICTIONS IN, WE'LL HAVE THAT BASIS THAT
- 25 YOU'RE LOOKING FOR.

- 1 MEMBER EATON: BECAUSE -I MEAN, AND I
- 2 APPRECIATE THAT. OUR CONCERN IS, AS WE APPROVE
- 3 SOME OF THESE WITH GOOD-FAITH EFFORTS, DO THEY
- 4 COME BACK TO HAUNT US IN THE FUTURE AS WE LOOK
- 5 AT THE WHOLE SITUATION.
- 6 IT'S KIND OF A -- THE CATCH-22
- 7 HERE, AND I THINK IF WE'RE ALL KIND OF JUST
- 8 AWARE OF THAT SITUATION AND INTEGRATE IT INTO
- 9 SOME OF THE OTHER ACTIVITIES THAT WE'RE DOING
- 10 THAT FIT INTO THIS, WE'LL BE A LOT BETTER OFF.
- MS. JENSEMA: IF I MAY? THE OTHER
- 12 THING IS, WE'RE TRYING TO PROVIDE A REASONABLE
- 13 BALANCE BETWEEN PROVIDING THE TARGET AND THE
- 14 IMPLEMENTATION ASSISTANCE THAT YOU'RE ALL
- 15 TALKING ABOUT, AND ARE -- SEEM TO BE PLEASED
- 16 WITH, AS WELL AS MEETING THIS -- YOU KNOW, THE
- 17 DEADLINE ON GETTING THE BIENNIAL REVIEWS.
- 18 YOU'LL SEE THAT AS A THEME THAT WILL CONTINUE
- 19 TO PUSH BOTH THOSE AREAS.
- 20 IT'S A BIG PART OF THE LOCAL
- 21 GOVERNMENT DIVERSION ASSISTANCE TEAM PRIORITY
- 22 PLAN WORK. NEXT MONTH WE'LL BE COMING TO THE
- 23 BOARD WITH AN UPDATE ON THAT PLAN.
- 24 THOSE ARE THE TWO AREAS THAT YOU'LL
- 25 CONTINUE TO SEE US PUSHING AND PUSHING ON IN

- 1 TRYING TO MEET DEADLINES AND MAKING SURE WE GET
- 2 -- YOU KNOW, DO FULL SERVICE HERE.
- 3 MEMBER JONES: MR. CHAIRMAN?
- 4 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: MR. JONES.
- 5 MEMBER JONES: MR. CHAIRMAN, I'M GOING
- 6 TO MAKE A MOTION.
- 7 BUT, I ALSO WANT TO -- BEFORE I DO
- 8 THAT, I JUST WANT TO SAY THAT I'M VERY
- 9 COMFORTABLE WITH MAKING THIS MOTION BASED ON
- 10 THE TESTIMONY OF THOSE THREE JURISDICTIONS,
- 11 BECAUSE I THINK THAT PART OF GOOD FAITH IS
- 12 INCREASING PROGRAMS TO TRY TO DEAL WITH YOUR
- 13 WASTE STREAM. AND, I THINK SHORT OF THAT, THEN
- 14 I HAVE A HARD TIME DEALING WITH ANYTHING AS
- 15 GOOD FAITH IF WE'RE NOT GOING TO KEEP MOVING.
- 16 AND I THINK THAT WAS WHAT THIS LAW
- 17 WAS WRITTEN FOR. NOT SO MUCH THE NUMBERS, BUT
- 18 FOR THE MANAGEMENT OF THE WASTE STREAM AND
- 19 DEALING WITH THOSE THINGS.
- 20 50, I WOULD LIKE STAFF -- AND I
- 21 KNOW YOU WILL, AND I HAVE NO REASON TO THINK
- 22 THAT'S NOT GOING TO HAPPEN -- BUT, I THINK, IN
- 23 WORKING WITH SANTA CRUZ AND WORKING WITH
- 24 GILROY AND WORKING WITH THESE OTHER CITIES, AS
- 25 YOU ALWAYS DO, AND TRY TO HELP THEM WHEREVER WE

- 1 CAN.
- 2 BUT I'M GLAD THAT ALL OF THEM HAVE
- 3 -- ARE IMPLEMENTING PROGRAMS TO GET US THERE.
- 4 BECAUSE, THEY'RE STILL A LONG WAY FROM 50. AND
- 5 YOU DON'T GET THERE BY JUST PLAYING WITH THE
- 6 NUMBERS.
- 7 I MEAN, IT IS OBVIOUS THAT IF YOU
- 8 ADDED A SEWER TREATMENT PLANT TO THAT WASTE
- 9 STREAM THAT THESE NUMBERS ARE GOING TO GO DOWN.
- 10 AND THAT COMPLETELY ANSWERED ALL MY QUESTIONS
- 11 OF WHY THAT NUMBER WENT DOWN, AND THAT MAKES
- 12 SENSE.
- 13 SO, WITH THAT, I WOULD LIKE TO MOVE
- 14 RESOLUTION 98-388 FOR THE BIENNIAL REVIEW FOR
- 15 THESE JURISDICTIONS.
- 16 MEMBER FRAZEE: I'LL SECOND.
- 17 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. IT'S BEEN
- 18 MOVED BY MR. JONES, AND SECONDED BY MR. FRAZEE,
- 19 THE ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION 98-388.
- 20 IF THERE'S NO FURTHER DISCUSSION,
- 21 WILL THE SECRETARY CALL THE ROLL?
- THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER EATON?
- 23 MEMBER EATON: AYE.
- 24 THE SECRETARY: FRAZEE?
- 25 MEMBER FRAZEE: AYE.

- 1 THE SECRETARY: JONES?
- 2 MEMBER JONES: AYE.
- THE SECRETARY: RHOADS?
- 4 MEMBER RHOADS: AYE.
- 5 THE SECRETARY: ROBERTI?
- 6 MEMBER ROBERTI: AYE.
- 7 THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON?
- 8 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: AYE.
- 9 MOTION CARRIES.
- 10 WE'LL MOVE TO ITEM NUMBER THREE,
- 11 CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION ON THE
- 12 BIENNIAL REVIEW FINDINGS FOR THE HOUSEHOLD
- 13 HAZARDOUS WASTE ELEMENT FOR VARIOUS
- 14 JURISDICTIONS. TREVOR.
- 15 MR. O'SHAUGHNESSY: THANK YOU, CHAIRMAN
- 16 PENNINGTON, BOARD MEMBERS. TREVOR
- 17 O'SHAUGHNESSY WITH THE OFFICE OF LOCAL
- 18 ASSISTANCE, DIVERSION PLANNING AND LOCAL
- 19 ASSISTANCE DIVISION.
- 20 I'M HAPPY TO PRESENT TO YOU TODAY
- 21 62 MORE JURISDICTIONS FROM 18 COUNTIES THAT
- 22 HAVE ADEQUATELY IMPLEMENTED THEIR HOUSEHOLD
- 23 HAZARDOUS WASTE ELEMENT.
- 24 THESE FINDINGS ARE THE RESULT OF
- 25 BOARD STAFF'S REVIEW AND ANALYSIS OF THESE

- 1 JURISDICTIONS' HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE
- 2 ELEMENTS IN 1995 AND '96 ANNUAL REPORTS.
- 3 CLARIFICATION AND VERIFICATION OF PROGRAM
- 4 IMPLEMENTATION WAS CONDUCTED BY NUMEROUS PHONE
- 5 CALLS AND CORRESPONDENCES WITH THE
- 6 JURISDICTIONS.
- 7 THESE JURISDICTIONS HAVE
- 8 IMPLEMENTED, AND CONTINUE TO IMPLEMENT,
- 9 NUMEROUS PROGRAMS TO HELP THEM REACH THEIR
- 10 GOALS. THEIR SUCCESS IS THE RESULT OF
- 11 COOPERATIVE EFFORTS BY THE CITIES AND COUNTIES,
- 12 THEIR RESIDENTS, SCHOOLS, AND COMMERCIAL
- 13 SECTORS, AND WASTE MANAGEMENT AND RECYCLING
- 14 INDUSTRIES.
- 15 I WOULD LIKE TO MAKE ONE
- 16 MODIFICATION TO THE AGENDA ITEM, AND THAT IS BY
- 17 PULLING WATERFORD AS ONE OF THE COMMUNITIES
- 18 THAT WAS ON THE LIST. AND THIS ITEM WILL BE
- 19 ADDRESSED DURING THE JANUARY BOARD MEETING.
- 20 THAT CONCLUDES MY PRESENTATION.
- 21 I'D BE HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS YOU MAY
- 22 HAVE.
- 23 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: I MIGHT ADD THAT
- 24 MY NOTES WERE INCORRECT. I HAD SAID THAT
- 25 WATERFORD WAS PULLED FROM ITEM NUMBER ONE. IT

- 1 ACTUALLY WAS NUMBER THREE THAT IT WAS PULLED
- 2 FROM.
- 3 MR. O'SHAUGHNESSY: THAT'S CORRECT.
- 4 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: QUESTIONS FOR
- 5 STAFF?
- 6 AND THERE DOESN'T SEEM TO BE
- 7 ANYBODY WHO WISHES TO ADDRESS THIS ITEM, SO
- 8 I'LL ENTERTAIN A MOTION.
- 9 MEMBER FRAZEE: MR. CHAIRMAN, I'LL MOVE
- 10 ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION 98-3 89.
- 11 MEMBER EATON: AND I'LL SECOND THE
- 12 MOTION.
- 13 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: IT'S BEEN MOVED
- 14 BY MR. FRAZEE AND SECONDED BY MR. EATON, THE
- 15 ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION 98-3 89.
- 16 IF THERE'S NO FURTHER DISCUSSION,
- 17 WILL THE SECRETARY CALL THE ROLL?
- THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER EATON?
- 19 MEMBER EATON: AYE.
- 20 THE SECRETARY: FRAZEE?
- 21 MEMBER FRAZEE: AYE.
- 22 THE SECRETARY: JONES?
- MEMBER JONES: AYE.
- THE SECRETARY: RHOADS?
- 25 MEMBER RHOADS: AYE.

- 1 THE SECRETARY: ROBERTI?
- 2 MEMBER ROBERTI: AYE.
- 3 THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON?
- 4 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: AYE.
- 5 MOTION CARRIES.
- 6 WE'LL MOVE TO ITEM NUMBER FOUR,
- 7 CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION ON THE
- 8 BIENNIAL REVIEW FINDINGS FOR THE SOURCE
- 9 REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF
- 10 HAWAIIAN GARDENS IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY. JUDY
- 11 FRIEDMAN.
- MS. FRIEDMAN: YES. GOOD MORNING,
- 13 AGAIN, BOARD MEMBERS.
- 14 AS I MENTIONED TO YOU IN MY BRIEF
- 15 INTRODUCTION, ITEMS FOUR, FIVE, AND SIX ARE
- 16 ITEMS WHERE THE BOARD IS GOING TO BE
- 17 CONSIDERING COMPLIANCE ACTIVITIES FOR THE FIRST
- 18 TIME, IN TERMS OF IMPLEMENTATION FOR THESE
- 19 JURISDICTIONS.
- 20 AND, WITH THAT, I WILL TURN THE
- 21 PRESENTATION OVER TO CHRIS SCHMIDLE AND GARY
- 22 COLLORD WITH THE OFFICE OF LOCAL ASSISTANCE.
- MR. SCHMIDLE: CHAIRMAN
- 24 PENNINGTON, BOARD MEMBERS, THE THREE ITEMS THAT
- 25 YOU'RE GOING TO SEE COMING UP NEXT ARE ALL

- 1 RELATED, AND I'D LIKE TO GIVE YOU JUST A LITTLE
- 2 BIT OF CONTEXT BEFORE WE START ON THEM. AGENDA
- 3 ITEMS FOUR, FIVE, AND SIX RELATE TO BIENNIAL
- 4 REVIEW FOR JURISDICTIONS THAT ARE IN
- 5 NONCOMPLIANCE STATUS.
- 6 AS YOU'RE AWARE, THE BIENNIAL
- 7 REVIEW IS BASED ON PUBLIC RESOURCES CODE 41825,
- 8 AND THAT'S THE STATUTE THAT WE'VE BEEN WORKING
- 9 OFF ON THE PREVIOUS THREE ITEMS. IN ADDITION,
- 10 THE ENFORCEMENT THAT WE MAY BE DISCUSSING NOW
- 11 IS BASED ON PUBLIC RESOURCES CODE 41850.
- 12 THE BIENNIAL REVIEW ISSUES
- 13 BASICALLY ARE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE SRRE AND
- 14 HHWE PROGRAMS, ACHIEVEMENT OF THE 25 PERCENT
- 15 GOAL, AND SHOULD A JURISDICTION HAVE A PROBLEM
- 16 WITH EITHER OF THOSE, WHETHER OR NOT THEY ARE
- 17 MAKING A GOOD-FAITH EFFORT.
- 18 IF A JURISDICTION FAILS TO
- 19 IMPLEMENT THEIR SRRE, THEN THE BOARD MAY ISSUE
- 20 AN ORDER OF COMPLIANCE WITH A SPECIFIC SCHEDULE
- 21 AND CONDITIONS FOR ACHIEVING COMPLIANCE OF THE
- 22 SRRE GOALS. AND, UNDER ENFORCEMENT, IF A
- 23 JURISDICTION FAILS TO MEET THEIR COMPLIANCE
- 24 SCHEDULE, THEN THE BOARD MAY CONSIDER IMPOSING
- 25 PENALTIES OF UP TO \$10,000 PER DAY.

- 1 TO GIVE YOU A BRIEF HISTORY,
- 2 INCLUDING TODAY, UP TILL TODAY, WE HAVE HAD
- 3 ABOUT 244 REVIEWS COMPLETED STATEWIDE, AND ALL
- 4 OF THE JURISDICTIONS REVIEWED SO FAR ARE OVER
- 5 25 PERCENT IN IMPLEMENTING PROGRAMS, OR MAKING
- 6 A GOOD-FAITH EFFORT.
- 7 I'D NOW LIKE TO INTRODUCE GARY
- 8 COLLORD OF THE LOS ANGELES STAFF, WHO WILL BE
- 9 DISCUSSING THE NEXT THREE AGENDA ITEMS, AND
- 10 HE'S GOING TO START WITH HAWAIIAN GARDENS.
- 11 MR. COLLORD: GOOD MORNING, CHAIRMAN
- 12 PENNINGTON, AND MEMBERS OF THE BOARD. MY NAME
- 13 IS GARY COLLORD WITH THE OFFICE OF LOCAL
- 14 ASSISTANCE.
- 15 AGENDA ITEM NUMBER FOUR IS THE
- 16 BIENNIAL REVIEW FOR THE CITY OF HAWAIIAN
- 17 GARDENS. ACCORDING TO THE CITY'S ANNUAL
- 18 REPORTS, NONE OF THE SRRE- SELECTED PROGRAMS
- 19 WERE IMPLEMENTED DURING THE ENVIRONMENT REVIEW
- 20 PERIOD AS ORIGINALLY PLANNED. THE CITY IS WELL
- 21 BELOW THE 25 PERCENT WASTE DIVERSION GOAL FOR
- 22 BOTH 1995 AND '96. THE CITY'S REPORTED
- 23 DIVERSION RATES FOR THESE YEARS ARE ZERO AND
- 24 SIX PERCENT, RESPECTIVELY.
- 25 THE CITY IDENTIFIED SEVERE AND

- 1 ADVERSE BUDGETARY CONDITIONS AS THE REASON FOR
- 2 NOT IMPLEMENTING ITS SRRE DURING THIS PERIOD.
- 3 STAFF CONSIDERED THIS EXPLANATION IN
- 4 EVALUATING WHETHER THE CITY HAD MADE A
- 5 GOOD-FAITH EFFORT IMPLEMENTING ITS SRRE.
- 6 HOWEVER, SINCE THE CITY DID NOT IMPLEMENT ANY OF
- 7 THE LOW-COST PROGRAMS IDENTIFIED IN THE SRRE FOR
- 8 IMPLEMENTATION OR SEEK TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE FROM
- 9 BOARD STAFF IN HELPING THEM TO DEVELOP AND
- 10 IMPLEMENT THEIR DIVERSION PROGRAMS, STAFF CANNOT
- 11 FIND THAT THE CITY HAS MADE A REASONABLE AND
- 12 GOOD-FAITH EFFORT TO IMPLEMENT THE SRRE.
- 13 THE CITY WAS RESPONSIVE TO STAFF'S INOUIRIES
- 14 ABOUT THE STATUS OF THEIR DIVERSION PROGRAMS.
- 15 STAFF DISCUSSED WITH HAWAIIAN GARDENS THE OPTION
- 16 OF PROVIDING TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE TO HELP THE
- 17 CITY DEVELOP AND IMPLEMENT PROGRAMS.
- 18 THE CITY EXPRESSED SOME INTEREST IN THIS, BUT CHOSE
- 19 TO AWAIT THE OUTCOME OF THE BIENNIAL REVIEW
- 20 BECAUSE THE CITY OF HAWAIIAN GARDENS HAS
- 21 NOT IMPLEMENTED ITS SRRE AND HAS NOT ISSUED
- 22 ITS 1995 DIVERSION REQUIREMENTS, STAFF
- 23 RECOMMENDS THE BOARD FIND THAT HAWAIIAN
- 24 GARDENS HAS NOT ADEQUATELY IMPLEMENTED ITS
- 25 SRRE AND DIRECTS STAFF TO DRAFT AN ORDER OF

- 1 COMPLIANCE FOR REVIEW BY THE BOARD IN JANUARY.
- 2 STAFF RECOMMENDS THAT THE COMPLIANCE ORDER
- 3 INCLUDE THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS: NUMBER ONE, CITY
- 4 SHALL IMPLEMENT ALL OF ITS SRRE-SELECTED PROGRAMS
- 5 OR SUITABLE ALTERNATE PROGRAMS BY THE YEAR 2000;
- 6 THE CITY SHALL SUBMIT QUARTERLY REPORTS TO THE
- 7 BOARD WHICH DOCUMENT ITS PROGRESS, IMPLEMENTING
- 8 PROGRAMS, AND MEETING THE DIVERSION REQUIREMENTS,
- 9 AND, THREE, THE CITY SHALL WORK WITH THE BOARD'S
- 10 TARGETED ASSISTANCE IMPLEMENTATION STAFF TO
- 11 INCREASE AND IMPROVE ITS DIVERSION PROGRAMS.
- 12 THIS CONCLUDES OUR REPORT AND
- 13 RECOMMENDATIONS. ARE THERE ANY QUESTIONS FROM
- 14 STAFF?
- 15 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: QUESTIONS FOR STAFF? MR.
- 16 RHOADS? SENATOR ROBERTI?
- 17 MEMBER ROBERTI: YOU HAD INDICATED THAT YOU
- 18 HAD NOT IMPLEMENTED
- 19 LOW COST DUE TO THE LOW COST OF THE PROGRAM.
- 20 COULD YOU TELL ME WHAT THOSE ARE?
- 21 MR. COLLORD: YES. THEY ARE OUTLINED IN THAT
- 22 AGENDA ITEM. EXAMPLES INCLUDE GOVERNMENT SOURCE
- 23 REDUCTION, A RESIDENTIAL DROP-OFF PROGRAM FOR
- 24 RECYCLABLE MATERIALS, SELF-HAUL PROGRAM FOR
- 25 RESIDENTIAL GREEN WASTE, A SCHOOL CURRICULUM

- 1 PROGRAM, AND LAND USAGE INCENTIVES FOR XERISCAPE.
- 2 MEMBER ROBERTI: DO WE HAVE ANY IDEA WHAT THE
- 3 COST IS ON ALL OF THAT? WHAT WOULD THE MINIMAL
- 4 COST BE? HAVE YOU DONE AN ANALYSIS?
- 5 MR. COLLORD: WE REALLY HAVEN'T, NO.
- 6 MEMBER ROBERTI: I'LL WAIT FOR THE CITY TO
- 7 COMMENT.
- 8 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. ANY FURTHER
- 9 QUESTIONS? WE HAVE DAVE AULT.
- 10 MR. AULT: GOOD MORNING, MR. CHAIRMAN, BOARD
- 11 MEMBERS, DAVE AULT, CHAIRMAN OF TAORMINA
- 12 INDUSTRIES, MERGED WITH REPUBLIC, REPUBLIC OFFICER
- 13 AND PURCHASED CONSOLIDATED DISPOSAL, AND
- 14 CONSOLIDATED FRANCHISE ADMINISTRATOR. I'M MORE
- 15 CONFUSING THAN WHAT I'M GOING TO TALK ABOUT NOW.
- 16 I APPRECIATE THE STAFF'S REPORT, AND IT'S VERY
- 17 DIFFICULT FOR ME AT TIMES TO COME FORWARD BECAUSE
- 18 I WOULD AGREE ENTIRELY WITH WHAT GARY HAS SAID
- 19 HERE ON THE FIRST TWO YEARS OF HAWAIIAN GARDENS.
- 20 JUST TAKE A COUPLE MINUTES OF YOUR TIME. IN
- 21 1995, THE FIRST YEAR OF OUR ANNUAL REVIEW FOR
- 22 HAWAIIAN GARDENS, THE CITY HAD GONE THROUGH
- 23 THREE CITY MANAGERS WHO WERE TERMINATED.
- 24 HAWAIIAN GARDENS IS PROBABLY ONE OF, IF NOT THE
- 25 POOREST COMMUNITY IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

- 1 THEY HAD REALLY NO ANNUAL REPORT, AND THE
- 2 ONLY RECYCLING PROGRAMS IN HAWAIIAN GARDENS AT
- 3 THE TIME WERE SELF-RECYCLING BY INDIVIDUALS FOR
- 4 MONETARY REASONS, BOTTLES AND CANS. THE HAULER
- 5 AT THE TIME HAD NO PROGRAMS IMPLEMENTED, AND, IN
- 6 DEED, THE CITY WAS IN NONCOMPLIANCE.
- 7 IN 1996, THE FINANCIAL CONDITION OF THE
- 8 HAWAIIAN GARDENS DID NOT CHANGE, NOR REALLY DID
- 9 THE ATTITUDE OF THE ADMINISTRATORS OF THE CITY. AT
- 10 THE END OF 1996, WE PURCHASED CONSOLIDATED
- 11 DISPOSAL AND PUT INTO PLACE A COMMERCIAL
- 12 RECYCLING PROGRAM IN DECEMBER OF THAT YEAR. WE
- 13 UNDERWROTE THE COST OF THAT PROGRAM.
- 14 IF WE WERE TO END THE PRESENTATION AT THIS
- 15 TIME, I WOULD TOTALLY AGREE WITH STAFF'S
- 16 RECOMMENDATION REPORT THAT WE WERE NOT IN
- 17 COMPLIANCE. BUT I THINK AS BOARD MEMBER EATON HAD
- 18 MENTIONED EARLIER, THE TIMING OF THE GOOD-FAITH
- 19 EFFORT IS VERY IMPORTANT. I WOULD LIKE TO SPEND
- 20 MAYBE ONE OR TWO MINUTES ON THAT.
- 21 IN 1997, WE HAD A NEW COUNSIL AND A NEW CITY
- 22 MANAGER WHICH GAVE DIRECTION AND A FEELING OF A
- 23 HIGHER PRIORITY TO THE WASTE ISSUE. UNTIL THAT TIME,
- 24 IT WAS A SURVIVAL MODE IN HAWAIIAN GARDENS.
- 25 WORKING WITH THE FRANCHISE HAULER

- 1 CONSOLIDATED DISPOSAL, THEY IMPLEMENTED IN 1997 A
- 2 CURBSIDE RECYCLING PROGRAM, A CURBSIDE
- 3 GREEN WASTE PROGRAM -- A YARD WASTE PROGRAM, AND
- 4 WENT CITYWIDE WITH COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL
- 5 RECYCLING. THEY PUT BASICALLY A TAX OR A FEE UPON
- 6 THEMSELVES COMPLYING IN GOOD FAITH WITH AB 939.
- 7 THEY INCREASED THE RESIDENTIAL RATE BY OVER 30
- 8 PERCENT TO MEET THEIR MANDATES. THIS IS A CITY THAT
- 9 IS VERY POOR AND MAKING A GOOD-FAITH EFFORT.
- 10 I WANT TO ADD, BASICALLY, WE HAVE REPRESENTED
- 11 OVER 25 JURISDICTIONS TO WHO HAVE ALREADY BEEN
- 12 APPROVED ON THE BIENNIAL REVIEWS. I KNOW OF NO
- 13 JURISDICTION THAT'S WORKED HARDER AND HAS GIVEN UP
- 14 MORE THAN HAWAIIAN GARDENS TO GET TO THIS GOAL.
- 15 YOU KNOW, IT'S EASY FOR VILLA PARK TODAY TO
- 16 HAVE A 60 PERCENT DIVERSION. THEY'RE HALF-ACRE
- 17 ESTATES. HAWAIIAN GARDENS IS A VERY POOR
- 18 COMMUNITY.
- 19 NOW, WHEN WE LOOK AT OUR 1997 REPORT, WHICH
- 20 WAS COMPLETELY REDONE AND GIVEN TO STAFF TODAY,
- 21 IT REPRESENTS A 51 PERCENT WASTE DIVERSION FOR THAT
- 22 COMMUNITY. NOW, IN ALL HONESTY, THAT REFLECTS THE
- 23 COUNTY OF THE LOS ANGELES REALLOCATION OF THEIR
- 24 NRP OF TONNAGE. PRIOR TO THAT REALLOCATION, THE
- 25 DIVERSION INCREASED FROM 0 TO 6 TO 30 PERCENT IN THE

- 1 LAST THREE YEARS, AND IT'S STILL CONTINUING TO
- 2 INCREASE.
- 3 I WOULD RESPECTFULLY REQUEST THAT THE BOARD
- 4 LOOK AT THIS BEYOND THE 1995, '96 WINDOW. IF WE WANT
- 5 TO SEND A MESSAGE TO A COMMUNITY THAT HAS STEPPED
- 6 FORWARD AND MADE A GREAT-FAITH EFFORT, IT WOULD
- 7 BE HAWAIIAN GARDENS. AND I'M SAYING THIS, NOT
- 8 REPRESENTING HAWAIIAN GARDENS, BUT REPRESENTING A
- 9 NUMBER OF JURISDICTIONS THROUGHOUT SOUTHERN
- 10 CALIFORNIA.
- 11 THIS GRAPHICALLY SHOWS EXACTLY WHAT THE
- 12 STAFF IS REPORTING: THE 0 PERCENT IN 1995, 6 PERCENT
- 13 IN 1996, AND 51 PERCENT IN 1997. THAT 51 PERCENT IS AN
- 14 APPROVED NUMBER BY THE STATE BOARD FOR DIVERSION
- 15 FOR HAWAIIAN GARDENS. AGAIN, THAT REPRESENTS THE
- 16 NEW ALLOCATED TONNAGE FROM THE COUNTY OF LOS
- 17 ANGELES, WHICH WAS JUST RECENTLY RECALCULATED.
- 18 BUT EVEN PRIOR TO THAT, WE WERE RIGHT AROUND 30
- 19 PERCENT DIVERSION.
- 20 AND, FINALLY, BOARD MEMBER JONES HAS SAID
- 21 MANY TIMES THAT NUMBERS ARE ONE THING AND
- 22 PROGRAMS ARE AN ENTIRELY DIFFERENT THING. AND IT'S
- 23 IMPORTANT THAT WE GET THESE DIVERSION LEVELS WITH
- 24 PROGRAMS, NOT JUST NUMBERS.
- 25 THIS PICTURE WAS TAKEN FRIDAY OUTSIDE OF A

- 1 HOME IN HAWAIIAN GARDENS. AND YOU CAN SEE THEY
- 2 HAVE A FULL, THREE-CAN OPERATION. THEY HAVE TRASH.
- 3 THEY HAVE A YARD-WASTE PROGRAM, AND THEY HAVE A
- 4 RECYCLING PROGRAM. WE ALSO HAVE A 100 PERCENT
- 5 PARTICIPATION IN THE COMMERCIAL/INDUSTRIAL
- 6 RECYCLING.
- 7 THAT CONCLUDES MY SHORT PRESENTATION TO YOU.
- 8 I DO WANT TO ADD THAT A NUMBER OF THE COMMENTS
- 9 MADE ABOUT THE INEXPENSIVE PROGRAMS ARE BEING
- 10 IMPLEMENTED AT OUR COST. WE'VE GONE INTO THE
- 11 SCHOOL SYSTEMS WITH A RECYCLE PROGRAM FOR THE
- 12 ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS. WE CALL THEM OUR RECYCLING
- 13 LOUNGE LIZARDS FOR LOS ANGELES. VERY SUCCESSFUL.
- 14 WE'VE STARTED THE PROGRAM ON A RECYCLING
- 15 PROGRAM BUYING RECYCLED PAPER. BUT I WANT YOU TO
- 16 UNDERSTAND THE CITY OF HAWAIIAN GARDENS ISN'T EVEN
- 17 REPRESENTING THEMSELVES HERE TODAY BECAUSE THEIR
- 18 STAFF HAS BEEN SO DEPLENISHED BY THEIR ECONOMIC
- 19 CONCERNS AND PROBLEMS. IT WOULD BE LIKE ASKING
- 20 YOUR WASTE STAFF TO DO ALL OF THESE ANNUAL
- 21 REVIEWS WITH ONE INDIVIDUAL. I'M WORKING FOR THEM
- 22 AND HELPING THEM, AND IT TAKES HOURS TO GET A
- 23 RETURN TELEPHONE CALL TO ME.
- 24 50, AGAIN, WE'RE DOING THE BEST WE CAN IN
- 25 SUPPORTING THE CITY. I THINK THE MESSAGE THAT I

- 1 WOULD LIKE TO SEND TO THEM, AND RESPECTFULLY, IS
- 2 THAT THEY MADE A GREAT-FAITH EFFORT IN THE LAST
- 3 YEAR OR SO.
- 4 THANK YOU. I'D BE GLAD TO ANSWER ANY
- 5 QUESTIONS YOU MAY HAVE.
- 6 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: QUESTIONS? MR. EATON.
- 7 MEMBER EATON: YES. I'M INTERESTED IN YOUR
- 8 CALCULATIONS THAT YOU MENTIONED IN REGARDS TO LOS
- 9 ANGLES COUNTY. MY UNDERSTANDING IS THAT WAS IN
- 10 THE UNINCORPORATED AREA OF THE CITY OF HAWAIIAN
- 11 GARDENS AND THE CITY IS INCORPORATED?
- MR. AULT: THAT WAS WHAT WE'VE BEEN GIVEN
- 13 THROUGH THE WORKSHOP AND THE NUMBERS RELEASED
- 14 BY STAFF, IS THEY ALLOCATED THE COUNTIES
- 15 THROUGHOUT THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, AND THE
- 16 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES -- THE COUNTY AREAS, NOT THE
- 17 CITY, I BELIEVE. CORRECT? THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
- 18 WOULD PROBABLY KNOW MORE THAN I WOULD ON THAT
- 19 ISSUE.
- 20 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR CHANDLER: JUDY, YOU'RE
- 21 GOING TO HAVE TO HELP THEM AND GO THROUGH THE
- 22 ALLOCATION AND UNALLOCATION TONNAGE FOR STAFF
- 23 MEMBER.
- 24 MS. FRIEDMAN: OKAY. I'LL DO THE BEST I CAN, AND
- 25 THEN I'LL ASK MY STAFF TO JUMP IN AS WELL.

- 1 YOU RECALL THAT WE HAD AN ITEM THAT CAME
- 2 BEFORE THE BOARD THAT DEALT WITH LOS ANGELES
- 3 UNINCORPORATED COUNTY, AND HAD A METHOD --
- 4 MEMBER EATON: 3.8?
- 5 MS. FRIEDMAN: CORRECT. THERE WAS A CERTAIN
- 6 AMOUNT OF TONNAGE.
- 7 MEMBER EATON: AND WOULD THE CITY WITHIN THE
- 8 COUNTY BE ELIGIBLE FOR THE ALLOCATION OF 3.8?
- 9 MS. FRIEDMAN: WHAT WE DESCRIBED IN THAT
- 10 PARTICULAR ITEM WAS THAT THE 3.8 WAS THE TOTAL
- 11 TONNAGE, AND ONCE THE BOARD ADOPTED THAT
- 12 PARTICULAR THING FOR LOS ANGELES UNINCORPORATED,
- 13 THEN OTHER JURISDICTIONS COULD COME IN AND ASK FOR
- 14 THEIR SHARE OF THAT 3.8.
- 15 WE HAD A WORKSHOP WITH THE JURISDICTIONS IN
- 16 LOS ANGELES TO DESCRIBE THAT PROCESS WHEREBY THEY
- 17 COULD COME IN AND ASK FOR THAT SHARE USING THAT
- 18 METHOD. AT THIS POINT, TO DATE, HAWAIIAN GARDENS
- 19 HAS NOT BEEN HEARD BY THE BOARD OR COME IN FOR
- 20 THAT BASE-YEAR ADJUSTMENT. THERE WAS A WORKSHOP.
- 21 IT WAS DISCUSSED, BUT THAT HAS NOT HAD INITIAL
- 22 BOARD ACTION AT THIS POINT.
- 23 MEMBER EATON: AND WAS THAT LIMITED TO SOUTH
- 24 POL (SIC) OR PART OF THE SOUTH POL THE 3.8? DO YOU
- 25 REMEMBER?

- 1 MS. FRIEDMAN: SOUTH POL.
- 2 MEMBER EATON: SOUTH POL ONLY. SO HOW MANY,
- 3 IF YOU HAVEN'T COME IN HERE AND GOTTEN THAT
- 4 CALCULATION, DID YOU INCORPORATE IN YOUR OWN
- 5 CALCULATION?
- 6 MR. AULT: THESE WERE THE NUMBERS THAT WERE
- 7 GIVEN TO US AT THE WORKSHOP WHICH WERE ALLOCATED
- 8 FOR HAWAIIAN GARDENS BY THE CALIFORNIA WASTE
- 9 MANAGEMENT BOARD. SO, AGAIN, WITHOUT THOSE
- 10 NUMBERS, WE GET 30 PERCENT. WITH THOSE NUMBERS,
- 11 WERE AT 51 PERCENT. AND, AGAIN, THE FORMAL
- 12 PROCEDURE THAT PROCEDURE, I BELIEVE, WAS HELD
- 13 ABOUT A WEEK AGO, AND I HAVE NOT FINALIZED HOW WE
- 14 WOULD ADDRESS THAT ISSUE.
- 15 AGAIN, WE'RE RESPONDING TO THE IMMEDIATE
- 16 ACTION HERE UNDER AGENDA ITEM 4. AND EVEN WITHOUT
- 17 THAT ALLOCATION, WHICH I BELIEVE WILL BE ALLOCATED
- 18 TO THE CITY BASED UPON THE WORK DONE BY THE STAFF,
- 19 IT WOULD BE WITHOUT THAT A 30 PERCENT, WHICH WOULD
- 20 BE WITHIN COMPLIANCE CURRENTLY AND AT 51 PERCENT
- 21 AFTER THE ALLOCATION.
- 22 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: YES. SENATOR ROBERTI.
- MEMBER ROBERTI: ONE OF THE PROBLEMS, AS I
- 24 UNDERSTAND IT, IS HAWAIAAN GARDENS HAS NOT
- 25 IMPLEMENTED THE PROGRAMS OF THE SRRE DESPITE,

- 1 IRRESPECTIVELY, THE 51 PERCENT REDUCTION?
- 2 MR. AULT: THAT WOULD BE CORRECT FOR 1995 AND
- 3 LATTER PART TILL THE LATTER PART OF '96.
- 4 MEMBER ROBERTI: 1997 HAS BEEN IMPLEMENTED?
- 5 YOU'RE SAYING STAFF IS AWARE OF THIS
- 6 IMPLEMENTATION?
- 7 MR. COLLORD: WE'VE JUST BEEN GIVEN THIS DATA
- 8 ABOUT AN HOUR AGO, AND SO WE REALLY HAVEN'T HAD
- 9 TIME TO PROPERLY EVALUATE IT. THE '94, '95, AND '96, THE
- 10 NUMBERS, WE BELIEVE, ARE CORRECT. IT'S 0 AND 6
- 11 PERCENT.
- 12 MEMBER ROBERTI: THE BIENNIAL REPORT COVERS
- 13 WHICH TWO YEARS THEN?
- 14 MR. COLLORD: 1995 AND 1996.
- 15 MEMBER ROBERTI: AND MR AULT WAS SAYING 1997 --
- MR. COLLORD: WELL -
- 17 MEMBER ROBERTI: ABOUT THIS IMPLEMENTATION
- 18 TAKING PLACE?
- 19 MR. COLLORD: YES, I BELIEVE SO. THAT IS CORRECT.
- 20 MR. AULT: THE 1997 ANNUAL REPORT WHICH REFERS
- 21 TO THESE PROGRAMS HAS BEEN REVISED TO REFLECT
- 22 ACTUAL PROGRAMS. I'M NOT MAKING ANY EXCUSES FOR
- 23 THE CITY. THEY DID A VERY POOR JOB IN REPRESENTING
- 24 WHAT THEY WERE DOING FOR THE PAST TWO YEARS. BUT I
- 25 CAN ONLY EXPLAIN THAT THEIR PRIORITY WAS SURVIVAL

- 1 DURING THAT PERIOD OF TIME, GOING THROUGH SEVEN
- 2 CITY MANAGERS IN LESS THAN TWO AND A HALF YEARS,
- 3 AND AT ONE TIME THE CITY WAS GOING TO FOLD UP AND
- 4 GO INTO THE COUNTY.
- 5 RIGHTFULLY, WRONGFULLY, THEY DIDN'T ADDRESS
- 6 THESE ISSUES. WE'VE TAKEN OVER THEIR REPORTING FOR
- 7 THEM IN THIS PAST YEAR. WE PUT THE PROGRAM IN
- 8 PLACE. WE'VE TAKEN A VERY PROACTIVE ROLE WITH THE
- 9 CITY OF HAWAIIAN GARDENS, UNDERSTANDING THAT THEY
- 10 DON'T HAVE THE RESOURCES TO DO SO.
- 11 WE HAVE A NUMBER OF CITIES -- WE HAVE, I BELIEVE
- 12 18 FRANCHISED OPERATIONS IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY. WE
- 13 ARE FAMILIAR WITH THE OPERATIONS THERE, AND WE ARE
- 14 WORKING WITH GARY AND THE WASTE STAFF.
- 15 IT IS A VERY UNIQUE SITUATION, BUT I FEEL THAT
- 16 THE EFFORT MADE BY THE CITY IN THE PAST YEAR AND A
- 17 HALF REALLY WARRANTS A POSITIVE REACTION AS
- 18 OPPOSED TO A NEGATIVE ONE.
- 19 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: MR. FRAZEE.
- 20 MEMBER FRAZEE: MR CHAIRMAN, I THINK WE FIND
- 21 OURSELVES IN A BIT OF A QUANDARY HERE BECAUSE
- 22 WHAT WE'RE CONSIDERING IS CONSIDERATION IN THE
- 23 ARREARS, AND REALLY CAN'T TECHNICALLY OR LEGALLY
- 24 LOOK AT THE GOOD-FAITH EFFORTS IN 1997.
- 25 I THINK THE RESOLUTION THAT WAS DRAFTED

- 1 LEAVES ROOM FOR THAT AND TO RECOGNIZE THAT IN THE
- 2 NEXT REVIEW, AND ITS GOOD TO HEAR, BUT I THINK THE
- 3 RESOLUTION IS SOFT ENOUGH IN ITS COMPLIANCE OF
- 4 PROCEDURES TO ACCOMMODATE THIS, AND WE CAN ADOPT
- 5 THE RESOLUTION AND GIVE THE CITY A PAT ON THE BACK
- 6 AT THE SAME TIME.
- 7 I HOPE THAT EVERYONE WILL UNDERSTAND THAT IT'S
- 8 NOT A NEGATIVE, THAT WE DO RECOGNIZE THAT THEY
- 9 MADE A GIANT LEAP FORWARD, AND ARE IN COMPLIANCE
- 10 NOW, BUT WE ARE FACED WITH THIS SITUATION OF HAVING
- 11 TO CONSIDER '95 AND '96, AND NOT '97.
- 12 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. MR. RHOADS.
- 13 MEMBER RHOADS: I AGREE WITH MR. FRAZEE. WE'VE
- 14 HAD A LOT OF OTHER CITIES COME BEFORE US TODAY WHO
- 15 HAVE DONE THE WORK AND DONE IT ON TIME. AND IT'S
- 16 CERTAINLY NOT FAIR TO OUR STAFF TO, ALL OF A SUDDEN,
- 17 TO PRESENT THEM WITH A WHOLE SLEW OF NUMBERS AND
- 18 FORMS AND EXPECT
- 19 THEM TO TURN IT AROUND IN ONE HOUR AND COMMENT.
- 20 WHAT IS THE PROBLEM WITH US ADOPTING THE
- 21 RESOLUTION BEFORE US? IF YOUR NUMBERS ARE AS GOOD
- 22 AS THEM CLAIM AND IF YOU HAVE IMPLEMENTED THE
- 23 PROGRAMS, THEN THAT OUGHT TO BE REFLECTED IN THE
- 24 COMPLIANCE REPORT THAT THE STAFF IS GOING TO
- 25 PRESENT TO US IN JANUARY. WHAT IS THE PROBLEM WITH

- 1 THAT FROM YOUR STANDPOINT?
- 2 MR. AULT: IF THE STAFF IS COMING BACK WITH A
- 3 REPORT IN JANUARY, THERE WOULD BE NO PROBLEM AT
- 4 ALL. THE WAY I READ THE REPORT NOW IS IT'S A
- 5 QUARTERLY REPORT. WE HAVE ADDITIONAL PAPERWORK
- 6 FOR THE CITY STAFF TO DO.
- 7 AGAIN, WE'LL PROBABLY BE DOING THAT PAPERWORK
- 8 FOR THEM. WE DON'T MIND DOING THAT. I JUST WANTED
- 9 TO BE ABLE TO BRING A PICTURE TO YOU MUCH DIFFERENT
- 10 THAN WHAT YOU RECEIVE IN YOUR STAFF REPORT, AND
- 11 THAT'S IN RESPECT WITH YOUR STAFF.
- 12 I THINK YOUR STAFF IS WONDERFUL, AND THEY DO A
- 13 GREAT JOB, AND IT'S VERY ACCURATE REPRESENTATION,
- 14 BUT I WANT TO TAKE IT ONE STEP FURTHER, AND THAT'S
- 15 WHAT I'M BRINGING TO YOU, BOARD MEMBER, IS THERE'S
- 16 MORE TO THIS THAN JUST THE INITIAL CURSORY LOOK,
- 17 AND IT'S AN AREA WHERE YOU HAVE A CITY THAT A NEW
- 18 COUNSIL POLITICALLY TOOK A CHANCE AND RAISED
- 19 RATES, PUT PROGRAMS IN,
- 20 AND WE KNOW WHAT ACTION YOU'RE TAKING HERE,
- 21 AND THAT ACTION IS PROBABLY APPROPRIATE. BUT HOW
- 22 IT'S UNDERSTOOD 300 MILES AWAY IN LOCAL PRESSURE
- 23 AND LOCAL PRESS AND LOCAL POLITICS, WHICH WE'RE ALL
- 24 VERY FAMILIAR WITH, HOW DOES THIS PLAY WITH THE
- 25 PEOPLE WHO PUT THESE PROGRAMS IN WHO ADDRESS

- 1 THEIR PERSONAL POLITICAL CAREERS IN A VERY
- 2 DIFFICULT ARENA?
- 3 I JUST THINK THAT -- AND WHAT YOU'RE SAYING IS
- 4 ACCURATE. YOU'RE COMMENTS ARE ACCURATE, BUT,
- 5 AGAIN, IF THERE'S A WAY THAT YOU CAN ADDRESS THIS IN
- 6 A MATTER, MAYBE EVEN TO ASK STAFF TO COME BACK
- 7 NEXT MONTH WITH FURTHER ANALYSIS.
- 8 I'M SORRY YOU JUST GOT THIS INFORMATION TODAY.
- 9 QUITE FRANKLY, I DIDN'T GET THE INFORMATION TILL THE
- 10 LATTER OR THE MIDDLE PART OF LAST WEEK. WE PUT IT
- 11 ALL TOGETHER IN ABOUT FOUR DAYS, THIS INFORMATION.
- 12 SO, AGAIN, IF IT WOULD BE FAIR IN LOOKING AT IT NEXT
- 13 MONTH, I'D BE GLAD TO COME BACK UP AND WORK THIS
- 14 OUT.
- 15 MEMBER RHOADS: I HAVE NO TROUBLE WITH THE
- 16 RESOLUTION THE WAY IT IS WRITTEN RIGHT NOW. MY
- 17 UNDERSTANDING OF THE RESOLUTION IS THAT YOU GUYS
- 18 WILL COME BACK WITH A COMPLIANCE ORDER IN
- 19 JANUARY, AND AT THAT TIME, THEY WILL BE ABLE TO
- 20 COMMENT ON THE PROGRAMS YOU'VE IMPLEMENTED AND
- 21 COMMENT ON THE FACTS AND THE NUMBERS THAT YOU'VE
- 22 GIVEN TO THEM. AND, IF IT IS TRUE THAT YOU HAVE
- 23 ACCOMPLISHED 30 PERCENT OF THE 51 PERCENT
- 24 REGULATORY SEWER STATS, WHICH WOULD REFLECT THAT
- 25 IN YOUR COMMENTS TO US IN JANUARY.

- 1 MR. AULT: IT WOULD BE VERY CLEAR
- MEMBER RHOADS: BUT, AS OF RIGHT NOW, I HAVE
- 3 ZERO SUPPORT IN THAT RESOLUTION.
- 4 MR. AULT: AGAIN, THOSE NUMBERS -- THEY'RE
- 5 CLARIFIED. THOSE NUMBERS ARE FROM THE BOARD
- 6 MEMBERS. THOSE AREN'T NUMBERS THAT WE ADJUSTED
- 7 OR CREATED.
- 8 I HAVE, AGAIN, NO PROBLEM COMING BACK IN
- 9 JANUARY AND MEETING WITH STAFF AND COMING -
- 10 FORWARD. MY CONCERN TODAY WAS THE PRESENTATION
- 11 OF WHAT HAWAIIAN GARDENS HAS DONE, AND I CLEARLY
- 12 PRESENT THAT TO YOU.
- 13 MR RHOADS: THAT'S WHY WE PUT IT ON THE
- 14 AGENDA.
- 15 MR. AULT: THANK YOU.
- 16 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. MR. FRAZEE:
- 17 MEMBER FRAZEE: YEAH. IN READING THE
- 18 RESOLUTION THOROUGHLY, THE RESOLVE CLAUSE
- 19 NUMBER TWO, I DO HAVE A LITTLE PROBLEM WITH THAT.
- 20 AND IT INDICATES THE CITY SHALL DOCUMENT ITS
- 21 PROGRESS IN IMPLEMENTING SELECTED PROGRAMS AND
- 22 MEETING THE DIVERSION REQUIREMENTS OF 25 PERCENT
- 23 BY 1995 AND 50 PERCENT BY 2000 IN QUARTERLY REPORTS
- 24 TO THE BOARD
- 25 I DON'T -- THAT'S OBVIOUSLY NOT GOING TO HAPPEN.

- 1 I THINK THAT ONE
- 2 OUGHT TO BE REWRITTEN IN SUCH A MATTER AS TO
- 3 INDICATE THE SIGNIFICANT PROGRESS THAT CAN BE MADE
- 4 OR IS BEING MADE.
- 5 I THINK IT'S VERY OBVIOUS THERE'S NO WAY THAT
- 6 THEY'RE GOING TO MEET AGAIN,
- 7 RETROACTIVELY, THOSE GOALS, NOR DO I THINK THE
- 8 QUARTERLY REPORTS ARE GOING TO BE READY.
- 9 MS. FRIEDMAN: MR. CHAIRMAN?
- 10 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: YES.
- 11 MS. FRIEDMAN: IT'S PART OF OUR REVIEW THAT WE
- 12 WOULD BE CONDUCTING -- WE
- 13 WOULD BE ABLE TO TAKE A LOOK AT THAT AND REFLECT
- 14 THAT WHAT MR. FRAZEE IS TALKING ABOUT IN THE ITEM
- 15 THAT COMES BEFORE YOU IN JANUARY.
- 16 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: MR. EATON.
- 17 MEMBER EATON: I CONTINUE TO BE A LITTLE BIT
- 18 PUZZLED AND SOMEWHAT CONCERNED BY THE
- 19 IMPLICATION AS HE STARTED DOWN THE ROAD WITH LA
- 20 COUNTY, AND HOPEFULLY SOME OF MY FELLOW BOARD
- 21 MEMBERS WILL CORRECT ME IF I AM MISTAKEN, BUT ALL
- 22 ALONG, THE GAME HAS BEEN PLAYED AS THE
- 23 UNDERPINNING FOR PRESUMED THE ALLOCATION OF THE
- 24 INCORPORATED AREAS. THEY WEREN'T OUR FIGURES, AND
- 25 THEY NEVER WERE, AND THEY NEVER WILL. THOSE ARE

- 1 FIGURES GENERATED BY CONSULTANTS. NOW, WE'RE
- 2 BEING TOLD THAT THEY'RE OUR OWN FIGURES.
- 3 I JUST WANT TO SET THE RECORD, FOR AT LEAST MY
- 4 IMPRESSION, THOSE RECORDS, SIR, ARE NOT OUR FIGURES.
- 5 THEY MAY HAVE BEEN CALCULATED BY OUR STAFF, BUT
- 6 THE PROPER PROCESS IS YOU HAVE TO COME AND SEEK
- 7 THIS BOARD'S APPROVAL FOR THOSE NUMBERS, HOWEVER
- 8 YOU CALCULATE THEM, AND THEN THE BOARD AND THIS
- 9 STAFF WILL CHECK OUT AND SEE IF YOUR FIGURES ARE
- 10 ACCURATE.
- 11 SO I DON'T WANT TO MAKE ANY MISTAKE WHEN THAT
- 12 REPORT COMES. NOW THAT'S MY UNDERSTANDING. NOW I
- 13 WOULD LIKE ONE OF THE BOARD MEMBERS TO KIND OF GO,
- 14 BECAUSE I DON'T THINK YOU CAN GET TO THE OTHER
- 15 POINT UNLESS YOU'VE GONE THROUGH. YOU JUST CAN'T
- 16 SORT OF BOOTSTRAP IT UP. BUT HELP ME ON THIS. I
- 17 THINK THAT'S WHAT WE'RE KIND OF TALKING ABOUT.
- 18 MEMBER FRAZEE: I THINK WITHOUT THE -- EVEN
- 19 WITHOUT THE ADJUSTMENTS, THEY INDICATE THEY'RE AT
- 20 31 PERCENT, WHICH PUTS THEM AT --
- 21 MEMBER EATON: I HAVE NO PROBLEM WITH --
- 22 MEMBER JONES: I THINK WHAT MR. EATON IS
- 23 SAYING, THOUGH, THAT WE KEEP TELLING, ESPECIALLY
- 24 SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA, THAT THESE ARE NOT OUR
- 25 NUMBERS. WE DIDN'T DEVELOP THEM. WE DIDN'T GIN

- 1 THEM UP. WE DIDN'T DO ANYTHING. THEY ARE THOSE
- 2 JURISDICTION'S NUMBERS. SO I THINK FOR STAYING
- 3 CONSISTENT, IF THE NUMBERS THAT THEY WORKED OUT,
- 4 AS FAR AS A WORKSHEET GO, WERE PROVIDED BY STAFF TO
- 5 HELP FIGURE OUT IF THOSE NUMBERS, YOU KNOW, WHERE
- 6 YOU FALL. I CAN SEE THAT BEING PART OF THE EXERCISE,
- 7 BUT I THINK THE NUMBERS BELONG TO HAWAIIAN
- 8 GARDENS.
- 9 MR. AULT: YES, SIR. I AGREE WITH YOU. I THINK,
- 10 OTHERWISE, IN THIS ROUND, YOU'VE GOT TO END UP
- 11 CHANGING THE NUMBERS. THE PROGRAM SPEAKS FOR
- 12 ITSELF.
- 13 MR. JONES: MR CHAIRMAN, I HAVE ANOTHER ISSUE
- 14 ON THIS, AND IT NEVER FAILS WHEN WE HAVE ONE OF
- 15 THREE, IT JUST NEVER FAILS. I THINK IN THIS
- 16 RESOLUTION, WE'RE GOING TO DIRECT STAFF TO DRAFT AN
- 17 ORDER OF COMPLIANCE. MAYBE ON THIS ONE WE OUGHT
- 18 TO, IN THAT FIRST PART OF THE RESOLUTION WHICH SAYS
- 19 THE CITY SHALL IMPLEMENT ALL THE PROGRAMS, MAYBE
- 20 WE NEED THEM TO REPORT ON THE PROGRAMS THEY'RE
- 21 GOING TO IMPLEMENT AS OPPOSED TO MANDATING THAT
- 22 THEY DO THE MALL.
- 23 THE OTHER THING I'D LIKE TO SEE. I'D LIKE TO HAVE
- 24 MY FELLOW BOARD MEMBERS DISCUSS THIS ITEM,
- 25 BECAUSE I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT THAT ON EVERY ONE OF

- 1 THESE COMPLIANCE ORDERS, ON EVERY ONE OF THEM, WE
- 2 DIRECT STAFF TO -- AS THE FOURTH, FIFTH, SIXTH,
- 3 SEVENTH, WHATEVER ITEM, THE LAST ITEM THAT AT THE
- 4 END OF THE COMPLIANCE SCHEDULE, WE WILL HAVE A
- 5 HEARING TO SAY THAT IT IS EITHER -- YOU COMPLY OR
- 6 YOU ARE GOING TO BE FINED. YOU'LL HAVE THE HEARING
- 7 FOR THE FINE.
- 8 I THINK WE DO OURSELVES A DISSERVICE NOT TO
- 9 IDENTIFY THE POTENTIAL FOR A \$10,000 FINE LEAVING
- 10 WITHOUT A COMPLIANCE ORDER. I'M NOT SAYING WHAT
- 11 THE FINE WOULD BE, BUT RESTATING IN LAW, THAT IT IS
- 12 UP TO \$10,000. BECAUSE, TO WALK AWAY WITH A
- 13 COMPLIANCE PLAN, THAT'S WHAT WE NEED TO DO, AND
- 14 THAT'S WHAT THE LAW TELLS US TO DO, IS TO WORK WITH
- 15 THEM, GET A COMPLIANCE PLAN, AND TRY TO WORK IT
- 16 OUT.
- 17 IF THEY IGNORE THAT OR DON'T WORK WITHIN THE
- 18 COMPLIANCE PLAN, THEN WE'RE LOOKING AT ANOTHER
- 19 THREE OR FOUR MONTHS BEFORE WE HAVE AN ITEM TO
- 20 DEAL WITH THE HAMMER PART OF THE LAW, AND I DON'T
- 21 LIKE THAT TIMING. I DON'T WANT TO WORK WITH
- 22 SOMEBODY OR GIVE THEM A COMPLIANCE PLAN WHICH
- 23 THEY THINK IS EXACTLY WHAT WE NEED TO DO.
- 24 IF THEY IGNORE THE COMPLIANCE PLAN THE MONTH
- 25 FOLLOWING THE LAST DAY, THE COMPLIANCE PLAN LAYS

- 1 OUT THAT THERE WILL BE A HEARING TO DETERMINE,
- 2 NUMBER ONE, YOU'VE COMPLIED, AND NUMBER TWO,
- 3 WE'RE GOING TO HAVE AN ITEM ON ENFORCEMENT, AND I
- 4 THINK THAT'S A MESSAGE THAT NEEDS TO BE LOUD AND
- 5 CLEAR COMING FROM THE ORGANIZATION.
- 6 MEMBER RHOADS: SO, MR. JONES, WHERE WOULD
- 7 YOU PROPOSE THAT WE DO THAT?
- 8 MR. JONES: I THINK WE CREATE A FOURTH
- 9 CONDITION. I THINK YOU UNDERSTAND WHAT I'M SAYING.
- 10 I JUST WANT TO IDENTIFY THAT UPON WHEN YOU DRAFT A
- 11 COMPLIANCE SCHEDULE, YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE A DATE.
- 12 YOU'RE GOING TO SAY THESE THINGS NEED TO BE DONE BY
- 13 THIS DATE. I'D LIKE TO SEE THE FOLLOWING MONTH, THAT
- 14 WEEK, IT COMES BACK TO THE BOARD.
- 15 WE EITHER GET THE EVIDENCE THAT THEY HAVE
- 16 COMPLIED OR AT THAT MEETING, WE SET THE TIME FOR
- 17 THE HEARING.
- 18 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. MR. FRAZEE.
- 19 MEMBER FRAZEE: -- IN PLACE OF THE RESOLVED
- 20 CLAUSE NUMBER TWO.
- 21 MEMBER RHOADS: WELL, I WOULD -- THE
- 22 SUGGESTION I WOULD HAVE IS I WOULD LIKE TO LEAVE
- 23 TWO IN THERE, BUT TAKE OUT THE MEETING TWO
- 24 DIVERSION REQUIREMENT OF 25 PERCENT BY 1995. TAKE
- 25 OUT THAT.

- 1 MEMBER FRAZEE: OKAY.
- 2 MEMBER RHOADS: TAKE OUT THAT. BECAUSE I DO
- 3 THINK IT MAKES SENSE TO REPORT TO THE BOARD ABOUT
- 4 THEIR PROGRESS OF MEETING 50 PERCENT IN THE YEAR
- 5 2000.
- 6 AND THEN ADD A NEW ITEM.
- 7 MEMBER ROBERTI: ON THE 50 PERCENT --
- 8 MEMBER RHOADS: MY RECOMMENDATION IS TO
- 9 LEAVE THE 50 PERCENT, TO LEAVE NUMBER TWO TO READ
- 10 THAT THE CITY SHOULD DOCUMENT ITS PROGRESS WHEN
- 11 IMPLEMENTING SELECTED PROGRAMS AND MEETING THE
- 12 50 PERCENT BY 2000 NOW IN QUARTERLY REPORTS.
- 13 MEMBER ROBERTI: I'M CONFUSED WITH WHAT THE 50
- 14 PERCENT REFERRED TO? WHAT COMPUTATIONS ARE WE
- 15 USING TO GET TO THE 50 PERCENT? UNTIL WE SETTLE
- 16 WHAT THE NUMBERS ARE, I'D RATHER NOT VOTE ON
- 17 NUMBER TWO AT ALL, FRANKLY. AND WHY CAN'T THAT
- 18 NUMBER -- AND I'M JUST THINKING OUT LOUD -- WHY CAN'T
- 19 THAT WAIT 30 DAYS, THE MEETING ON THE 2 1ST. AND IF
- 20 THEY'RE NOT IN COMPLIANCE OR IF THEIR NUMBERS ARE
- 21 SKEWED, WE CAN PICK IT UP AT THAT POINT. BUT I DON'T
- 22 -- I DON'T KNOW WHAT THE 50 PERCENT REFERS TO, AND
- 23 FROM WHAT I SENSE, I DON'T THINK ANYBODY IS IN A
- 24 POSITION TO TELL ME TODAY, BUT MAYBE I'M WRONG.
- 25 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: MR. AULT.

- 1 MR. AULT: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN. IF I COULD
- 2 BE SO PRESUMPTUOUS AS TO ASK THIS: I'LL BE GLAD TO
- 3 WORK WITH STAFF AND WORK WITH GARY, AND I THINK
- 4 WHAT WE'RE DOING IS WE'RE GOING FROM DIFFERENT --
- 5 WE'RE NOT ALL ON THE SAME STARTING POSITION HERE,
- 6 AND I WILL SAY THAT 99 PERCENT OF THE PROBLEMS ARE
- 7 FROM OUR SIDE, AND LESS THAN 1 PERCENT FROM THE
- 8 BOARD'S SIDE. BUT I THINK I HAVE SOME ANSWERS TO FIX
- 9 THAT 99 PERCENT ERROR OR LACK OF INFORMATION.
- 10 COULD IT WORK WITH STAFF AND COME BACK NEXT
- 11 MONTH FOR YOU WITH A STAFF REPORT WHICH WOULD
- 12 REFLECT WHAT HAS ACTUALLY HAPPENED, INCLUDING
- 13 THE 1997 ADJUSTMENT AND ADJUSTED ON THE ACTUAL
- 14 BOARD MEMBERS AND ELIMINATE WHAT I DID WITH THE
- 15 ANTICIPATED UNALLOCATED TONNAGE REPORT TO
- 16 REFLECT WHAT IS, BOARD MEMBER EATON SAID, WAS
- 17 ACTUALLY IN STATUTE AND HAS BEEN AGREED UPON BY
- 18 ALL PARTIES, AND BRING THAT BACK ALONG WITH
- 19 PROGRAMS ANALYSIS OF THE PROGRAMS THAT WAS PUT
- 20 OUT IN SRRE, AND PUT INTO PLACE IN ASSESSMENTS OF
- 21 THE PROGRAMS, AND NOT GIVE STAFF A ONE-HOUR NOTICE
- 22 OF INFORMATION LIKE I DID TODAY, WHICH I APOLOGIZE
- 23 FOR.
- 24 BUT, AGAIN, I HAD A FOUR-DAY NOTICE TO PUT THIS
- 25 TOGETHER. I THINK THAT WOULD BE FAIR TO ALL

- 1 PARTIES. I WOULDN'T MIND TAKING WHATEVER TIME IT
- 2 TAKES TO GET THIS CORRECTED, AND MAYBE BY DOING
- 3 SO, IT WOULD BE EASIER FOR US TO MEET NEXT MONTH
- 4 WITH A RESOLUTION TO BE BROUGHT BACK TO THE BOARD
- 5 FOR REVIEW. AND I AGREE WITH BOARD MEMBER JONES
- 6 THAT WE SHOULD PROBABLY TIE IN ENFORCEMENT PART
- 7 OF THE DOCUMENT, BECAUSE IF WE DON'T COMPLY, THEN
- 8 THERE SHOULD BE A HAMMER ON THE OTHER SIDE.
- 9 SO I REALLY AM IN AGREEMENT TO EVERYTHING
- 10 YOU'RE SAYING. I WOULD LIKE TO BE A CATALYST TO
- 11 MAKE IT HAPPEN FOR YOU, AND GIVE MY TIME AND
- 12 ENERGY TO MAKE IT HAPPEN.
- 13 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: MR. EATON.
- 14 MEMBER EATON: I DON'T THINK THAT'S GOING TO
- 15 WORK, QUITE FRANKLY. FROM MY PERSPECTIVE -- AND
- 16 I'LL TELL YOU WHY. YOU KEEP REFERRING TO OUR
- 17 NUMBERS, AND I'M NOT GOING TO POUND OUT THE
- 18 MATTER, BUT THEY'RE NOT OUR NUMBERS.
- 19 THE PROPER PROCEDURES THAT MIGHT SOLVE THE
- 20 PROBLEM HERE, BECAUSE THERE ARE A NUMBER OF ISSUES
- 21 THAT WERE RAISED BY A NUMBER OF THE BOARD
- 22 MEMBERS. WHEN YOU ISSUE A COMPLIANCE ORDER, THE
- 23 STAFF COMES BACK WITH THE STEPS THAT NEED TO BE
- 24 GIVEN TO THE COMMENTS OF ALL OF THE BOARD
- 25 MEMBERS.

- 1 THE FACT THAT THEY HAVE TO COME BACK, AND ONE
- 2 OF THE COMPLIANCE ITEMS WOULD BE TO REQUEST ANY
- 3 ADJUSTMENTS IF THEY WANT TO BASE-YEAR. AND
- 4 THEY'RE NOT OUR FIGURES. I WANT TO STRESS THAT. YOU
- 5 GOT TO PRODUCE THOSE FIGURES, AND YOU GOT TO
- 6 JUSTIFY THEM TO OUR STAFF. YOU'RE NOT GOING TO BE
- 7 ABLE TO JUST TAKE THOSE NUMBERS AND PUT IT THERE,
- 8 PERHAPS, IN THAT MANNER, AND THEY SET OUT A COURSE
- 9 OF ACTION, THEN, BASICALLY. ONCE THAT'S DONE, YOU
- 10 CAN GET THE SENATOR'S COMMENTS AS TO WHICH IS THAT
- 11 BASE.
- 12 YOU SEE, IT'S A PROCEDURAL STEP HERE. I UNDERSTAND
- 13 WHAT YOU'RE TRYING TO DO, BUT YOU CAN'T AVOID THE
- 14 COMPLIANCE ORDER ISSUE, AND THAT'S MY PERSPECTIVE.
- 15 AND SO WHY DON'T WE TRY TO JOIN HANDS AND MEET
- 16 HALF WAY. IT'S PART OF THE COMPLIANCE THAT WE WILL
- 17 DO THE FOLLOWING STEPS IN ORDER TO REACH IT, AND
- 18 THEN SEE WHERE WE ARE.
- 19 MR. AULT: NO PROBLEM.
- 20 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: BEFORE WE MAKE ANY
- 21 DECISIONS, WE DO HAVE ONE OTHER PERSON WHO WOULD
- 22 LIKE TO ADDRESS THIS IS SUE. RICK BEST.
- 23 MS. FRIEDMAN: MR. CHAIRMAN, WHILE MR. BEST IS
- 24 COMING UP, I WANT TO POINT OUT ONE THING, IF I MAY,
- 25 THAT THIS RESOLUTION DIRECTS US TO DRAFT THAT

- 1 COMPLIANCE ORDER, WHICH IS WHAT WE WOULD BE DOING
- 2 IN COMING BACK NEXT MONTH WITH THE COMPLIANCE
- 3 ORDER FOR YOUR CONSIDERATION.
- 4 MEMBER JONES: MR. CHAIRMAN?
- 5 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: YES. MR. JONES.
- 6 MEMBER JONES: BEFORE MR. BEST STARTS HIS
- 7 TESTIMONY, I THINK I AGREE WITH BOTH OF MY
- 8 COLLEAGUES DOWN AT THAT END. AND I THINK WE NEED
- 9 TO REDRAFT NUMBER TWO BECAUSE THE MANDATES WERE
- 10 25 BY '95 AND 50 BY 2000. BUT I THINK IT'S THE WAY IT'S
- 11 WRITTEN HERE WE'RE PRESUMING. WE'RE NOT REAL SURE
- 12 ON THAT, SO I THINK IF WE WORK PAST THAT WITH THE
- 13 COMPLIANCE, WE KNOW THAT THEY HAVEN'T MET THE 25,
- 14 BUT WHAT PROGRAMS ARE THEY DOING TO NOT ONLY
- 15 MEET AND EXCEED THE 25, BUT GET TO THE 50 PERCENT
- 16 MANDATE OF 2000. THERE MAY BE A WAY SO WE DON'T
- 17 LOSE THAT 25 BY '95 OUT OF THE RESOLUTION. WE KNOW
- 18 IT CAN'T BE HIT, BUT HOW ARE WE GETTING THERE. I JUST
- 19 BRING THAT UP FOR US TO THINK ABOUT.
- 20 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: THANK YOU. MR. BEST.
- 21 MR. BEST: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN, AND BOARD
- 22 MEMBERS. I DO WANT TO WELCOME THE NEW MEMBER,
- 23 MR. ROBERTI. RICK BEST WITH CALIFORNIAN'S AGAINST
- 24 WASTE. AND I'M PLEASED WITH MUCH OF THE DISCUSSION
- 25 THAT'S GONE ON THIS FAR ON THIS ISSUE. I THINK WHEN

- 1 THE ITEM WAS FIRST PRESENTED, I WAS CONCERNED THAT
- 2 HERE -- EXAMPLE OF A JURISDICTION THAT HADN'T
- 3 IMPLEMENTED PROGRAMS OR AT LEAST REPORTED TO THE
- 4 BOARD THAT ITS IMPLEMENTATION PROGRAMS. WE'RE
- 5 PLEASED THAT THIS JURISDICTION HAS THE ASSISTANCE
- 6 OF THE PREVIOUS SPEAKER MOVE FORWARD ON PROGRAMS
- 7 OVER THE LAST TWO YEARS, AND WE'RE CERTAINLY --
- 8 THAT'S SOMETHING THAT NEEDS TO BE CONSIDERED. I
- 9 WANT TO FIRST BRIEFLY IMPRESS UPON THE BOARD THE
- 10 IMPORTANCE OF THIS ITEM WITH REGARDS TO THE
- 11 IMPORTANCE OF THE ENFORCEMENT. OBVIOUSLY, IT'S
- 12 ALREADY BEEN DISCUSSED.
- 13 THERE'S HUNDREDS OF JURISDICTIONS THAT HAVE
- 14 MADE THE INVESTMENT AND IMPLEMENTED PROGRAMS,
- 15 AND IT'S IMPORTANT TO THIS BOARD TO FULFILL ITS
- 16 RESPONSIBILITIES IN TERMS OF FOLLOWING THROUGH
- 17 WITH THE ENFORCEMENT.
- 18 I THINK THE COMMENTS OF MR. RHOADS ARE
- 19 APPROPRIATE THAT THIS IS AN ACTION THAT'S ALREADY
- 20 HAPPENED, I.E., 1995 AND 1996. I DON'T THINK THE BOARD
- 21 IS IN A POSITION OF BEING ABLE TO IGNORE THAT AND SAY
- 22 THAT GOOD-FAITH EFFORTS HAVE BEEN DONE IN 1997 AND
- 23 IGNORE WHAT HAD BEEN DONE IN 1995. THAT BEING SAID,
- 24 I THINK THAT THE BOARD, IN ITS ULTIMATE
- 25 IMPLEMENTATION OF THE COMPLIANCE ORDER AND

- 1 ULTIMATELY IN A POSITION OF PENALTIES, CAN LOOK TO
- 2 WHAT THE BOARD DID WHEN IT ISSUED FINES ON
- 3 JURISDICTIONS FOR FAILING TO ADOPT PLANS, AND THAT
- 4 WAS THE BOARD IMPOSED A PENALTY BUT THEN FORGAVE
- 5 IF CERTAIN THINGS WERE DONE. AND I THINK THAT'S
- 6 SOMETHING THE BOARD SHOULD CONSIDER IN THIS, BUT,
- 7 NEVERTHELESS, I THINK THE BOARD AT THIS POINT IS IN
- 8 THE POSITION OF HAVING TO MOVE FORWARD WITH
- 9 ADOPTION OF A COMPLIANCE ORDER.
- 10 I WANT TO MAKE THREE SPECIFIC POINTS WITH
- 11 REGARDS TO WHAT I THINK THE COMPLIANCE ORDER
- 12 NEEDS TO RECOGNIZE, AND THAT IS WHAT'S ALREADY
- 13 BEEN MENTIONED. IT REALLY NEEDS TO FOCUS ON
- 14 IMPLEMENTATION PROGRAMS. I THINK -- I DON'T KNOW
- 15 WHAT THE INTENT -- I SPOKE TO PAT SHIVO A COUPLE
- 16 DAYS AGO TO KIND OF DISCUSS THIS ISSUE -- AND IT'S OUR
- 17 INTENT THAT THE BOARD WITH STAFF, WE WOULD LIKE TO
- 18 SEE THEM KIND OF SIT DOWN WITH THE CITIES AND SIT
- 19 DOWN WITH THE HAULER, IF THAT'S APPROPRIATE, TO
- 20 FIGURE OUT WHAT OTHER PROGRAMS THEY'RE GOING TO
- 21 BE IMPLEMENTING AND ADD THE SPECIFIC COMPLIANCE
- 22 ORDER SET OUT INSTEAD OF BEING SIMPLY A GENERIC
- 23 IMPLEMENTED PROGRAM IN OUR SRRE. THAT IS VERY
- 24 GENERIC AND NOT VERY SPECIFIC.
- 25 I THINK THERE NEEDS, IN THIS COMPLIANCE ORDER -- I

- 1 THINK THIS AN OPPORTUNITY FOR THE BOARD STAFF TO
- 2 SIT DOWN WITH THE CITY AND FIGURE OUT WHAT ARE THE
- 3 SPECIFIC THINGS THAT THE CITY IS GOING TO DO ON A
- 4 SHORT TIMELINE IN ORDER TO GET THE CITY IN
- 5 COMPLIANCE. IF THOSE THINGS HAVE ALREADY BEEN
- 6 DONE IN 1997, 1998, THEN OBVIOUSLY THAT'S GOING TO BE
- 7 TAKEN CARE OF.
- 8 BUT I THINK IT'S APPROPRIATE FOR THE BOARD STAFF TO
- 9 SIT DOWN AND LAY OUT SPECIFICALLY WHAT OTHER KIND OF
- 10 IMPLEMENTATION PROGRAMS THAT ARE GOING TO BE
- 11 DONE TO GET THE CITY INTO COMPLIANCE.
- 12 I THINK THE SECOND IS SUE THAT I WANT TO RAISE IS
- 13 WITH REGARDS TO DOCUMENTATION. I KNOW THERE'S
- 14 BEEN SOME DISCUSSION HERE ABOUT POINT TWO IN TERMS
- 15 OF QUARTERLY REPORTS. I THINK IT'S APPROPRIATE THAT
- 16 THERE IS SOME LEVEL TO REPORTING TO THE BOARD. I
- 17 THINK THE BOARD NEEDS TO KNOW WHAT'S GOING ON.
- 18 THAT BEING SAID, I DON'T WANT THE MESSAGE TO LOCAL
- 19 GOVERNMENTS BEING THAT THE BOARD IS GOING TO
- 20 FOCUS ALL OF ITS ENERGY ON REPORTING AND NUMBER
- 21 CRUNCHING.
- 22 I THINK, AS I SAID WITH MY FIRST POINT, THE FOCUS
- 23 NEEDS TO BE ON IMPLEMENTATION. REPORTING IS JUST
- 24 SIMPLY A WAY FOR THE BOARD TO KNOW WHAT'S GOING
- 25 ON, BUT THAT SHOULDN'T BE AN EXTENSIVE BURDEN ON

- 1 LOCAL GOVERNMENTS.
- 2 THERE'S AN ITEM -- THE NEXT ITEM WHERE THE BOARD IS
- 3 ACTUALLY DIRECTING, FOR EXAMPLE, THE CITY TO GO
- 4 BACK AND REDO ITS WASTE GENERATION STUDY. THERE
- 5 AGAIN, I THINK WHILE IT'S IMPORTANT TO HAVE
- 6 ACCURATE NUMBERS TO DO THAT, I DON'T WANT TO SEE
- 7 THE WASTE BOARD DIRECTING RESOURCES OF LOCAL
- 8 GOVERNMENTS INTO DEVELOPING THESE NUMBERS AND
- 9 INTO REPORTING AND AWAY FROM PROGRAM
- 10 IMPLEMENTATION.
- 11 AND THE LAST POINT I WANT TO MAKE IS PLAYING UPON
- 12 MR. JONES'S COMMENT, AND, THAT IS, I THINK THERE
- 13 DEFINITELY VERY MUCH NEEDS A CLEAR CLOSURE ON THE
- 14 ISSUE -- IN THE SENSE, THERE NEEDS TO BE CLOSURE WITH
- 15 REGARDS -- ONCE THIS COMPLIANCE ORDER IS ISSUED,
- 16 WHAT'S THE FINAL OUTCOME, WHEN IS THE BOARD GOING
- 17 TO FULFILL ITS RESPONSIBILITIES TO IMPLEMENT SECTION
- 18 41850. THE PENALTIES FOR FAILURE TO MEET 939 GOALS,
- 19 BECAUSE THAT'S ULTIMATELY WHERE THE STICK COMES
- 20 IN, IN TERMS OF AB 939, THAT'S BEEN THE IMPETUS FOR
- 21 JURISDICTIONS THROUGHOUT THE STATE TO IMPLEMENT
- 22 THESE PROGRAMS, AND THERE NEEDS TO BE CLOSURE ON
- 23 IT. AND I WOULD SUGGEST THAT IT NEEDS TO BE ON A
- 24 SHORT TIMELINE.
- 25 I THINK THE BOARD IS IN A BIENNIAL REVIEW PROCESS,

- 1 THAT REVIEWING THESE EVERY TWO YEARS, THE BOARD
- 2 CAN'T WAIT TWO YEARS. THIS NEEDS TO BE A PROCESS
- 3 WHERE THE BOARD EVALUATES THIS ON A SHORT
- 4 TIMELINE, PERHAPS SIX MONTHS, SO THAT GIVES TIME FOR
- 5 JURISDICTIONS TO IMPLEMENT SOME OF THOSE PROGRAMS,
- 6 BUT IT ALSO PROVIDES THE BOARD TO QUICKLY COME
- 7 BACK AND SEE IS THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT TRULY
- 8 RESPONDING AND THAT THEY'RE IMPLEMENTING AND
- 9 RESPONDING TO THE BOARD'S DIRECTION. SO THOSE ARE
- 10 MY COMMENTS. I'D BE HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY
- 11 QUESTIONS.
- 12 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. QUESTIONS? MR. RHOADS.
- 13 MEMBER RHOADS: THE FIRST POINT, THE IMPORTANCE OF
- 14 THE IMPLEMENTING THE PROGRAM AND GIVING THE LOCAL
- 15 JURISDICTIONS SOME FLEXIBILITY, CAN YOU LOOK AT
- 16 ITEM NUMBER ONE ON THE RESOLUTION?
- 17 MR. BEST: YES.
- 18 MEMBER RHOADS: IT SAYS THE CITIES SHALL IMPLEMENT
- 19 ALL OF THE PROGRAMS SELECTED IN ITS SRRE AND, IN
- 20 PARENTHESIS, OR SUITABLE ALTERNATIVE PROGRAMS
- 21 BROUGHT FORWARD IN THE REVISED SRRE BY THE YEAR
- 22 2000.
- 23 NOW, WHEN I READ THAT, I READ THAT STAFF AND THE
- 24 CITY WOULD GET TOGETHER TO TALK ABOUT THE
- 25 PROGRAMS THAT SHOULD BE IMPLEMENTED AND REACH

- 1 SOME AGREEABLE AGREEMENT ON THE PROGRAMS. IS
- 2 THAT -- DOES THAT ANSWER THE CONCERN THAT YOU
- 3 HAVE? IS THAT ACCEPTABLE TO YOU?
- 4 MR. BEST: I THINK IN TERMS OF THE RESOLUTION, IT'S
- 5 APPROPRIATE, BUT I THINK WHAT WOULD HAPPEN IS THAT
- 6 THE BOARD WOULD SIT DOWN WITH THE CITY STAFF AND
- 7 FIGURE OUT WHAT ARE THOSE PROGRAMS THAT THE CITY
- 8 IS GOING GO FOCUS ITS ENERGIES ON, IN TERMS OF
- 9 HELPING IT MEET THE 939 GOALS.
- 10 YEAH, I GUESS THE ONE COMMENT THAT I
- 11 NEGLECTED TO MENTION IS ONE CONCERN THAT I HAVE,
- 12 AND I DON'T HAVE A -- I APOLOGIZE -- THE MESSAGE
- 13 THAT'S SENT BY, NUMBER ONE, IN THE SENSE, THIS IS
- 14 SAYING IT SHALL IMPLEMENT THE PROGRAMS SELECTED IN
- 15 THE SRRE BY THE YEAR 2000. I'M NOT SURE. IT'S ALMOST
- 16 SUGGESTING THAT YOU DIDN'T MEET YOUR 1995
- 17 REQUIREMENT SO YOU GOT TO DO -- YOU GOT TO MEET
- 18 THE YEAR 2000. AND THAT'S WHETHER OR NOT YOU HAVE
- 19 IMPLEMENTED YOUR 2000 PROGRAMS. THAT'S AN ISSUE
- 20 THAT'S LATER ON DOWN THE LINE. WE'RE HERE
- 21 EVALUATING 1995.
- 22 WHAT HAVE YOU DONE FOR IMPLEMENTING THOSE
- 23 PROGRAMS, AND THE WAY I'M READING THIS, IT'S KIND OF
- 24 LIKE SAYING -- THE WAY I READ THIS -- IT'S SAYING WE'RE
- 25 NOT LOOKING AT 1995. WE'RE ASKING YOU TO IMPLEMENT

- 1 YOUR PROGRAMS FOR 2000.
- 2 MR. RHOADS: I SEE. I DON'T KNOW HOW TO RESPOND
- 3 TO THAT, BUTT THINK I HAVE A COUPLE OF QUESTIONS,
- 4 BECAUSE I GUESS I WAS LOOKING AT IT IN THE YEAR OF
- 5 2000 SINCE 1995 HAS PASSED. I WAS MORE CONCERNED
- 6 ABOUT HOW ARE THEY GOING TO MEET THE 2000 GOAL IN
- 7 THIS PAST HISTORY.
- 8 ON NUMBER TWO, DO I HEAR YOU ON SAYING, WELL
- 9 MAYBE YOU SHOULDN'T DO QUARTERLY REPORTS, BUT.
- 10 MAYBE YOU SHOULD STRIKE THE WORD "QUARTERLY" AND
- 11 JUST SAY "IN REPORTS," AND LET THE STAFF AND THE CITY
- 12 DECIDE UPON SOMETHING THAT'S ACCEPTABLE AS FAR AS
- 13 REPORTING BACK TO THE BOARD.
- 14 MR. BEST: I'M COMFORTABLE WITH THAT. I THINK
- 15 THE THING THAT'S MORE IMPORTANT TO ME IS THAT
- 16 THERE BE, AS I MENTIONED, A CLOSURE IN TERMS OF A
- 17 POINT IN TIME IN WHICH THE BOARD REVIEWS HOW HAS
- 18 THE JURISDICTION DONE IN IMPLEMENTING ITS
- 19 COMPLIANCE ORDER, THE PROGRAMS OUTLINED IN ITS
- 20 COMPLIANCE ORDER, AND THE DECISIONS MADE AS TO
- 21 WHETHER THEY HAVE COMPLIED WITH THE BOARD, AND
- 22 WHETHER THE BOARD SHOULD IMPLEMENT PENALTIES.
- 23 THAT'S THE THING THAT'S MORE IMPORTANT TO ME, AS
- 24 OPPOSED TO REGULAR REPORTING PROCESS.
- 25 WHAT'S NEEDED IS A POINT IN TIME WHERE THE

- 1 BOARD, YOU KNOW, SIX MONTHS DOWN THE LINE, TO SAY,
- 2 EVALUATE HOW THEM COMPLY WITH THE COMPLIANCE
- 3 ORDER.
- 4 MEMBER RHOADS: ON THAT IS SUE, IS IT MORE
- 5 APPROPRIATE TO HAVE THAT THAN THESE POINTS, OR IS
- 6 THAT MORE APPROPRIATE TO BE IN THE ORDER OF
- 7 COMPLIANCE WHEN IT COMES BACK TO US FROM THE
- 8 STAFF?
- 9 MR. BEST: I THINK ULTIMATELY THAT IT WILL BE PUT
- 10 INTO THE COMPLIANCE ORDER. I JUST THINK THAT'S
- 11 SOMETHING THAT NEEDS TO BE RECOGNIZED THAT NEEDS
- 12 TO BE IN THE COMPLIANCE ORDER WHEN IT'S DONE.
- 13 MEMBER RHOADS: THANK YOU.
- 14 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. MR. EATON
- 15 MEMBER EATON: PERHAPS WE CAN, IF I CAN MAKE A
- 16 SUGGESTION, ONE, I ALWAYS GO BACK TO THE ORIGINAL
- 17 PAPER THAT'S PRESENTED TO US AND PERHAPS MAYBE FOR
- 18 SOME GUIDANCE, IT SEEMS TO BE, AT LEAST RIGHT NOW, A
- 19 GOOD ROADMAP, BECAUSE, AS YOU LOOK AT -- WITHOUT
- 20 LOOKING AT THE RESOLUTION ON PAGE 4-4, IT TALKS
- 21 ABOUT THE COMPLIANCE ORDER, AND , ONE, THE STAFF
- 22 RECOMMENDS THE APPROVAL OF THE BIENNIAL REVIEW
- 23 FINDINGS OF THE CITY OF HAWAIIAN GARDENS.
- 24 TO ME, YOU'RE ASKING US TO APPROVE THE FACT
- 25 THAT THEY HAVEN'T MET THOSE; IS THAT CORRECT?

- 1 JUDY FRIEDMAN: YES
- 2 MEMBER EATON: OKAY, BECAUSE THAT'S AN
- 3 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. I'M JUST TRYING TO TAKE US BACK
- 4 THROUGH IN COMING TO A CONCLUSION. TWO, THAT THIS
- 5 IS GOING
- 6 TO -- ALL WE'RE DOING IS DIRECTING STAFF TO DRAFT A
- 7 COMPLIANCE. THEY'RE GOING TO BRING IT BACK TO US IN
- 8 JANUARY -- SOMETHING WE DISCUSSED FOR TEN OR
- 9 FIFTEEN MINUTES. SO IT IS A DRAFT, AND WE SUGGEST WE
- 10 LOOK AT IT ONE MORE TIME.
- 11 AND THEN THE OTHER REAL CRUX OF THE ISSUE, I
- 12 BELIEVE, BECOMES WHETHER OR NOT WE WANT THE
- 13 THREE ITEMS THAT WERE LISTED IN THE RESOLUTION --
- 14 THAT SEEMS TO BE LISTED 4-4 -- A PART OF THAT, AND I
- 15 THINK, THAT MAY BE ONE OF THE WAYS TO MOVE OFF
- 16 WHAT WE'RE DOING IS JUST TO SAY WE RAISED OUR
- 17 CONCERNS WITH REGARDS TO THIS. CAN YOU BRING BACK
- 18 AND FOLLOW THAT DIRECTION?
- 19 AND PERHAPS MAYBE SOMETHING YOU'VE DONE IN
- 20 THE PAST AND HOPEFULLY MIGHT DO IN THIS SITUATION,
- 21 EITHER FOR SENATOR ROBERTI AND PARTICULARLY MR.
- 22 RHOADS, OR WHATEVER YOU SEE FIT, TO TRY AND DRAFT,
- 23 BECAUSE IT SEEMS VERY CLEAR ALL THE THINGS WE'RE
- 24 TALKING ABOUT IS KIND OF A ROADMAP RIGHT HERE. IF
- 25 WE JUST KIND OF WENT BACK TO THE STAFF AND SAY WE

- 1 RECOMMEND IT, AND THAT WOULD GIVE AN
- 2 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF THE FACT THAT, ONE, THEY
- 3 HAVEN'T MET THAT.
- 4 THAT'S AN IS SUE RAISED BY MR. BEST AND
- 5 ACKNOWLEDGED BY OTHERS, AND KIND OF IN A VERY
- 6 PRUDENT KIND OF A MANNER, AND IT'S GOING TO COME
- 7 BACK ANYWAYS BASED ON WHAT YOU TOLD ME, SO
- 8 PERHAPS IF YOU SUGGEST SOME RECOMMENDED
- 9 ALTERNATE PLAN, WHATEVER WE HAVE TO FIND AT THAT
- 10 POINT.
- MS. FRIEDMAN: MR. CHAIRMAN, AND BOARD
- 12 MEMBERS, WE WILL BE DRAFTING THE COMPLIANCE
- 13 ORDER. IT WILL BE AN AGENDA ITEM THAT WILL BE NOTED
- 14 APPROPRIATELY, SO WE WILL BE ABLE TO DRAFT THAT
- 15 TAKING INTO ACCOUNT THE CONCERNS YOU RAISED HERE.
- 16 SO I THINK THE GUIDANCE HAS BEEN PROVIDED
- 17 ADEQUATELY FOR US TO BE ABLE TO GO BACK TO DRAFT IT
- 18 IN A WAY THAT YOU'RE ASKING US TO.
- 19 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. MR. JONES.
- 20 MEMBER JONES: THESE THREE, AND WOULD THE
- 21 BOARD MEMBERS FEEL UNCOMFORTABLE WITH THE
- 22 COMPLIANCE SCHEDULE ALSO TALKING ABOUT THE
- 23 ENFORCEMENT?
- 24 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: MR. RHOADS.
- 25 MEMBER RHOADS: I WOULD.

- 1 MEMBER EATON: I THINK WE CAN JUST
- 2 PROBABLY DRAFT AN ORDER OF COMPLIANCE AND NOT
- 3 GET INTO THE 1,2,3'S. IS THAT WHAT MEETS WITH LOCAL
- 4 COUNCIL AND EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR? CAN WE DO THAT,
- 5 OR DO WE NEED A SPECIFICITY WITH REGARD TO
- 6 COMPLIANCE ORDER?
- 7 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR CHANDLER: WHAT DOES
- 8 RESOLUTION 98-411 ACTUALLY COME OUT AND SAY ABOUT
- 9 THAT? IT WOULD SAY NOTHING IN THOSE PARTICULAR
- 10 AREAS. IS THAT CORRECT? AM I INTERPRETING LINE 12
- 11 AND 13 FROM THE RESOLUTION CORRECTLY?
- MR. RHOADS: WELL, I WOULD HAVE NO
- 13 RESOLUTION THEN.
- 14 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR CHANDLER: SO WE KEEP
- 15 THE RESOLUTION AS DRAFTED, BUT YOU'VE
- 16 ACKNOWLEDGED THAT WE'RE SIMPLY COMING BACK WITH
- 17 THIS AS IT STATES IN A MINIMUM, AND WITH SOME EDITS
- 18 THAT I HEARD TODAY THAT SOUND APPROPRIATE WITH
- 19 THE ADDITIONAL DIRECTION AND INPUT WHICH WE'VE
- 20 RECEIVED FROM MEMBER JONES AND OTHERS, THAT WILL
- 21 ROUND OUT TO DRAFT A COMPLIANCE PLAN FOR
- 22 CONSIDERATION NEXT MONTH.
- 23 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: MR. EATON, DO YOU
- 24 WANT TO DRAFT A MOTION HERE?
- 25 MEMBER EATON: GOSH, I FEEL LIKE I'M IN THE

- 1 21ST CENTURY AGAIN. I WOULD MOVE THAT WE ADOPT
- 2 RESOLUTION 98-411 AND, IN ADDITION, AMEND THAT
- 3 RESOLUTION IN A DRAFT COMPLIANCE ORDER IN
- 4 ACCORDANCE WITH SOME OTHER GUIDANCE AND
- 5 DIRECTION PRESENTED BY THE BOARD MEMBERS, AND
- 6 THAT IT BE BROUGHT BACK, I BELIEVE, JANUARY.
- 7 DO YOU WANT TO DO IT IN THE LAST JANUARY
- 8 MEETING BECAUSE THE OTHER ONE IS IN LOS ANGELES?
- 9 OR, PERHAPS, MAYBE IT WOULD BE APPROPRIATE TO HAVE
- 10 IT IN LOS ANGELES SINCE WE, THE MEMBERS, ARE THERE?
- MS. FRIEDMAN: MR. CHAIRMAN, MR. EATON, I
- 12 DON'T BELIEVE STAFF WILL BE ABLE TO MEET THE EARLIER
- 13 BOARD MEETING IN TIME. I'M LOOKING AT THE SECOND
- 14 BOARD MEETING IN JANUARY.
- 15 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: THAT'S FINE.
- 16 MEMBER JONES: MR. CHAIRMAN, I'LL SECOND
- 17 THAT.
- 18 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: IT'S BEEN MOVED BY
- 19 MR. EATON AND SECONDED BY MR. JONES TO ADOPT
- 20 RESOLUTION 98-411 WITH AMENDMENTS REFLECTING
- 21 BOARD MEMBERS' CONCERNS AND DESIRES. IF THERE'S
- 22 NO FURTHER DISCUSSION, WILL THE SECRETARY CALL THE
- 23 ROLL, PLEASE?
- 24 THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER EATON?
- 25 MEMBER EATON: AYE.

- 1 THE SECRETARY: FRAZEE?
- 2 MEMBER FRAZEE: AYE.
- 3 THE SECRETARY: JONES?
- 4 MEMBER JONES: AYE.
- 5 THE SECRETARY: RHOADS?
- 6 MEMBER RHOADS: AYE.
- 7 THE SECRETARY: ROBERTI?
- 8 MEMBER ROBERTI: AYE.
- 9 THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON?
- 10 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: AYE.
- 11 MOTION CARRIES.
- 12 WE'LL MOVE TO ITEM NUMBER FIVE:
- 13 CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION ON THE
- 14 BIENNIAL REVIEW FINDINGS FOR THE SOURCE REDUCTION
- 15 AND RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF HAWTHORNE,
- 16 LOS ANGELES COUNTY.
- 17 JUDY FRIEDMAN?
- MS. FRIEDMAN: THANK YOU, MR CHAIRMAN, BOARD
- 19 MEMBERS, CHRIS SCHMIDLE AND GARY COLLORD WILL BE
- 20 MAKING THE PRESENTATION FOR STAFF TODAY.
- 21 MR. COLLORD: GOOD MORNING, CHAIRMAN
- 22 PENNINGTON, AND, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD. I'M GARY
- 23 COLLORD WITH THE OFFICE OF LOCAL ASSISTANCE.
- 24 AGENDA ITEM NUMBER FIVE IS A BIENNIAL REVIEW FOR
- 25 THE CITY OF HAWTHORNE. BASED ON AVAILABLE

- 1 INFORMATION, STAFF IS UNABLE TO CONCLUDE THAT THE
- 2 CITY OF HAWTHORNE ADEQUATELY IMPLEMENTED ITS
- 3 SRRE AND ACHIEVED THE 1995 DIVERSION REQUIREMENTS.
- 4 THE CITY APPEARS TO HAVE IMPLEMENTED ONLY A
- 5 PORTION OF ITS THREE PROGRAMS, AND STAFF HAS
- 6 CONCERNS REGARDING THE ACCURACY OF THE CITY'S
- 7 BASE-YEAR GENERATION FIGURE AND THE REPORTED
- 8 DIVERSION RATE FOR 1995.
- 9 DUE TO THE CITY'S FAILURE TO RESPOND TO STAFF'S
- 10 REQUEST FOR CLARIFICATION AND ADDITIONAL
- 11 INFORMATION, STAFF CANNOT FIND THAT THE CITY HAS
- 12 MADE A GOOD-FAITH EFFORT TO IMPLEMENT ITS SRRE.
- 13 BASED ON THE CITY'S ANNUAL REPORTS, IT APPEARS THAT
- 14 ONLY SEVEN OF THE SOME TWENTY PROGRAMS SELECTED
- 15 FOR IMPLEMENTATION OF THE SRRE HAVE BEEN
- 16 IMPLEMENTED TO DATE.
- 17 THE BALANCE HAS BEEN DEFERRED FOR
- 18 IMPLEMENTATION UNTIL AFTER THE YEAR OF 2000. IT
- 19 APPEARS UNLIKELY THAT THESE SEVEN PROGRAMS COULD
- 20 SUPPORT THE AMOUNT OF DIVERSION REPORTED BY THE
- 21 CITY FOR '95 AND '96 OR MEET THE 50 PERCENT DIVERSION
- 22 GOAL BY THE YEAR OF 2000.
- 23 THE MOST SUBSTANTIAL PROGRAM THE CITY
- 24 APPEARS TO BE IMPLEMENTING IS A CURBSIDE
- 25 COLLECTION PROGRAM FOR RECYCLABLE MATERIALS AND

- 1 GREEN WASTES. HOWEVER, THIS PROGRAM APPEARS TO BE
- 2 LIMITED TO SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENCES, AND THE VAST
- 3 MAJORITY OF HAWTHORNE RESIDENCES, SOME 64 PERCENT,
- 4 LIVE IN MULTI-FAMILY HOUSING.
- 5 THE CITY REPORTS DIVERSION RATES OF 50 PERCENT
- 6 FOR 1995 AND 48 PERCENT FOR 1996. STAFF, HOWEVER, HAS
- 7 CONCERNS REGARDING THE ACCURACY AND RELIABILITY
- 8 OF THESE DIVERSION RATES.
- 9 THE CITY'S SRRE REPORTS THAT A LARGE AMOUNT,
- 10 SOME 53 THOUSAND TONS OF CONSTRUCTION AND
- 11 DEMOLITION DEBRIS WAS DISPOSED DURING THE
- 12 BASE-YEAR. A HAWTHORNE CITIZEN HAS ALLEGED THAT
- 13 THIS MATERIAL WAS DIVERTED RATHER THAN DISPOSED.
- 14 IF TRUE, THIS RESTRICTED MATERIAL SHOULD BE
- 15 EXCLUDED FROM THE CITY'S BASE-YEAR GENERATION
- 16 FIGURE AS A RESTRICTED MATERIAL. I'M NOT SO CERTAIN
- 17 STATUTORY CRITERIA WERE COMPLIED WITH. IF
- 18 EXCLUDED FROM THE BASE-YEAR, THE CITY'S DIVERSION
- 19 RATE WOULD BE REDUCED TO 14 PERCENT IN 1995 AND 10
- 20 PERCENT IN 1996. AND CHRIS SCHMIDLE IS GOING TO STEP
- 21 FORWARD AND DO A PRESENTATION ON RESTRICTED
- 22 MATERIALS FOR US.
- 23 MR. SCHMIDLE: JUST TO REFRESH YOUR MEMORIES,
- 24 WE'RE USING A TERM HERE, "RESTRICTED MATERIAL," AND
- 25 THAT APPEARS TO BE AN ISSUE IN THE CALCULATION OF

- 1 THIS JURISDICTION'S BASE-YEAR. IN CALCULATING THE
- 2 BASE-YEAR, THE BOARD WANTED TO GIVE JURISDICTIONS
- 3 FULL CREDIT FOR EXISTING PROGRAMS AND TONNAGE
- 4 THAT WAS FOR ACTIVITIES THAT WERE GOING ON
- 5 PREVIOUSLY TO 1989.
- 6 THERE WERE FOUR EXCEPTIONS TO THIS:
- 7 AGRICULTURAL WASTE, SOLID WASTE, SCRAP METAL, AND
- 8 WHITE GOODS. FOR THOSE MATERIALS, IF THEY WERE
- 9 COUNTED AS "CLAIMED DIVERTED," THE JURISDICTION
- 10 HAD TO MAKE AN ADDITIONAL QUALIFICATION TO MEET
- 11 CERTAIN TESTS TO BE ABLE TO CLAIM THOSE MATERIALS.
- 12 THIS SEEMS TO BE ONE OF THE IS SUES IN THIS CASE.
- 13 WE'VE ASKED THE JURISDICTION FOR ADDITIONAL
- 14 INFORMATION ABOUT THIS, AND, SO FAR, WE'VE HAD NO
- 15 RESPONSE FROM THE JURISDICTION.
- 16 MR. COLLORD: HAWTHORNE HAS NOT BEEN
- 17 RESPONSIVE TO STAFF'S ATTEMPT TO CLARIFY THE STATUS
- 18 OF ITS DIVERSION PROGRAMS OR THE ACCURACY OF ITS
- 19 BASE-YEAR TOTAL FIGURES DURING THE COURSE OF OUR
- 20 REVIEW.
- 21 STAFF TRAVELED TO HAWTHORNE IN AUGUST OF 1998
- 22 TO DISCUSS THESE IS SUES AND GATHER MORE
- 23 INFORMATION FROM ABOUT THE STATUS AND NATURE OF
- 24 THE CITY'S DIVERSION PROGRAMS AND BASE-YEAR
- 25 GENERATION FIGURES.

- 1 AT THE MEETING, CITY REPRESENTATIVES DECLINED
- 2 TO DISCUSS THESE ISSUES AND REQUESTED THAT THE
- 3 STAFF PRESENT ITS QUESTIONS IN WRITING. A LETTER
- 4 OUTLINING THESE ISSUES WAS MAILED TO THE CITY ON
- 5 SEPTEMBER 16TH, 1998. THE CITY AGREED TO RESPOND TO
- 6 STAFF'S LETTER BY OCTOBER 30TH BUT FAILED TO DO SO.
- 7 STAFF CONTACTED THE CITY ON THIS DATE TO DISCUSS
- 8 THE STATUS OF THEIR RESPONSE. THE CITY DID NOT
- 9 RETURN THE CALL, AND A FEW DAYS LATER, STAFF
- 10 DETERMINED THAT IT SHOULD PROCEED WITH THE
- 11 BIENNIAL REVIEW.
- 12 WITHOUT THE CITY'S COOPERATION IN RESOLVING
- 13 THESE ISSUES, STAFF IS UNABLE TO FIND THAT THE CITY
- 14 HAS MADE A GOOD-FAITH EFFORT TO IMPLEMENT ITS SRRE
- 15 OR MEET THE STATE'S DIVERSION REQUIREMENTS. AND
- 16 FOR THESE REASONS, THE STAFF RECOMMENDS THE BOARD
- 17 FINDS THAT HAWTHORNE HAS NOT ADEQUATELY
- 18 IMPLEMENTED ITS SRRE AND DIRECTS STAFF TO DRAFT AN
- 19 ORDER OF COMPLIANCE FOR REVIEW BY THE BOARD IN
- 20 JANUARY.
- 21 STAFF RECOMMENDS THAT THE COMPLIANCE ORDER
- 22 INCLUDE THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS: NUMBER ONE, THE
- 23 CITY SHALL DEVELOP A NEW WASTE GENERATION STUDY
- 24 WITHIN SIX MONTHS. NUMBER 2, THE CITY SHALL
- 25 IMPLEMENT ALL OF THE PROGRAMS SELECTED IN ITS SRRE

- 1 OR SUITABLE ALTERNATIVE PROGRAMS BY THE YEAR 2000.
- 2 NUMBER 3, THE CITY SHALL SUBMIT QUARTERLY REPORTS
- 3 TO THE BOARD WHICH DOCUMENT ITS PROGRESS IN
- 4 IMPLEMENTING PROGRAMS AND MEETING ITS DIVERSION
- 5 REQUIREMENTS. AND, NUMBER FOUR, THE CITY SHALL
- 6 WORK WITH THE BOARD'S TARGETED IMPLEMENTATION
- 7 ASSISTANCE STAFF TO INCREASE THE OBSERVANCE OF THE
- 8 RECOMMENDED PROGRAM. AND THIS CONCLUDES OUR
- 9 REPORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS. ARE THERE ANY
- 10 QUESTIONS FOR STAFF?
- 11 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. THANK YOU. OKAY
- 12 I HAVE NO PUBLIC MEMBER REQUEST TO SPEAK ON THIS. I
- 13 DON'T HAVE A SPEAKER SLIP FROM YOU.
- 14 MR. JAMGOTCHIAN: THANK YOU, CHAIRMAN
- 15 PENNINGTON, AND MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, JERRY
- 16 JAMGOTCHIAN. BEFORE I START, I GOT AN ADDENDUM TO
- 17 THE DOCUMENT THAT YOU WERE PROVIDED LAST WEEK
- 18 ALONG WITH A COPT OF IT FOR MR. ROBERTI.
- 19 MEMBER FRAZEE: IS THIS THE DOCUMENT PROVIDED
- 20 BY YOURSELF?
- 21 MR. JAMGOTCHIAN: YES, IT IS. THAT'S THAT AGENDA
- 22 TO IT.
- 23 MEMBER FRAZEE: THIS IS NOTHING FROM THE CITY
- 24 OF HAWTHORNE.
- 25 MR. JAMGOTCHIAN: THE DOCUMENTS INSIDE THAT

- 1 ARE DOCUMENTS THAT WE RECEIVED FROM THE CITY OF
- 2 HAWTHORNE THROUGH THE ATTORNEY'S OFFICE. THESE
- 3 REPORTS WERE BASICALLY GENERATED FOR YOUR STAFF
- 4 TO REVIEW DOCUMENTS THAT THE CITY OF HAWTHORNE
- 5 HASN'T PROVIDED TO YOU, AND WE'VE RECEIVED SOME OF
- 6 THE DOCUMENTS, AND I WANT TO PROVIDE THEM TO YOU.
- 7 THE DOCUMENTS ARE SELF-EXPLANATORY, BUT I DID
- 8 WANT TO REFERENCE JUST A COUPLE THINGS. THE STAFF
- 9 HAS BEEN VERY SUPPORTIVE OF MY EFFORTS AND HAVE
- 10 REVIEWED DOCUMENTS WITH ME, AND I THINK I'VE BEEN
- 11 VERY APPRECIATIVE OF THE STAFF'S ASSISTANCE,
- 12 INCLUDING YOU, CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON. I APPRECIATE
- 13 THE CORRESPONDENCE WE HAVE OVER THE LAST TWO
- 14 YEARS TO GET TO THIS DATE.
- 15 THE CITY OF HAWTHORNE AND THE CITY OF
- 16 HAWAIIAN GARDENS MIGHT HAVE SOME SIMILARITIES, BUT
- 17 THERE'S ONE MAJOR DIFFERENCE, AND THAT IS FUNDING.
- 18 IN THE CITY OF HAWTHORNE, ALL THE RECYCLING
- 19 PROGRAMS HAVE BEEN FULLY FUNDED SINCE 1992. THE
- 20 CITY OF HAWTHORNE HAS CHARGED ITS RESIDENCE ABOUT
- 21 FOUR MILLION DOLLARS FOR RECYCLING SINCE 1992, YET
- 22 THE PROGRAMS HAVEN'T BEEN IMPLEMENTED.
- 23 THIS DOCUMENT, WHICH IS PART OF YOUR PACKAGE,
- 24 IDENTIFIES THIS FACT. THE INITIAL COSTS TO DO THIS,
- 25 THE CAPITAL COST, AS WELL AS THE YEARLY COST HAVE

- 1 BEEN FULLY FUNDED. SO THIS IS A CITY THAT HAS IN THE
- 2 PAST STATED THEY DO NOT HAVE THE ADEQUATE FUNDING
- 3 AND THAT THEY DON'T HAVE ADEQUATE STAFFING, YET
- 4 THIS RECYCLING PROGRAM AND SRRE PROGRAMS HAVE
- 5 ALL BEEN FULLY FUNDED AND THAT IS A SIGNIFICANT
- 6 DIFFERENCE.
- 7 ADDITIONALLY, THE DOCUMENT WITH REGARD TO
- 8 RECYCLING, I'M NOT REALLY ADDRESSING THE BASE-YEAR
- 9 ISSUES, BUT THIS IS A LETTER DATED JULY, 15TH, ALSO IN
- 10 YOUR PACKAGE, FROM THE CITY'S ATTORNEY'S OFFICE OF
- 11 THE CITY OF HAWTHORNE WHO REQUESTED THE
- 12 QUARTERLY COMMERCIAL RECYCLING REPORTS FOR THE
- 13 CITY'S DISPOSAL. AND THESE RECYCLING REPORTS SHOW
- 14 A GRAND TOTAL OF 760 TONS RECYCLED DURING THE YEAR
- 15 1996.
- 16 IN 1995 THERE WAS NO REPORTING. SO THIS IS THE
- 17 MOST CURRENT AND ACCURATE STATEMENT THAT WE
- 18 HAVE, AND THESE DOCUMENTS WERE PRODUCED TO US
- 19 THROUGH THE CITY'S ATTORNEY'S OFFICE.
- 20 THERE WERE 760 TONS THAT WERE ACTUALLY
- 21 RECYCLED. IN THESE RECYCLING NUMBERS, DIDN'T
- 22 INCLUDE ANY GREEN WASTE, AND YOU'LL SEE IN THE
- 23 DOCUMENTS PROVIDED THAT ALL THE GREEN WASTE IN
- 24 THE CITY IS DUMPED.
- 25 WITH REGARDS TO THE BASE-YEAR CONVERSION

- 1 NUMBERS, THE BASE-YEAR IN THIS CITY -- AND YOU HAVE
- 2 THESE. I CAN REFER TO THIS. THE BASE-YEAR TONNAGE
- 3 IN THIS CITY ACCORDING TO THE CITY'S ANNUAL REPORT
- 4 WAS ONE HUNDRED TWENTY-EIGHT THOUSAND, AND FOUR
- 5 HUNDRED AND FIFTY TONS. IN 1996, IF THE CITY OF
- 6 HAWTHORNE EXCLUSIVE HAUL OR ACTION DISPOSAL
- 7 RECYCLED 760 TONS, AS I STATED TO THE CITY'S
- 8 ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, THAT'S LESS THAN A ONE PERCENT
- 9 DIVERSION, AND THIS CITY CLAIMED A 50 PERCENT
- 10 DIVERSION. I DON'T NEED TO GO INTO BAD FAITH, BUT
- 11 HERE'S A CLEAR EXAMPLE OF 760 TONS DIVIDED BY A 128
- 12 THOUSAND TONS IS LESS THAN ONE PERCENT.
- 13 ALSO QUITE INTERESTING, THE HAULER IN A
- 14 DEPOSITION, THE PERSON THAT'S INVOLVED IN
- 15 RECYCLING, HE STATED -- THERE WAS A QUESTION ASKED
- 16 TO HIM IF HE WAS AWARE OF WHETHER OR NOT THE CITY
- 17 OF HAWTHORNE HAD A SOURCE REDUCTION AND
- 18 RECYCLING ELEMENT ADOPTED BY THE COUNSIL. HIS
- 19 ANSWER WAS I DON'T KNOW IF THE SRRE WAS ADOPTED OR
- 20 NOT. I BELIEVE, I DON'T KNOW.
- 21 THE SECOND QUESTION WAS DID YOU UTILIZE THIS
- 22 DOCUMENT IN YOUR ACTIVITIES IN CONDUCTING
- 23 RECYCLING ACTIVITIES IN THE CITY OF HAWTHORNE? HIS
- 24 ANSWER WAS NO. THAT'S PROVIDED IN YOUR DOCUMENTS.
- 25 THE CITY CURRENTLY HAS NO COMMERCIAL

- 1 RECYCLING AT ALL, AND 80 PERCENT OF ITS FLOW IS
- 2 COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL. I OWN A SHOPPING
- 3 CENTER IN THIS COMMUNITY. FOR THREE YEARS I
- 4 REQUESTED TO TRY TO RECYCLE MY SHOPPING CENTER'S
- 5 TENNANT'S MATERIALS, AND, IN FACT, I BROUGHT IN A
- 6 RECYCLER, AND I WAS PROMPTLY SUED BY THE CITY FOR
- 7 INTERFERING WITH THEIR CONTRACT WITH THEIR
- 8 HAULER AGENCY DISPOSAL.
- 9 THIS, OF COURSE, IS IN 1995, 1996 REVIEW, BUT IF
- 10 WE'RE LOOKING INTO THE FUTURE YEARS, AND MR. BEST
- 11 BROUGHT UP SOME GOOD COMMENTS WITH REGARDS TO
- 12 THE FUTURE YEARS, '97, '98, AND '99. THE CITY ISN'T IN
- 13 COMPLIANCE FOR 1995 AND 1996, AND WITH THEIR
- 14 CURRENT PROGRAM IMPLEMENTATION, IT'S IMPOSSIBLE TO
- 15 BE IN COMPLIANCE FOR '97 THROUGH '99.
- 16 IN SOME OF THE COMPLIANCE CONDITIONS, I PLACED --
- 17 THAT I PUT TOGETHER THAT ARE A PART OF YOUR
- 18 BOOKLET -- THE CITY OF HAWTHORNE CURRENTLY IS
- 19 PAYING THE HAULER \$37,000 A MONTH TO RECYCLE OR
- 20 APPROXIMATELY \$478,000 A YEAR. THAT MONEY IS
- 21 CURRENTLY BEING USED -- PAID TO THE HAULER WITHOUT
- 22 A RECYCLING PROGRAM, AND IT'S CONCERNING ME THAT
- 23 THIS HAULER HAS HAD A CONTRACT CANCELED, AND IT
- 24 EXPIRED, I BELIEVE, IN THE YEAR OF 2000 OR 2001.
- 25 THAT'S A VERY MAJOR PROBLEM IF, IN FACT, ANY OF THESE

- 1 PROGRAMS ARE GOING TO BE IMPLEMENTED, BECAUSE
- 2 THIS HAULER MIGHT NOT HAVE THE MOTIVATION TO DO IT,
- 3 AND MAYBE THE FACT THAT THE HAULERS MOTIVATION
- 4 ISN'T VERY STRONG, MAYBE THAT'S THE REASON WHY THE
- 5 CITY'S MOTIVATION ISN'T VERY STRONG. THAT'S ONE
- 6 THING THAT I THINK YOU OUGHT TO CONSIDER IN THE
- 7 COMPLIANCE ORDER, AND I'VE LISTED 21 ITEMS,
- 8 ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS TO THE COMPLIANCE ORDER IN
- 9 YOUR BOOKLET, THAT IF YOU WOULD CONSIDER SOME OF
- 10 THOSE, ONE OF WHICH IS MONTHLY REPORTING, BECAUSE
- 11 THE CITY COUNCIL HAS GIVEN THE DIRECTION TO THE
- 12 CITY MANAGER IN THIS CASE TO NOT COMMUNICATE WITH
- 13 THE WASTE BOARD WITH REGARDS TO ANY OF THESE
- 14 ITEMS. UNTIL THAT LOGJAM IS BROKEN UP, THERE
- 15 WOULDN'T BE A LOT OF COMMUNICATION BETWEEN THE
- 16 WASTE BOARD AND THE CITY.
- 17 THERE'S A LOT OF THINGS -- OR PEOPLE IN THE
- 18 AUDIENCE, FORMER AGENCY DISPOSAL EMPLOYEES, ONE
- 19 OF WHICH PROVIDED A DECLARATION TO YOU WITH
- 20 REGARDS TO WHAT THEY ACTUALLY DO WITH RECYCLABLES
- 21 AND HOW MINIMAL THE BAG AND TAG PROGRAM IS, AND
- 22 AS I STATED, THERE'S NO COMMERCIAL RECYCLING IN THE
- 23 CITY CURRENTLY.
- 24 I'M HERE TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS, AND I
- 25 APPRECIATE THE BOARD'S CONSIDERATION.

- 1 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: ANY QUESTIONS? IF NOT, I
- 2 HAVE A MOTION THAT I WOULD LIKE TO MOVE. I MOVE
- 3 THAT THE CITY OF HAWTHORNE COMPLY WITH THE BOARD
- 4 STAFF LETTER OF SEPTEMBER 16, 1998, BY PROVIDING THE
- 5 REQUESTED INFORMATION BY JANUARY 15TH, 1999. THIS
- 6 WILL HAVE GIVEN THE CITY OVER A 100 DAYS FROM THE
- 7 CITY'S ACKNOWLEDGED RECEIPT OF THE LETTER BY
- 8 FACSIMILE AND 30 DAYS FROM TODAY TO PREPARE THE
- 9 REQUESTED INFORMATION.
- 10 FURTHER, I MOVE THAT BOARD STAFF BE DIRECTED
- 11 TO DRAFT A COMPLIANCE ORDER FOR THE CITY OF
- 12 HAWTHORNE FOR REVIEW BY THE BOARD AT THE JANUARY
- 13 27, 1999, MEETING. THE COMPLIANCE ORDER SHALL
- 14 INCLUDE, AT A MINIMUM, THE FOLLOWING:
- 15 1. THE CITY SHALL DEVELOP A NEW WASTE
- 16 GENERATION STUDY, WITH THE INTENT OF ESTABLISHING
- 17 A NEW MORE ACCURATE BASE-YEAR WITHIN SIX MONTHS.
- 18 2. THE CITY SHALL IMPLEMENT ALL OF THE
- 19 PROGRAMS SELECTED IN ITS SRRE, OR SUITABLE
- 20 ALTERNATIVE PROGRAMS BROUGHT FORWARD IN A
- 21 REVISED SRRE, BY THE YEAR 2000.
- 22 3. THE CITY SHALL DOCUMENT ITS PROGRESS IN
- 23 IMPLEMENTING SELECTED PROGRAMS AND MEETING THE
- 24 DIVERSION REQUIREMENTS OF 25 PERCENT BY 1995 AND 50
- 25 PERCENT BY 2000 IN QUARTERLY REPORTS TO THE BOARD.

- 1 4. THE CITY SHALL WORK WITH THE BOARD'S
- 2 TARGETED IMPLEMENTATION ASSISTANCE SECTION OF THE
- 3 OFFICE OF LOCAL ASSISTANCE TO IDENTIFY ADDITIONAL
- 4 POSSIBLE PROGRAMS AND WAYS TO INCREASE DIVERSION
- 5 IN EXISTING PROGRAMS.
- 6 THAT'S MY MOTION, FOLKS.
- 7 MEMBER FRAZEE: MR. CHAIRMAN?
- 8 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: YES, MR. FRAZEE.
- 9 MEMBER FRAZEE: I WAS JUST GOING TO SUGGEST,
- 10 MR. CHAIRMAN, COULDN'T THAT BE ACCOMPLISHED BY
- 11 MERELY USING YOUR FORM OF COMPLIANCE ORDER
- 12 QUALITIES IN THE RESOLUTION IN SUBSTITUTING FOR
- 13 THREE?
- 14 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: YEAH.
- 15 MEMBER FRAZEE: IT GETS US WITH A FORMER
- 16 RATHER THAN A MINUTE MOTION.
- 17 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: I JUST WONDER IF WE CALL
- 18 FOR THEM TO PROVIDE OUR REQUESTED INFORMATION BY
- 19 THE 15TH.
- 20 MEMBER FRAZEE: YEAH, I THINK THE STAFF REPORT
- 21 READ YOUR FOUR CONDITIONS RATHER THAN THE FOUR
- 22 THAT WERE IN THIS RESOLUTION.
- 23 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OH, OKAY.
- 24 MEMBER FRAZEE: IT JUST PROCEDURALLY SEEMS
- 25 THAT THAT WOULD WORK BETTER THAN DEALING WITH --

- 1 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: IT WOULD. I JUST WONDER
- 2 WHAT THE CITY PROVIDED IN THE REQUESTED
- 3 INFORMATION BY THE 15TH OF JANUARY.
- 4 MS. FRIEDMAN: MR. CHAIRMAN, WE COULD, IF THE
- 5 BOARD WANTS TO AMEND THE RESOLUTION AND ADD
- 6 THAT CLAUSE INTO THIS. SO ON THE RECORD, THIS
- 7 RESOLUTION PLUS THAT CLAUSE, I THINK, MEET YOUR --
- 8 THE ITEMS IN YOUR MOTION.
- 9 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. SO WE'LL GO TO
- 10 RESOLUTION 98-4 12 AND INSERT THE FOUR ITEMS THAT
- 11 SHOULD BE IN THE COMPLIANCE ORDER AS WELL AS
- 12 INSERTING THERE AS THE CITY OF HAWTHORNE COMPLY
- 13 WITH BOARD STAFF MEMBER SEPTEMBER 16TH, 1998, BY
- 14 PROVIDING A REQUESTED INFORMATION CONTAINED IN
- 15 THE LETTER BY JANUARY 15TH, 1999.
- 16 IS THAT OKAY?
- 17 MEMBER FRAZEE: IF IT GETS TO INCORPORATE ALL
- 18 OF THOSE IN THE RESOLUTION ORDER.
- 19 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: I DO NEED A SECOND.
- 20 MEMBER FRAZEE: I'LL SECOND IT.
- 21 MEMBER JONES: I WANT TO SEE THE ISSUE ABOUT
- 22 THE ENFORCEMENT ACTION AND ADD THAT TO YOUR
- 23 MOTION.
- 24 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: SURE.
- 25 MEMBER JONES: I WOULD SECOND FOR THAT

- 1 MATTER.
- 2 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: ABSOLUTELY.
- 3 MEMBER JONES: SO THE ENFORCEMENT IS INCLUDED
- 4 THEN, MR. CHAIRMAN, I WOULD LOVE TO SECOND YOUR
- 5 MOTION.
- 6 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: I ALREADY GOT MR.
- 7 FRAZEE.
- 8 MEMBER JONES: I'M SORRY. I'LL THIRD THE MOTION.
- 9 MEMBER FRAZEE: CAN I DO ONE BIT OF NITPICKING
- 10 NOW THAT I'M GOING TO SECOND -- THE FIRST LINE I MOVE
- 11 THAT THE CITY OF HAWTHORNE COMPLY. IT SHOULD READ
- 12 BE "DIRECTED AND COMPLIED."
- 13 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. GOT THE CITY OF
- 14 HAWTHORNE.
- 15 MEMBER FRAZEE: SHOULD BE DIRECTED TO COMPLY.
- 16 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION
- 17 ON THE MOTION?
- 18 MEMBER EATON: NOTHING ON THE MOTION. I JUST,
- 19 PERHAPS, MAYBE WE SHOULD FOLLOW UP ON MR. RHOADS'
- 20 COMMENT THIS MORNING, WHICH DID NOT GO
- 21 UNDERHEARD, PERHAPS A LETTER FROM THE CHAIR'S
- 22 OFFICE AND REPLYING TO THE LEGISLATIVE ACTION WE
- 23 TOOK, BUT I SUPPOSE THAT WE PROBABLY WILL BE
- 24 HEARING FROM ONE OR MORE OF THEM WITH REGARD TO
- 25 THIS PARTICULAR ISSUE, AND, PERHAPS, MAYBE SITTING

- 1 DOWN AND WORKING WITH HIM, WE MIGHT BE ABLE TO
- 2 ACCOMPLISH A LITTLE BIT MORE COMPLIANCE IN THE
- 3 LONG RUN.
- 4 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: THAT'S A VERY GOOD IDEA.
- 5 IF THERE'S NO FURTHER DISCUSSION, I SUGGEST --
- 6 MEMBER RHOADS: THERE ISN'T ANYBODY FROM THE
- 7 CITY TO COMMENT ON THIS PARTICULAR ITEM?
- 8 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: NO.
- 9 MR. SCHMIDLE: NO, SIR.
- 10 THEY WERE NOTIFIED BY LETTER AND IN TELEPHONE
- 11 CALLS. AND, AS I UNDERSTAND IT, AS OF
- 12 YESTERDAY, THEY CHOSE NOT TO COME.
- 13 MEMBER RHOADS: THANK YOU.
- 14 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. IF THERE'S
- 15 NO FURTHER DISCUSSION, WILL THE SECRETARY CALL
- 16 THE ROLL?
- 17 THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER EATON?
- MEMBER EATON: AYE.
- THE SECRETARY: FRAZEE?
- 20 MEMBER FRAZEE: AYE.
- THE SECRETARY: JONES?
- 22 MEMBER JONES: AYE.
- THE SECRETARY: RHOADS?
- MEMBER RHOADS: AYE.
- THE SECRETARY: ROBERTI?

```
1
   MEMBER ROBERTI: AYE.
2
        THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON?
  CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: AYE.
4 MOTION CARRIES.
5 IT'S RIGHT AT 12:00 NOON, AND IT
6 LOOKS LIKE A TIME TO BREAK. BE BACK AT 1:30.
7 (lunch recess.)
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

- 1 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. THIS IS BRINGING
- 2 US BACK INTO THE SESSION. I'LL START WITH AB 939. MR.
- 3 EATON?
- 4 MEMBER EATON: NONE.
- 5 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: MR. JONES?
- 6 MEMBER JONES: I HAD A DISCUSSION WITH MR.
- 7 SWEET SER FROM NOR-CAL. WE TALKED THIS MORNING, I
- 8 GUESS, ON ENFORCEMENT
- 9 OF AB 939.
- 10 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. SENATOR
- 11 ROBERTI?
- 12 SENATOR ROBERTI: NO.
- 13 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. AND MR.
- 14 RHOADS?
- 15 MEMBER RHOADS: NONE.
- 16 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: AND MR. FRAZEE?
- 17 MEMBER FRAZEE: NONE FOR ME.
- 18 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: ME NEITHER, I
- 19 HID, I HAVEN'T TALKED TO ANYONE. I WAS
- 20 ANTISOCIAL.
- 21 OKAY. ITEM NUMBER SIX,
- 22 CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE
- 23 BIENNIAL REVIEW FINDINGS FOR THE SOURCE
- 24 REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT AND THE
- 25 HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE ELEMENT OF THE CITY

- 1 OF COACHELLA IN RIVERSIDE COUNTY.
- 2 MS. FRIEDMAN: GOOD AFTERNOON,
- 3 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON AND BOARD MEMBERS. GARY
- 4 COLLORD WILL BE MAKING THE PRESENTATION OF THE
- 5 STAFF.
- 6 I HAD NEGLECTED TO SAY EARLIER THAT
- 7 THIS IS GARY'S FIRST TIME BEFORE THE BOARD, SO
- 8 THESE THREE ITEMS MARK HIS FIRST TIME.
- 9 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: HE'S GETTING
- 10 BROKEN IN VERY FAST.
- 11 MS. FRIEDMAN: VERY WELL. AND, WITH
- 12 THAT, I'LL TURN IT OVER TO GARY.
- 13 MR. COLLARD: OKAY. GOOD AFTERNOON
- 14 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON, AND MEMBERS OF THE BOARD.
- 15 I AM GARY COLLARD, WITH THE OFFICE OF LOCAL
- 16 ASSISTANCE.
- 17 AGENDA ITEM NUMBER SIX IS THE
- 18 BIENNIAL REVIEW FOR THE CITY OF COACHELLA. THE
- 19 CITY OF COACHELLA HAS NOT SUBMITTED ANNUAL
- 20 REPORTS TO THE BOARD FOR 1995 OR 1996. THE
- 21 CITY'S 1997 ANNUAL REPORT IS ALSO OVERDUE.
- 22 IN THE ABSENCE OF ANNUAL REPORTS OR
- 23 ALTERNATIVE INFORMATION, STAFF CANNOT CONCLUDE
- 24 THAT THE CITY IS ADEQUATELY IMPLEMENTING ITS
- 25 SRRE AND HHWE, OR ACHIEVING THE 1995 DIVERSION

- 1 REQUIREMENTS.
- 2 DUE TO THE CITY'S FAILURE TO SUBMIT
- 3 ANNUAL REPORTS, OR RESPOND TO STAFF'S ATTEMPTS
- 4 TO CONTACT THEM ABOUT THE STATUS OF THEIR
- 5 DIVERSION PROGRAMS, STAFF CANNOT FIND THAT THE
- 6 CITY HAS MADE A GOOD-FAITH EFFORT TO IMPLEMENT
- 7 THE SRRE AND HHWE.
- 8 THE CITY HAS NOT RESPONDED TO
- 9 STAFF'S INQUIRIES ABOUT THE STATUS OF THE
- 10 PROGRAMS, DESPITE REPEATED ATTEMPTS TO CONTACT
- 11 THEM. LETTERS WERE MAILED TO THE CITY IN
- 12 DECEMBER OF 1997, AND APRIL OF 1998, REGARDING
- 13 THEIR FAILURE TO SUBMIT ANNUAL REPORTS. STAFF
- 14 MADE SEVERAL TELEPHONE CALLS TO THE CITY
- 15 MANAGER'S OFFICE IN OCTOBER OF 1998. STAFF
- 16 ALSO FAXED A PROGRAM SUMMARY TO THE CITY IN
- 17 OCTOBER, ALSO ON OCTOBER 15TH, AND ASKED THAT
- 18 THEY IDENTIFY THE IMPLEMENTATION STATUS OF
- 19 THEIR DIVERSION PROGRAMS.
- 20 AND, FINALLY, LETTERS WERE MAILED
- 21 TO THE MAYOR AND CITY. MANAGER IN NOVEMBER
- 22 REGARDING STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION TO PROCEED
- 23 WITH THE BIENNIAL REVIEW.
- 24 UP UNTIL LAST WEDNESDAY, WHEN
- 25 ANNUAL REPORTS FOR 1995 AND '96 WERE

- 1 EXPRESS-MAILED TO THE BOARD, THE CITY HAS NOT
- 2 RESPONDED
- 3 TO ANY OF OUR LETTERS OR CALLS.
- 4 AND, OUR PRELIMINARY ANALYSIS OF
- 5 THE REPORTS SUGGEST THAT THE REPORTS ARE NOT
- 6 COMPLETE. IN PARTICULAR, MANY OF THE PROGRAMS
- 7 THAT EXISTED PRIOR TO ADOPTION OF THE SRRE AND
- 8 HHWE APPEAR TO BE MISSING FROM THE ANNUAL
- 9 REPORTS.
- 10 STAFF, THEREFORE, RECOMMENDS THE
- 11 BOARD FIND THAT COACHELLA IS NOT ADEQUATELY
- 12 IMPLEMENTING ITS SRRE AND HHWE, AND DIRECT
- 13 STAFF TO DRAFT AN ORDER OF COMPLIANCE FOR
- 14 REVIEW BY THE BOARD IN JANUARY. STAFF
- 15 RECOMMENDS THAT THE COMPLIANCE ORDER INCLUDE
- 16 THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS.
- 17 AND, IN LIGHT OF THE CITY'S RECENT
- 18 SUBMITTAL OF ITS ANNUAL REPORTS, STAFF WOULD
- 19 LIKE TO MODIFY CONDITION ONE TO READ,
- 20
- 21 "THE CITY SHALL COMPLETE THEIR
- 22 ANNUAL REPORTS FOR '95 AND '96, AND
- 23 SUBMIT THEIR ANNUAL REPORT FOR 1997
- 24 WITHIN 60 DAYS."
- 25 CONDITION NUMBER TWO:

- 1 "THE CITY SHALL IMPLEMENT ALL OF
- 2 THE PROGRAMS SELECTED IN ITS SRRE, OR
- 3 SUITABLE ALTERNATIVE PROGRAMS, BY THE
- 4 YEAR 2000."
- 5 CONDITION NUMBER THREE:
- 6 "THE CITY SHALL SUBMIT QUARTERLY
- 7 REPORTS TO THE BOARD WHICH DOCUMENT ITS
- 8 PROGRESS IN IMPLEMENTING PROGRAMS AND
- 9 MEETING THE DIVERSION REQUIREMENTS."
- 10 AND, FOUR:
- 11 "THE CITY SHALL WORK WITH THE
- 12 BOARD'S TARGETED IMPLEMENTATION
- 13 ASSISTANCE STAFF TO INCREASE AND
- 14 IMPROVE ITS DIVERSION PROGRAMS."
- 15 THIS CONCLUDES OUR REPORT AND
- 16 RECOMMENDATIONS. ARE THERE ANY QUESTIONS FOR
- 17 STAFF?
- 18 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: QUESTIONS? OKAY.
- 19 THEN WE HAVE FROM THE PUBLIC
- 20 MICHAEL PERRY, WHO WOULD LIKE TO ADDRESS THIS.
- MR. PERRY: MR. CHAIRMAN, HONORABLE
- 22 BOARD MEMBERS, MY NAME IS MIKE PERRY WITH
- 23 EMCON. WE HAVE RECENTLY BEEN RETAINED BY THE
- 24 CITY OF COACHELLA TO ASSIST THEM WITH ALL AB
- 25 939 COMPLIANCE PROCEDURES. MR. WOOSLEY, THE

- 1 NEW CITY MANAGER, HAS ASKED ME TO EXTEND HIS
- 2 REGRETS FOR HIS NOT BEING ABLE TO ATTEND
- 3 TODAY'S MEETING.
- 4 IN BRIEF, THE CITY HAS NO EXCUSE
- 5 FOR NOT SUBMITTING ITS ANNUAL REPORTS IN A
- 6 TIMELY MANNER. HOWEVER, SUFFICE IT TO SAY,
- 7 THAT SHIFTING PRIORITIES, CHANGES IN STAFF --
- 8 INCLUDING THE CITY MANAGER AND PUBLIC WORKS
- 9 DIRECTOR HAVE RECENTLY CHANGED -- AS WELL AS
- 10 CHANGING FRANCHISE HAULERS, AND A PROACTED
- 11 TRANSFER STATION AND RECYCLING CENTER SITING
- 12 PROCEDURE HAVE ALL CONTRIBUTED TO THE DELAY IN
- 13 THE SUBMITTAL OF ITS ANNUAL REPORTS.
- 14 EMCON DEVELOPED A DRAFT ANNUAL
- 15 REPORT ON THE CITY'S BEHALF BASICALLY IN
- 16 OCTOBER AND NOVEMBER. THEY WERE UNDER THE
- 17 CITY'S REVIEW AND COMMENT BY THE CITY WHEN
- 18 NOTIFICATIONS OF THE BOARD'S PENDING ACTIONS
- 19 WERE RECEIVED. BASED UPON THE NOTIFICATION OF
- 20 THE BOARD, THE CITY SUBMITTED THEIR 1995 AND
- 21 1996 ANNUAL REPORTS VERBATIM, WITHOUT ANY
- 22 COMMENTS ON THEIR OWN INCLUDED.
- 23 MY INITIAL DISCUSSIONS WITH BOARD
- 24 STAFF HAVE INDICATED THAT THE REPORTS MAY NOT
- 25 LIST ALL OF THE PROGRAMS THAT THE CITY COULD BE

- 1 TAKING CREDIT FOR. AND, THAT THE REVISIONS
- 2 WILL PRIMARILY PROMOTE THE CITY'S EFFORTS IN A
- 3 BETTER LIGHT THROUGH DOCUMENTATION OF THE
- 4 EXISTING DIVERSION PROGRAMS. IN BRIEF, BOARD
- 5 STAFF HAS INDICATED THAT THERE ARE OTHER
- 6 PROGRAMS THAT THE CITY COULD BE LISTING, AND
- 7 COULD BE TAKING INTO CREDIT, FOR ITS DIVERSION
- 8 IN ITS ANNUAL REPORTS.
- 9 I'D LIKE TO ADD THAT THE CITY IS
- 10 COMMITTED TO COMPLYING WITH NOT ONLY THE
- 11 LETTER, BUT THE INTENT OF AB 939. WE HAVE BEEN
- 12 GIVEN DIRECTION BY THE CITY TO EXPEDITE THE
- 13 ANNUAL REPORT REVIEW PROCESS, AND TO KEEP THE
- 14 CITY IN COMPLIANCE WITH ALL AB 939 MANDATES.
- 15 EVEN THE INITIAL STAFF REPORTS HAVE
- 16 INDICATED THE CITY IS IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE
- 17 DIVERSION REQUIREMENTS, THE 25 PERCENT
- 18 DIVERSION GOAL. THE QUESTION THAT WE HAVE
- 19 FAILING FOR US IS PRIMARILY, THE CITY HAS NOT
- 20 DOCUMENTED NOR REPORTED TO THE BOARD AS
- 21 REQUIRED WHAT IT'S DOING IN ITS DIVERSION
- 22 REQUIREMENTS.
- 23 IN LIEU OF THE BOARD RECEIPT OF THE
- 24 DRAFTED ANNUAL REPORT, THE CITY IS RESPECTIVELY
- 25 REQUESTING A CONTINUANCE OF THE RESOLUTION

- 1 UNTIL WE, AS THE CITY'S CONSULTANT, AND THE
- 2 CITY CAN MORE FULLY DOCUMENT ITS EXISTING
- 3 PROGRAMS.
- 4 AGAIN, THE QUESTION IS NOT WHETHER
- 5 OR NOT THEY'RE DIVERTING THE MATERIALS, IT'S
- 6 WHETHER OR NOT THEY ARE DOCUMENTING THAT
- 7 DIVERSION OF MATERIALS ADEQUATELY.
- 8 BY CONTINUING THIS ITEM IT WILL
- 9 FREE STAFF FROM HAVING TO SPEND VALUABLE TIME
- 10 AND RESOURCES IN DEVELOPING A COMPLIANCE ORDER,
- 11 AND THE CITY'S RESOURCES IN SUBMITTING
- 12 QUARTERLY REPORTS TO THE BOARD. AND, ALLOW
- 13 THEM -- BOTH THE BOARD STAFF AND THE CITY -- TO
- 14 FOCUS ITS RESOURCES ON THE INTENT OF THE
- 15 LEGISLATURE, WHICH IS DIVERTING MORE FROM GOING
- 16 TO THE LANDFILLS.
- 17 AND, I'LL BE HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY
- 18 QUESTIONS I CAN ON THIS ISSUE.
- 19 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: QUESTIONS?
- 20 MEMBER EATON: I CAN UNDERSTAND THAT-- THE
- 21 PREDICAMENT THAT YOU'RE IN. BUT WHAT IS HARD FOR
- 22 ME IS TO UNDERSTAND THE NONRESPONSIVENESS TO ANY
- 23 INQUIRIES BY THE BOARD. I MEAN, DO YOU HAVE ANY
- 24 EXPLANATION FOR THAT? I MEAN...?
- 25 MR. PERRY: THERE ARE SEVERAL THINGS

- 1 THAT HAVE CONTRIBUTED TO IT. OBVIOUSLY,
- 2 EXCUSES ARE NOT WHAT THE BOARD IS LOOKING FOR,
- 3 YOU ARE LOOKING FOR RESULTS.
- 4 IN THIS PROCESS THERE HAS BEEN A
- 5 NEW CITY MANAGER AND A NEW PUBLIC WORKS
- 6 DIRECTOR. THEY HAVE CHANGED THEIR HAULER, AS
- 7 WELL. AND THE RECYCLING COORDINATOR FOR THE
- 8 HAULER, THEIR PREVIOUS HAULER, IS NO LONGER
- 9 MAINTAINING INFORMATION OF DIVERSION THAT MAY
- 10 HAVE OCCURRED PREVIOUSLY, BEFORE THEY CHANGED
- 11 FRANCHISE HAULERS. SO, THERE IS SORT OF A GAP
- 12 IN INFORMATION THAT'S AVAILABLE, IN TERMS OF
- 13 BEING ABLE TO COMPLY OR DOCUMENT THE DIVERSION
- 14 PROGRAMS.
- 15 IN TERMS OF WHAT CITY STAFF IS
- 16 DOING, I REALLY AM UNABLE TO COMMENT UPON THAT,
- 17 OTHER THAN I DO KNOW THAT IT'S VERY DIFFICULT
- 18 TO -- WHEN THERE'S CHANGES WITHIN THE MAJOR
- 19 DEPARTMENTS WITHIN A CITY, IT'S VERY DIFFICULT
- 20 TO GET A COHESIVE RESPONSE WHILE THOSE CHANGES
- 21 ARE TAKING PLACE.
- 22 MEMBER JONES: MR. CHAIR?
- 23 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: MR. JONES.
- 24 MEMBER JONES: JUST A COUPLE OF
- 25 QUESTIONS. THE 60 DAYS TO COMPLETE AND SUBMIT

- 1 THE REPORTS, IS THAT ENOUGH TIME FOR YOU TO --
- 2 MR. PERRY: OH, ABSOLUTELY. ACTUALLY,
- 3 THE 1995 AND 1996 DRAFT REPORTS HAVE BEEN
- 4 SUBMITTED TO THE BOARD. AND THEIR PRELIMINARY
- 5 REVIEW HAS INDICATED THAT THEY WOULD LIKE TO
- 6 SEE MORE DOCUMENTATION ON THE EXISTING
- 7 DIVERSION PROGRAMS, WHAT WAS OCCURRING BEFORE,
- 8 BASICALLY 1990.
- 9 THAT INFORMATION IS DIFFICULT TO
- 10 OBTAIN IN RIVERSIDE COUNTY, IN THAT THE
- 11 INFORMATION WAS OBTAINED AS AN AGGREGATE WITH A
- 12 PROMISE TO THE RESPONDERS THAT THEIR -- ALL
- 13 DATA SUBMITTED WOULD REMAIN PROPRIETARY. AND
- 14 SO GETTING BACK TO THAT PROGRAM THAT OCCURRED
- 15 IN 1990 IS VERY DIFFICULT TO DO WITHOUT HAVING
- 16 THE ACTUAL DOCUMENTATION AT THE TIME.
- 17 MEMBER JONES: OKAY. BUT THE 1990
- 18 NUMBER, WHATEVER THAT NUMBER WAS OF EXISTING
- 19 PROGRAM TONNAGES, MAYBE NOT THE PROGRAMS,
- 20 WOULD BE IN THE BASE-YEAR. WELL, YEAH, THEY'D
- 21 EVEN HAVE A DESCRIPTION IN THE BASE-YEAR.
- MR. PERRY: YES. THAT'S CORRECT.
- 23 MEMBER JONES: SO THAT PART SHOULD BE
- 24 OKAY.
- MR. PERRY: THAT'S CORRECT.

- 1 MEMBER JONES: IT'S THE '95 STUFF --
- 2 MR. PERRY: NO. ACTUALLY, STAFF HAS
- 3 INDICATED -- AND THEY CAN CORRECT ME IF I'M
- 4 WRONG -- IS THAT THEY'RE LOOKING FOR MORE
- 5 DOCUMENTATION ON WHAT WAS OCCURRING IN 1990,
- 6 AND HOW THOSE PROGRAMS HAVE CONTINUED TO OCCUR
- 7 THROUGH 1996, '96, AND '97.
- 8 AND, AGAIN, A LOT OF THAT
- 9 INFORMATION WAS DEVELOPED AS AN AGGREGATE, SO
- 10 IT'S HARD TO PULL APART AND DISCERN WHETHER OR
- 11 NOT THAT PROGRAM IS ACTUALLY IN PLACE TODAY, OR
- 12 IT WAS AGGREGATED AS PART OF AN OVERALL
- 13 PROGRAM.
- 14 IN REVIEWING THEIR SRRE -- AND AS I
- 15 SAID, WE ONLY HAD A WEEK TO DO IT SO WE LOOKED
- 16 AT IT PRETTY QUICKLY -- WE NOTICED THAT THERE
- 17 SEEMED TO BE A LARGE NUMBER OF PROGRAMS
- 18 MISSING. AND WE IDENTIFIED THOSE AS PROGRAMS
- 19 THAT WERE EXISTING IN THE COMMUNITY PREVIOUS TO
- 20 1990, AND THOSE ARE COMPLETELY MISSING. WE'RE
- 21 NOT QUITE SURE WHY, OR WHETHER THOSE PROGRAMS
- 22 ARE CONTINUING TO BE IMPLEMENTED, OR WHETHER
- 23 THEY'RE DROPPED, OR WHAT. SO, WE'D LIKE TO
- 24 HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO GO BACK AND TAKE A LOOK
- 25 AT THOSE.

- 1 MEMBER JONES: OKAY. SO THEY WERE
- 2 EXISTING IN '90, THEY WERE IDENTIFIED IN '90.
- 3 MR. PERRY: THEY WERE IDENTIFIED IN THE
- 4 SRRE.
- 5 MEMBER JONES: DID THEY DO THEM IN '95
- 6 IS THE ANSWER-
- 7 MR. PERRY: WE DON'T KNOW, IT'S --
- 8 MEMBER JONES: --OR, THAT'S THE
- 9 QUESTION.
- 10 MR. PERRY: -- NOT IN THE ANNUAL
- 11 REPORT.
- 12 MEMBER JONES: ALL RIGHT. SO IF THE
- 13 PROGRAM IS A REUSE PROGRAM WITH GOODWILL,
- 14 THAT'S PRETTY EASY TO FIGURE OUT.
- MR. PERRY: CORRECT.
- 16 MEMBER JONES: AND IF IT IS A CURBSIDE
- 17 PROGRAM, THAT'S PRETTY SIMPLE.
- MR. PERRY: OH, THERE'S NO OUESTION.
- 19 WHAT WE FOCUSED ON WERE THE NUMBERS THAT WE
- 20 COULD GET HARD NUMBERS ON, ANY DIVERSION
- 21 PROGRAMS OF WHICH THERE WERE ADEQUATE OR
- 22 REASONABLE QUANTITIES AVAILABLE THAT WE COULD
- 23 LIST WITHIN THE ANNUAL REPORT.
- 24 WE'D HOPED THAT THE CITY WOULD
- 25 SUPPLEMENT THAT INFORMATION WITH THE SOFTER

- 1 PROGRAMS THAT ARE GOING ON WITHIN THE CITY,
- 2 WHETHER THEY BE A GOODWILL PROGRAM OR A THRIFT
- 3 PROGRAM, THE CITY'S RECYCLING PROGRAMS.
- 4 AND WE RELIED, IN THE INFORMATION
- 5 THAT WE PROVIDED TO THEM INITIALLY, ON THE
- 6 ACTUAL HARD DIVERSION NUMBERS THAT WE COULD GET
- 7 FROM THE WASTE HAULER, AND FROM RECYCLING
- 8 FACILITIES LOCATED IN THE AREA, AND BASED THAT,
- 9 OF COURSE, AGAINST THE DISPOSAL NUMBERS THAT
- 10 ARE GENERATED BY THE COUNTY.
- 11 MEMBER JONES: SO THAT YOU HAVE THE
- 12 DISPOSAL NUMBERS.
- MR. PERRY: OH, ABSOLUTELY.
- 14 MEMBER JONES: BECAUSE, SINCE WE'RE A
- 15 DISPOSAL-BASED SYSTEM, WE KNOW HOW MUCH WAS
- 16 BEING DISPOSED OF IN '90, AND -- WE KNOW THE
- 17 WASTE GENERATION IN '90, AND THE DISPOSAL THEN
- 18 IN '95, AND THEN WHATEVER THAT DIFFERENCE IS,
- 19 IS THE DIVERSION.
- 20 MR. PERRY: ABSOLUTELY. THERE IS NO
- 21 QUESTION ABOUT THE DIVERSION THAT THE CITY IS
- 22 ACHIEVING. THE QUESTION THE STAFF IS RAISING
- 23 IS MORE OF A LET'S SEE IF WE CAN BETTER
- 24 QUANTIFY THE DIVERSION PROGRAMS THAT ARE
- 25 ACHIEVING THAT DIVERSION GOAL. BECAUSE, IT IS

- 1 A VERY HIGH DIVERSION GOAL.
- 2 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: PAT.
- 3 MR. SCHIAVO: YEAH, JUST A COMMENT
- 4 REGARDING THE ANNUAL REPORTS. PAT SCHIAVO OF
- 5 THE OFFICE OF LOCAL ASSISTANCE.
- 6 I DON'T WANT TO CONVEY THAT THE
- 7 ONLY THING THAT IS MISSING IS INFORMATION
- 8 REGARDING PROGRAMS THAT SHOULD HAVE BEEN
- 9 LISTED.
- 10 WHAT -- YOU KNOW, AGAIN, JUST
- 11 GLANCING AT THE ANNUAL REPORT, BECAUSE IT WAS
- 12 SUBMITTED SO LATE -- IS WE DO HAVE QUESTIONS AS
- 13 TO WHETHER OR NOT THOSE PROGRAMS STILL DO
- 14 EXIST, WHETHER OR NOT THEY WERE DROPPED. THAT
- 15 HASN'T BEEN IDENTIFIED, IF THEY WERE DROPPED
- 16 AND WHY THEY WERE DROPPED, AND THOSE ARE KEY
- 17 PIECES OF INFORMATION WHEN WE DO THE BIENNIAL
- 18 REVIEW.
- 19 WE ALSO NEED TO COMBINE THE
- 20 INFORMATION REGARDING PROGRAM IMPLEMENTATION
- 21 EFFORTS WITH THE DIVERSION NUMBERS THAT HAVE
- 22 BEEN ACHIEVED, TO MAKE SURE THAT THEY'RE IN
- 23 PARALLEL, AND ARE IN SYNCH, AND MAKE SENSE.
- 24 SO, AND THERE ARE OTHER PIECES OF INFORMATION
- 25 WE NEED TO VERIFY.

- 1 SO, IT IS NOT JUST SO SIMPLE AS TO
- 2 IDENTIFY THOSE PROGRAMS, BUT THERE ARE OTHER
- 3 STEPS THAT WE HAVE TO UNDERTAKE. BUT WE
- 4 HAVEN'T BEEN ABLE TO BECAUSE OF THE SHORT TIME
- 5 FRAME.
- 6 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY.
- 7 MR. SCHIAVO: IF I MAY ADD?
- 8 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: CERTAINLY.
- 9 MR. SCHIAVO: ALONG THOSE LINES, WE
- 10 LOOK FORWARD TO WORKING WITH STAFF IN
- 11 CLARIFYING IN THE ANNUAL REPORTS ITS -- FROM
- 12 OUR PERSPECTIVE AND FROM THE CITY'S
- 13 PERSPECTIVE, IT'S AKIN TO GETTING A DROP/FAIL
- 14 IN COLLEGE. YOU CAN ALWAYS MAKE UP THE GRADE,
- 15 BUT THAT WILL ALWAYS BE ON YOUR RECORD THAT YOU
- 16 GOT THAT DROP/FAIL.
- 17 AND THE CITY WOULD LIKE TO AVOID
- 18 HAVING THAT MARK ON THEIR RECORD, AND SHOW
- 19 SUBSTANTIAL COMPLIANCE. AND, THEREFORE, THEY
- 20 ARE REQUESTING JUST A CONTINUANCE OF THIS ITEM
- 21 UNTIL WE CAN GET THESE REPORTS STRAIGHTENED
- 22 AWAY INTO A MANNER THAT THE STAFF WOULD PREFER.
- 23 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. THANK YOU.
- 24 ANY QUESTIONS? MR. RHOADS.
- 25 MEMBER RHOADS: I HATE TO SAY THE SAME

- 1 THING I SAID EARLIER THIS MORNING, BUT THE
- 2 DROP/FAIL -- YOU KNOW, SOMETIMES THE CLASS IS
- 3 OVER WITH AND YOU'VE GOT TO GET THE GRADE IN,
- 4 YOU'VE GOT TO DO THE WORK. AND IN FAIRNESS TO
- 5 ALL THOSE OTHER STUDENTS THAT DID THAT, THEY
- 6 DON'T APPRECIATE GOING BACK AND CHANGING THE
- 7 RULES.
- 8 AND I, FOR ONE, AM NOT IN FAVOR OF
- 9 POSTPONING THIS ITEM FOR ANOTHER 60 DAYS.
- 10 YOU'LL HAVE TIME TO SUBMIT THE REPORTS WITH
- 11 THIS ORDER, AND I, FOR ONE, AM SUPPORTIVE OF
- 12 THE RESOLUTION AS IT READS.
- 13 I WOULD JUST LIKE TO CALL YOUR
- 14 ATTENTION, AND AS A MESSAGE TO GET BACK TO THE
- 15 CITY, WE HAD AN EXTENSIVE CONVERSATION IN THE
- 16 MORNING ABOUT THE TARGETED IMPLEMENTATION
- 17 ASSISTANT GROUPS, AND THE STAFF IS MAKING EVERY
- 18 EFFORT IT CAN MAKE -- AND THAT'S ITEM FOUR --
- 19 TO GET OUT TO THE LOCAL COMMUNITIES, TO WORK
- 20 WITH THE COMMUNITIES, TO PROVIDE THEM AID IN
- 21 PROGRAMS, LOANS, GRANTS, AND OTHER THINGS TO
- 22 HELP THEM REACH THEIR GOALS. AND, SO I WOULD
- 23 ALSO LOOK AT THIS AS AN OPPORTUNITY TO TAKE
- 24 ADVANTAGE OF THAT WORK, AND THAT AID, AND THE
- 25 RESOURCES THAT WE AND THE STATE HAVE.

- 1 AND AS I SAID THIS MORNING, I, FOR
- 2 ONE, HAVE BEEN OUT THERE ON SEVERAL TRIPS AND
- 3 ALL THE COMMUNITIES I HAVE GONE TO, AND ALL THE
- 4 JURISDICTIONS THAT I HAVE GONE TO HAVE BEEN
- 5 VERY, VERY RECEPTIVE AND HAVE REALLY WELCOMED
- 6 THE STAFF AND THE WORK THAT THEY'VE DONE.
- 7 SO, I THINK THERE'S A RELATIONSHIP
- 8 THAT CAN BE BUILT BETWEEN THE CITY AND THE
- 9 BOARD. BUT I'M JUST AFRAID THAT RIGHT NOW, YOU
- 10 KNOW, YOU HAVEN'T PROVIDED THE INFORMATION IN A
- 11 TIMELY MANNER AND I, FOR ONE, AM SUPPORTIVE OF
- 12 THIS RESOLUTION AS IT READS RIGHT NOW.
- 13 MEMBER EATON: MR. CHAIR, I SHARE MR.
- 14 RHOADS' CONCERNS, AND IF THAT'S A MOTION I'D BE
- 15 HAPPY TO SECOND IT.
- 16 I AGREE, IT'S KIND OF LIKE A --
- 17 WHERE YOU'VE HAD A SORT OF CHECKERED PAST IN A
- 18 PAYMENT PLAN, ONE OF THE WAYS TO GET BACK ON --
- 19 AND I THINK WHAT WE OFFER HERE THROUGH THIS
- 20 KIND OF COMPLIANCE ORDER IS AN EASY PAYMENT
- 21 PLAN TO GET YOU BACK ON THE RIGHT ROAD, AND
- 22 GOING WITH -- AND IT WON'T PREJUDICE YOU AT ALL
- 23 IN THE FUTURE, WHATEVER THE CASE MAY BE.
- 24 SO, IF THAT'S A MOTION, I'D BE
- 25 HAPPY TO SECOND IT.

- 1 MEMBER RHOADS: THAT'S A MOTION. I
- 2 WOULD MOVE RESOLUTION 98-409.
- 3 MEMBER EATON: SECOND.
- 4 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY.
- 5 MEMBER JONES: MR. CHAIRMAN?
- 6 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: MR. JONES.
- 7 MEMBER JONES: WOULD MR. RHOADS BE
- 8 WILLING TO PUT IN OUR LANGUAGE ON ENFORCEMENT?
- 9 MEMBER RHOADS: I CERTAINLY WOULD.
- 10 MEMBER JONES: WONDERFUL.
- 11 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. THANK YOU.
- 12 WITH THE SUGGESTED
- 13 CHANGES TO ITEM NUMBER ONE, WHERE THEY SHALL
- 14 COMPLETE THEIR ANNUAL REPORT FOR '95 AND '96,
- 15 AND SUBMIT THEIR 1997 WITHIN THE 60 DAYS.
- 16 MEMBER FRAZEE: AND THEN THERE WAS A
- 17 CONDITION NUMBER FOUR THAT DEALT WITH --
- 18 MEMBER JONES: YEAH, COMPLETION OF THE
- 19 PROCESS.
- 20 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. IF THERE'S
- 21 ANY OTHER DISCUSSION.... MR. RHOADS MOVES, AND
- 22 MR. EATON SECONDS ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION 98-409, AS
- 23 AMENDED.
- 24 IF THERE'S NO FURTHER DISCUSSION,
- 25 WILL THE SECRETARY CALL THE ROLL?

- 1 THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER EATON?
- 2 MEMBER EATON: AYE.
- 3 THE SECRETARY: FRAZEE?
- 4 MEMBER FRAZEE: AYE.
- 5 THE SECRETARY: JONES?
- 6 MEMBER JONES: AYE.
- 7 THE SECRETARY: RHOADS?
- 8 MEMBER RHOADS: AYE.
- 9 THE SECRETARY: ROBERTI?
- 10 MEMBER ROBERTI: AYE.
- 11 THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON?
- 12 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: AYE.
- 13 MOTION CARRIES.
- 14 NOW WE WILL MOVE TO ITEM --
- 15 THE SECRETARY: WAIT A MINUTE, WE HAVE
- 16 ANOTHER--
- 17 MEMBER FRAZEE: 98-4 10 --
- 18 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OH, I'M SORRY.
- 19 MEMBER FRAZEE: -- HAZARDOUS WASTE, SAME
- 20 PEOPLE.
- 21 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. SORRY. I
- 22 SAW THAT, AND I WAS JUST CONFUSED BY IT.
- 23 OKAY, RESOLUTION -- WE NEED A
- 24 MOTION.
- 25 MEMBER JONES: MR. CHAIRMAN, I'LL MAKE

- 1 A MOTION TO MOVE RESOLUTION 98-4 10, WITH THE
- 2 SAME....
- 3 DO WE NEED ANY ADJUSTMENTS TO THIS
- 4 LANGUAGE THAT -- PATRICK, OR--
- 5 MS. FRIEDMAN: I DON'T BELIEVE WE DO,
- 6 OTHER THAN ADDING IN --
- 7 MEMBER JONES: AND THEN JUST ADD IN THE
- 8 ENFORCEMENT BULLET. OKAY.
- 9 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. MR.
- 10 RHOADS, DO YOU WANT TO SECOND THAT?
- 11 MEMBER RHOADS: I'LL BE VERY GLAD TO
- 12 SECOND IT.
- 13 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. IT'S BEEN
- 14 MOVED BY MR. JONES, AND SECONDED BY MR. RHOADS,
- 15 ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION 98-410.
- 16 IF THERE'S NO FURTHER DISCUSSION,
- 17 WILL THE SECRETARY CALL THE ROLL?
- THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER EATON?
- 19 MEMBER EATON: AYE.
- 20 THE SECRETARY: FRAZEE?
- 21 MEMBER FRAZEE: AYE.
- 22 THE SECRETARY: JONES?
- MEMBER JONES: AYE.
- 24 THE SECRETARY: RHOADS?
- 25 MEMBER RHOADS: AYE.

- 1 THE SECRETARY: ROBERTI?
- 2 MEMBER ROBERTI: AYE.
- 3 THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON?
- 4 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: AYE.
- 5 MOTION CARRIES.
- 6 WE'LL NOW MOVE TO ITEM NUMBER 14,
- 7 WHICH WE HAD SET FOR TWO O'CLOCK. THIS IS AN
- 8 UPDATE ON THE PROGRESS OF THE STATEWIDE
- 9 DISPOSAL CHARACTERIZATION STUDY CONTRACT, AND
- 10 CONSIDERATION OF POTENTIAL AMENDMENTS TO THE
- 11 SCOPE OF WORK, AND POTENTIAL MODIFICATIONS TO
- 12 AND AUGMENTATIONS OF THE CONTRACT. JUDY
- 13 FRIEDMAN.
- 14 MS. FRIEDMAN: GOOD AFTERNOON, BOARD
- 15 MEMBERS. NANCY CARR AND LORRAINE VAN KERIX
- 16 WITH THE BOARD'S WASTE ANALYSIS BRANCH WILL BE
- 17 MAKING THE PRESENTATION FOR STAFF.
- MS. CARR: GOOD AFTERNOON, MR. CHAIRMAN,
- 19 AND, BOARD MEMBERS. MY NAME IS NANCY CARR AND
- 20 I'M WITH THE WASTE ANALYSIS BRANCH, AND I'LL BE
- 21 PRESENTING AN UPDATE ON THE PROGRESS OF THE
- 22 STATEWIDE DISPOSAL CHARACTERIZATION STUDY
- 23 CONTRACT, AND CONSIDERATION OF POTENTIAL
- 24 AMENDMENTS TO THE SCOPE OF WORK, AND POTENTIAL
- 25 MODIFICATIONS TO AND AUGMENTATION OF THE

- 1 CONTRACT.
- 2 THIS PROJECT IS TO CONDUCT A
- 3 STATEWIDE CHARACTERIZATION STUDY AND IT WILL
- 4 COLLECT INFORMATION ON THE TYPES AND AMOUNTS OF
- 5 MATERIALS STILL BEING DISPOSED IN THE STATE'S
- 6 WASTE STREAM. WE'LL BE COLLECTING DISPOSAL
- 7 INFORMATION ONLY, WE WON'T BE COLLECTING
- 8 INFORMATION ON THE STATE'S DIVERTED WASTE
- 9 STREAM.
- 10 THERE'S TWO MAIN SPECIFIC PURPOSES
- 11 FOR THIS CONTRACT. ONE IS TO DETERMINE THE
- 12 AMOUNT OF RIGID PLASTIC PACKAGING CONTAINERS
- 13 DISPOSED STATEWIDE, AND THAT INFORMATION IS
- 14 NEEDED TO CALCULATE THE RECYCLING RATE FOR
- 15 RPPCS AS REQUIRED BY LAW. THE OTHER MAIN
- 16 PURPOSE IS TO ADD DATA TO THE BOARD'S WASTE
- 17 CHARACTERIZATION DATABASE AND WEB PAGE. THIS
- 18 PART OF OUR WEB PAGE RIGHT NOW IS ABOUT THE
- 19 THIRD MOST POPULAR PART.
- 20 THIS STUDY WILL BE THE FIRST
- 21 STATEWIDE STUDY DONE BY THE BOARD, AND THE
- 22 FIRST STATEWIDE UPDATE SINCE 1990.
- 23 THE PREVIOUS STATEWIDE DATA THAT WE
- 24 HAVE IS FROM THE SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING
- 25 ELEMENTS PUT TOGETHER BY LOCAL GOVERNMENTS IN

- 1 1990. AND THE WAY WE GOT THE STATEWIDE DATA
- 2 FROM THAT WAS TO COMPILE THAT DATA. IT WAS
- 3 COLLECTED USING DIFFERENT METHODS, AND EVEN
- 4 DIFFERENT MATERIAL TYPE DEFINITIONS, SO THIS
- 5 PROJECT IS OUR CHANCE TO UPDATE THE STATEWIDE
- 6 CHARACTERIZATION, AND USE A UNIFORM METHOD AND
- 7 UNIFORM MATERIAL TYPES AND GET SOME REALLY
- 8 GOOD, RELIABLE DATA. WE'LL BE USING THE
- 9 BOARD'S NEW STANDARD METHOD AND DEFINITIONS.
- 10 DATA FROM THE STUDY WILL BE USEFUL
- 11 TO THE BOARD FOR STATEWIDE PLANNING AND POLICY
- 12 DECISIONS, AND ALSO BE USEFUL FOR LOCAL
- 13 GOVERNMENTS. THEY CAN USE THE DATA FOR THEIR
- 14 LOCAL PLANNING AND THEY WON'T HAVE TO GO OUT
- 15 AND SPEND THE MONEY TO CONDUCT THEIR OWN LOCAL
- 16 STUDIES.
- 17 THE DATABASE THAT WE HAVE ON OUR
- 18 WEB PAGE RIGHT NOW IS THE ONLY ONE OF ITS KIND,
- 19 AND IT HAS BEEN USED BY SOLID WASTE PLANNERS IN
- 20 OTHER STATES, AS WELL AS IN OTHER COUNTRIES.
- 21 THE DATABASE SUPPORTS BOARD PROGRAMS FOR
- 22 WORKING BOTH WITH LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND
- 23 BUSINESSES. I KNOW OUR STAFF IN THE OFFICE OF
- 24 LOCAL ASSISTANCE AND ALSO IN THE WASTE
- 25 PREVENTION MARKET DEVELOPMENT USE THE DATABASE

- 1 FOR WORKING WITH LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND FOR
- 2 BUSINESS ASSISTANCE.
- 3 TO TELL YOU A LITTLE BIT ABOUT THE
- 4 DATABASE, HERE'S ONE OF THE DATA SCREENS. THIS
- 5 SHOWS THE BUSINESS GROUPS FOR THE CITY OF
- 6 SACRAMENTO, AND WHICH GROUPS DISPOSED THE MOST
- 7 WASTE IN THEIR COMMERCIAL SECTOR. THIS KIND OF
- 8 INFORMATION THAT THE DATABASE PROVIDES CAN HELP
- 9 LOCAL GOVERNMENTS IDENTIFY THE BUSINESS GROUPS
- 10 THAT ARE THE BIGGEST DISPOSERS THAT MIGHT BE
- 11 GOOD TARGETS FOR RECYCLING PROGRAMS.
- 12 AND ONCE YOU'VE IDENTIFIED A
- 13 BUSINESS GROUP YOU MIGHT BE INTERESTED IN
- 14 WORKING WITH THE DATABASE CAN ALSO PROVIDE
- 15 WASTE COMPOSITION DATA TO HELP IDENTIFY THE
- 16 MAIN MATERIAL TYPES THAT THE BUSINESS GROUP
- 17 DISPOSES TO TARGET MATERIALS FOR RECYCLING
- 18 PROGRAMS.
- 19 THERE'S A COUPLE OTHER THINGS THE
- 20 DATABASE CAN DO BESIDES THIS ALSO.
- 21 SOME OF THE DATA WE'LL BE GETTING
- 22 FROM THE STUDY, THE AMOUNT OF RPPCS DISPOSED
- 23 STATEWIDE. AGAIN, TO BE USED TO CALCULATE THE
- 24 RECYCLING RATE FOR RPPCS. WE'LL ALSO GET WASTE
- 25 COMPOSITION DATA FOR THE OVERALL RESIDENTIAL

- 1 SECTOR, AS WELL AS FOR THE SINGLE-FAMILY
- 2 RESIDENTIAL SECTOR AND THE MULTI-FAMILY SECTOR
- 3 INDIVIDUALLY. AND WE HAVEN'T HAD THAT TYPE OF
- 4 DATA STATEWIDE BEFORE.
- 5 WE'LL HAVE AN OVERALL COMPOSITION
- 6 FOR THE COMMERCIAL SECTOR, AS WELL AS
- 7 COMPOSITIONS FOR THE INDIVIDUAL BUSINESS GROUPS
- 8 IN THE COMMERCIAL SECTOR. AND WE'LL ALSO HAVE
- 9 DATA FOR THE SELF-HAUL SECTOR.
- 10 WE'LL ALSO BE ABLE TO DETERMINE THE
- 11 PERCENT OF THE STATE'S WASTE STREAM FROM EACH
- 12 OF THE MAIN SECTORS, THE PERCENT OF RESIDENTIAL
- 13 WASTE FOR MULTI-FAMILY AND SINGLE-FAMILY
- 14 SOURCES. AND FOR THE SELF-HAUL WASTE STREAM
- 15 WE'LL HAVE MORE DETAIL THAN WE'VE HAD BEFORE BY
- 16 BEING ABLE TO DETERMINE THE PERCENT FROM THE
- 17 RESIDENTIAL, FROM CONSTRUCTION AND DEMOLITION,
- 18 ROOFING, LANDSCAPER, AND FROM GENERAL
- 19 COMMERCIAL INDUSTRIAL SOURCES. AND WE'LL ALSO
- 20 HAVE THE PERCENT OF COMMERCIAL WASTE FROM EACH
- 21 OF THE BUSINESS GROUPS IDENTIFIED FOR THE
- 22 STUDY.
- 23 THE CONTRACT PROCESS. BRIEFLY, WE
- 24 SENT OUT A REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL IN JULY OF THIS
- 25 YEAR. WE RECEIVED ONE PROPOSAL, AND THAT

- 1 PROPOSAL MET ALL THE MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS. AND
- 2 THE BOARD AWARDED THE CONTRACT AT THE SEPTEMBER
- 3 BOARD MEETING TO CASCADIA CONSULTING GROUP, IN
- 4 ASSOCIATION WITH SEVERAL OTHER FIRMS, FOR THE
- 5 AMOUNT OF \$490,000. AND WE EXPECT THE PROJECT
- 6 TO BE COMPLETED IN NOVEMBER OF 1999.
- 7 THE SCOPE OF WORK IS FIVE MAIN
- 8 TASKS. ONE IS TO DESIGN THE STUDY, ANOTHER IS
- 9 TO SELECT THE SAMPLING SITES, THEN TO CONDUCT
- 10 THE FIELD SORTING, DO DATA ENTRY AND ANALYSIS,
- 11 AND TO PREPARE A COMPREHENSIVE REPORT.
- 12 AND A LITTLE BIT ON THE STUDY
- 13 DESIGN. THIS IS THE STAGE THAT WE'RE AT RIGHT
- 14 NOW. THE CONTRACTOR DEVELOPED A DRAFT STUDY
- 15 DESIGN, AND ONE OF THE PARTS OF THIS CONTRACT
- 16 WAS TO MEET WITH AN ADVISORY GROUP OF
- 17 INTERESTED PARTIES TO GIVE THEM A CHANCE TO
- 18 HAVE INPUT ON OUR STUDY DESIGN.
- 19 THE ADVISORY GROUP INCLUDED
- 20 RPPC-INTERESTED PARTIES SINCE THEY'RE GOING TO BE
- 21 ONE OF THE MAIN GROUPS AFFECTED BY THE DATA, AS
- 22 WELL AS SOME OTHER PARTIES LIKE LOCAL
- 23 GOVERNMENT AND THE ENVIRONMENTAL COMMUNITY.
- 24 WE HAD THAT MEETING ON DECEMBER 1ST AND WENT
- 25 THROUGH THE DESIGN WITH THE ADVISORY GROUP, AND

- 1 THEY APPROVED THE DESIGN AS PRESENTED BY
- 2 CASCADIA.
- 3 A LITTLE BIT ON THE DATA THAT WE'RE
- 4 GOING TO BE COLLECTING. ON THE LEFT-HAND SIDE
- 5 ARE THE MAIN SOURCES OF WASTE WE'RE GOING TO BE
- 6 CHARACTERIZING: THE SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL,
- 7 MULTI-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL, AND THEN COMMERCIAL,
- 8 INDUSTRIAL AND INSTITUTIONAL SOURCES. AND THEN
- 9 ON THE RIGHT ARE THE DISPOSAL FACILITIES WHERE
- 10 THE WASTE CAN END UP, TRANSFER STATIONS,
- 11 LANDFILLS, INCINERATORS, OR C&D LANDFILLS.
- 12 AND THE WAY THE WASTE GETS FROM THE
- 13 GENERATORS TO THE DISPOSAL FACILITY, IT CAN GO
- 14 BY SELF-HAUL OR BY COMMERCIAL PACKER TRUCK.
- 15 SO, WE'LL BE SAMPLING SINGLE-FAMILY
- 16 AT THE LANDFILL. MULTI-FAMILY WE'LL BE
- 17 SAMPLING AT THE SOURCE, OR AT -- THAT'S CALLED
- 18 GENERATOR SAMPLING. AND THAT'S BECAUSE A LOT
- 19 OF TIMES MULTI-FAMILY WASTE IS COLLECTED WITH
- 20 COMMERCIAL WASTE, SO TO GET A PURE SAMPLE WE'LL
- 21 BE COLLECTING IT AT THE SOURCE.
- 22 FOR THE COMMERCIAL, AND INDUSTRIAL,
- 23 AND INSTITUTIONAL WE'LL BE SAMPLING AT THE
- 24 SOURCE BECAUSE THAT ALLOWS US TO DEVELOP AN
- 25 INDIVIDUAL WASTE COMPOSITION FOR THE DIFFERENT

- 1 TYPES OF BUSINESS GROUPS.
- 2 AND THE SUMMARY OF THE EXISTING
- 3 SAMPLING PLAN. WE'RE GOING TO DIVIDE THE STATE
- 4 INTO FIVE REGIONS SO WE CAN COVER THE WHOLE
- 5 STATE, AND WE'LL BE SAMPLING AT FIVE SITES PER
- 6 REGION. AND THE REGIONS WERE DEVELOPED BASED
- 7 ON GEOGRAPHIC AND DEMOGRAPHIC GROUPINGS. WE'LL
- 8 BE SAMPLING IN THE WINTER SEASON AND SUMMER
- 9 SEASON OF 1999.
- 10 WE'LL BE ANALYZING 840 COMMERCIAL
- 11 GENERATOR SAMPLES, AND THAT WILL PROVIDE
- 12 DETAILED COMPOSITION DATA FOR 26 BUSINESS
- 13 GROUPS. WE'LL BE DOING 150 SINGLE-FAMILY
- 14 DISPOSAL SITE SAMPLES AND 80 MULTI-FAMILY
- 15 GENERATOR SAMPLES, AND 250 SELF-HAUL DISPOSAL
- 16 SITE SAMPLES. FOR THE GENERATOR SAMPLING, THE
- 17 840 MEETS THE MINIMUM STATISTICAL REQUIREMENT
- 18 FOR THE BOARD'S UNIFORM WASTE CHARACTERIZATION
- 19 METHOD.
- 20 WHAT WE'RE HERE FOR TODAY IS TO ASK
- 21 YOU FOR AN AUGMENTATION TO THE CONTRACT THAT
- 22 WOULD ALLOW US TO INCREASE THE NUMBER OF
- 23 GENERATOR SAMPLES AND INCREASE THE RELIABILITY
- 24 OF THE DATA. IT WOULD ALLOW US TO GO BEYOND
- 25 THE MINIMUM STATISTICAL REQUIREMENTS FOR THE

- 1 STUDY.
- 2 THIS WILL BE THE FIRST SET OF
- 3 COMPREHENSIVE GENERATOR DATA GATHERED IN
- 4 CALIFORNIA, OR ANY OTHER STATE, SO THE MAXIMUM
- 5 RELIABILITY WE CAN GET FOR THE STATE IS VERY
- 6 DESIRABLE. THE DATA CAN PROVIDE A BASIS FOR
- 7 PUBLIC AND PRIVATE SECTOR SOLID WASTE PLANNING
- 8 DECISIONS FOR THE NEXT SEVERAL YEARS, SO THE
- 9 BEST DATA WE CAN GET RIGHT NOW WOULD JUST BE
- 10 MORE ADVANTAGEOUS IN THE LONG RUN.
- 11 SO THE STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION IS TO
- 12 AUGMENT THE STATEWIDE DISPOSAL CHARACTERIZATION
- 13 CONTRACT FROM RMDZ FUNDS FOR \$146,700 IN ORDER
- 14 TO INCREASE THE GENERATOR SAMPLING.
- 15 THAT CONCLUDES MY PRESENTATION.
- 16 ARE THERE ANY QUESTIONS?
- 17 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: QUESTIONS? MR.
- 18 RHOADS.
- 19 MEMBER RHOADS: I'M VERY SUPPORTIVE OF
- 20 THIS. I ONLY HAVE ONE LITTLE PROBLEM, BECAUSE
- 21 I'M GETTING OLD AND MY EYESIGHT'S NOT AS GOOD
- 22 AS IT USED TO BE. THE GENTLEMEN TO THE RIGHT
- 23 AND LEFT OF ME DO NOT HAVE THIS PROBLEM, BUT
- 24 SOME OF THOSE SLIDES I JUST COULDN'T SEE.
- 25 MS. CARR: THEY ARE A LITTLE SMALL, I

- 1 KNOW.
- 2 MEMBER RHOADS: SO, IF YOU DO EVER HAVE
- 3 TIME IN FUTURE PRESENTATIONS TO MAKE US A COPY,
- 4 THE PEOPLE AT THIS END OF THE TABLE I THINK
- 5 WOULD PROBABLY APPRECIATE IT.
- 6 BUT I THINK THIS IS A VERY, VERY
- 7 IMPORTANT STUDY. AND I'LL BE VERY, VERY GLAD
- 8 TO MOVE THIS.
- 9 MEMBER EATON: I JUST HAVE A COMMENT,
- 10 THAT I TOO SHARE THAT IT'S VERY SUPPORTIVE, AND
- 11 REALLY HELPED PUSH SOME OF THE ADDITIONAL
- 12 MONIES BECAUSE I THINK IT IS THE CRUX OF WHAT
- 13 WE HAVE.
- 14 WHAT I WOULD JUST LIKE TO KIND OF
- 15 FIND OUT IS WHERE OUR FUNDING MECHANISM COMES
- 16 FROM, AND AN EXPLANATION OF WHERE THESE MONIES
- 17 AND WHICH FUND IT'S COMING FROM. AND WHAT ARE
- 18 OUR ALTERNATIVES, IF ANY, TO HOW WE CAN FUND
- 19 THIS ITEM.
- 20 MY UNDERSTANDING, IT COMES FROM THE
- 21 RMDZ REVOLVING FUND, WHICH WAS THE \$4 MILLION
- 22 THAT SENATOR SHEARER, ALONG WITH SENATOR KOPP
- 23 AND SENATOR HAYDEN, TOOK THE LEAD AND PROVIDED
- 24 WITH US WITH REGARD TO 1066 IMPLEMENTATION.
- 25 AND I SEE A SLOWLY STEADY ERODING OF THAT \$4

- 1 MILLION. WE HELD IT AT ABOUT 2.5.
- 2 THEN WE DID SOME GRASS CYCLING (SIC). WE BROUGHT IT
- 3 UP A FEW MORE DOLLARS, ET CETERA. WHAT ARE OUR
- 4 OPTIONS? AND, ALSO,
- 5 WHAT'S LEFT IN THAT FUND SO THAT, AT LEAST FOR
- 6 THIS BOARD, WE CAN MAKE SOME DETERMINATIONS IN
- 7 THE FUTURE? IT'S NOT MEANT TO BE THAT THE
- 8 PROJECT WILL STOP, I JUST THINK WE NEED TO HAVE
- 9 THAT LITTLE OF ACCOUNTING. AND WHAT ARE OUR
- 10 OPTIONS?
- 11 AND ARE THERE ANY RESTRICTIONS, AS
- 12 WE GET INTO THE FUTURE, THAT WE MAY HAVE TO GO
- 13 BACK TO THE LEGISLATURE FOR TO FREE UP, IN
- 14 TERMS OF CAPS OR SOMETHING ELSE, AS WE RUN INTO
- 15 THIS QUESTION OF MONEY IN THE FUTURE?
- MS. FISH: YES. KAREN FISH, DIRECTOR
- 17 OF THE DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATION.
- 18 IF YOU'LL REMEMBER, WE GOT THE
- 19 ORIGINAL 500,000 FOR THIS STUDY THROUGH A
- 20 FINANCE LETTER THAT RAISED OUR APPROPRIATION
- 21 AUTHORITY ONE TIME.
- 22 WE'VE PRETTY MUCH FULLY ALLOCATED
- 23 THE MONEY THAT WE HAVE IN BOTH THE IWMA AND
- 24 THE ONLY AVAILABLE ALLOCATION AT THIS POINT
- 25 WOULD -- AT THIS MAGNITUDE WOULD COME FROM

- 1 RMDZ.
- 2 NOW, IT'S UNFORTUNATE THAT -- WE
- 3 KNOW THAT THE REVENUES IN THE IWMA ARE VERY
- 4 HIGH, BUT WE HAVE THE APPROPRIATION AUTHORITY
- 5 THAT WE'RE BUMPING UP TO. AND SO UNLESS WE
- 6 WERE TO DO SOME KIND OF A DEFICIENCY
- 7 APPROPRIATION, SECTION 27, OR -- AND WE'RE KIND
- 8 OF PAST THE BCP PROCESS, SO --
- 9 MEMBER EATON: RIGHT.
- 10 MS. FISH: -- WE DON'T HAVE A LOT OF
- 11 OPTIONS HERE.
- 12 MEMBER EATON: OKAY. SO OUR OPTIONS,
- 13 AT LEAST IN THE FUTURE, WOULD BE -- IS TO LOOK
- 14 AT THE IWMA -- SOME INCREASED AUTHORITY IN THAT
- 15 LEVEL? NOT JUST NECESSARILY FOR THIS, I THINK
- 16 THIS IS A GOOD PROGRAM, BUT JUST WHERE WE NEED
- 17 TO GO AND SO WE COULD KIND OF WATCH OUR
- 18 ACCOUNTS, AND HOW WE CAN WORK ON THAT FOR THIS
- 19 YEAR'S --
- 20 MS. FISH: YES. THE-
- 21 MEMBER EATON: -- EITHER THE
- 22 LEGISLATIVE/REGULATORY, YOU KNOW, KIND OF --
- MS. FISH: RIGHT.
- 24 MEMBER EATON: -- DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE
- 25 KIND OF DISCUSSIONS --

- 1 MS. FISH: WELL, AND I THINK IT'S
- 2 ALWAYS BEEN A CHALLENGE TO TRY TO BALANCE THE
- 3 APPROPRIATION AUTHORITY AND THE REVENUES --
- 4 MEMBER EATON: RIGHT.
- 5 MS. FISH: -- AND TO -- YOU KNOW,
- 6 BECAUSE WE'RE IN LIKE ALMOST A TWO-YEAR CYCLE,
- 7 THAT IN ORDER TO TAKE ADVANTAGE OF INCREASING
- 8 REVENUES YOU END UP KIND OF -- IT TAKES A
- 9 WHILE.
- 10 MEMBER EATON: OKAY. THAT'S FAIR. THANK
- 11 YOU.
- 12 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR CHANDLER: BOARD MEMBER.
- 13 EATON, LET
- 14 ME JUST ADD THAT -- BECAUSE I DON'T WANT TO
- 15 HAVE KAREN'S REMARKS BE MISLED IN ANY
- 16 WAY. THERE ARE NO -- UNDOUBTEDLY WILL BE
- 17 SAVINGS AS WE GET TO THE END OF THE YEAR IN THE
- 18 CONTRACT AND PROFESSIONAL SERVICES LINE ITEM
- 19 FROM THE IWMA.
- 20 I THINK WHAT KAREN'S TRYING TO
- 21 POINT OUT IS THAT RIGHT NOW WE'VE EARMARKED, OR
- 22 ENCUMBERED, THE DISCRETIONARY DOLLARS FOR
- 23 VARIOUS CONTRACT INITIATIVES, OF WHICH, IF THEY
- 24 ALL COME IN AT FULL BUDGET, WE WILL BE RIGHT UP
- 25 AGAINST OUR CEILING.

- 1 MEMBER EATON: RIGHT.
- 2 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR CHANDLER: BUT I THINK
- 3 WHEN WE GET
- 4 INTO THAT TYPICAL APRIL/MAY/JUNE TIME FRAME, WE
- 5 TYPICALLY SEE STAFF COMING BACK WITH A \$30,000
- 6 PROPOSAL, OR 40,000, SHOULD THE BOARD WANT TO
- 7 MOVE IN A DIRECTION DUE TO SOME YEAR-END
- 8 SAVINGS.
- 9 WE JUST HAVEN'T IDENTIFIED RIGHT
- 10 NOW ANYTHING OF THIS SIGNIFICANT DOLLAR AMOUNT
- 11 LEVEL THAT COULD AUGMENT THIS EFFORT,
- 12 WHICH WE DO THINK IS, AS YOU SAID, IS KIND OF
- 13 THE BREAD AND BUTTER OF WHAT WE'RE TRYING TO DO
- 14 IN THIS PROGRAM.
- 15 MEMBER EATON: RIGHT. AND I THINK I
- 16 JUST WANT US TO BE A LITTLE CAUTIOUS AS WE GO
- 17 DOWN -- SO THAT WHEN WE GO FOR OUR BUDGET
- 18 HEARINGS -- AS YOU WELL KNOW YOURSELF, AND MR.
- 19 PENNINGTON AND MYSELF LAST YEAR, I THINK WE'LL
- 20 SORT OF, YOU KNOW, RESPOND AND ANSWER TO IT,
- 21 AND I THINK THAT'S A FAIR ASSESSMENT. AND NOW
- 22 WE HAVE AN EXPLANATION, APPRECIATE IT.
- 23 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: MR. JONES.
- 24 MEMBER JONES: MR. CHAIRMAN, I THINK I
- 25 AGREE WITH MY COLLEAGUES UP HERE, I THINK WE

- 1 HAVE TO BE CAREFUL.
- 2 BUT I THINK THAT THIS GOES-- THAT
- 3 RMDZ FUNDING FOR WASTE CHARACTERIZATION THAT'S
- 4 GOING TO TELL US WHAT SEGMENTS OF THE WASTE
- 5 STREAM ARE OUT HERE, AND THAT ARE BEING THROWN
- 6 AWAY, ARE GOING TO GIVE US A GOOD OPPORTUNITY
- 7 TO FOCUS OUR EFFORTS ON WHERE OUR PROGRAMS CAN
- 8 BE TAILORED TO MAKE SURE WE KEEP THAT STUFF OUT
- 9 OF THE WASTE STREAM AND HELP DEVELOP MARKETS.
- 10 50 I THINK IT'S A GOOD USE OF THE FUNDS.
- 11 I HAVE AN ISSUE WITH--WHEN THIS
- 12 THING FIRST CAME FORWARD I WAS AFRAID, BECAUSE
- 13 OF THE NATURE OF THE PLAYERS THAT ARE OUT
- 14 THERE, THAT MAYBE WE'D ONLY GET ONE BID, OR
- 15 MAYBE WE'D ONLY GET TWO BIDS. AND, IN FACT, WE
- 16 GOT ONE BID THAT WAS A COMBINATION OF THE MAJOR
- 17 PLAYERS, AND I GUESS THEY'VE GOTTEN ALL THAT
- 18 WORKED OUT BECAUSE ONE OF THEM DROPPED OUT.
- 19 AND I JUST WANTED TO MAKE SURE
- 20 WE'RE GETTING A BOX AS FULL AS WE HAD AT FIRST
- 21 ANTICIPATED THAT WE WERE GOING TO GET, WITHOUT
- 22 PUTTING MORE WORK ON OUR STAFF TO TAKE UP ANY
- 23 SHORTFALLS THAT THE WINNING VENDOR WON. AND
- 24 I'VE BEEN ASSURED THAT THAT'S NOT GOING TO BE A
- 25 PROBLEM.

- 1 BUT I THINK THAT BY AUGMENTING THIS
- 2 THING, WHEN YOU HAVE YOUR WORK GROUP AND YOU
- 3 TALK ABOUT -- ESPECIALLY UNDER THIS SELF-HAUL
- 4 WASTE STREAM THAT'S GOING INTO RURAL TRANSFER
- 5 STATIONS AND THINGS LIKE THAT -- TRAILER PARKS
- 6 IN ALL OF NORTHERN CALIFORNIA, AT LEAST, ARE
- 7 NORMALLY HAULED BY SELF-HAULERS. AND YOU WOULD
- 8 BE SHOCKED TO KNOW THE AMOUNT OF MATERIAL,
- 9 BECAUSE THERE ARE NO PROGRAMS AVAILABLE TO
- 10 THOSE PEOPLE.
- 11 SO DON'T--YOU KNOW, MAKE SURE
- 12 THAT YOU'RE AWARE OF THOSE TYPES OF THINGS SO
- 13 THAT WE CAN GET THE REAL NUMBERS. A RANDOM
- 14 SAMPLING IS JUST THAT. BUT A RANDOM SAMPLING
- 15 IN LOS ALTOS HILLS IS VERY DIFFERENT THAN A
- 16 RANDOM SAMPLING IN FOREST HILL. SO -- YOU
- 17 KNOW.
- 18 AND WE NEED THAT KIND OF DIVERSITY
- 19 BECAUSE, AS I HAVE ALWAYS PREACHED, WHEN THERE
- 20 IS NO BOOK ON HOW TO DO THIS. YOU KNOW?
- 21 PUTTING TOGETHER A CURBSIDE PROGRAM, PUTTING
- 22 TOGETHER ANY KIND OF PROGRAM IS VERY, VERY
- 23 DRIVEN BY THE NEIGHBORHOOD, WHERE IT'S AT,
- 24 WHERE IT'S LOCATED, AND WHAT THE ECONOMIC
- 25 CONDITIONS ARE THAT EXIST.

- 1 SO I THINK THAT THAT REPRESENTATION
- 2 NEEDS TO BE FULLY THOUGHT OUT, AND I HAVE EVERY
- 3 CONFIDENCE THAT YOU GUYS WILL DO THAT. BUT BE
- 4 AWARE, IT'S KIND OF SCARY, SOME OF THE STUFF
- 5 THAT GOES INTO RURAL BINS AND RURAL LANDFILL.
- 6 AND I THINK WE'LL -- THEY'RE BIG BUYERS OF THAT
- 7 MATERIAL, SO.
- 8 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: YES, SENATOR?
- 9 MEMBER ROBERTI: THIS IS THE
- 10 KIND OF RECOMMENDATION I'LL GENERALLY DEFER TO
- 11 STAFF ON. HOWEVER, I DO HAVE A QUESTION.
- 12 OBVIOUSLY, SOMEBODY MADE A DECISION
- 13 AT SOME POINT THAT THE 840 --WHAT'S THE EXACT
- 14 -- SAMPLES WAS ADEQUATE.
- 15 MS. FISH: EIGHT HUNDRED AND FORTY
- 16 SAMPLES WAS -- WE HAD A METHOD DEVELOPED FOR
- 17 UNIFORM WASTE CHARACTERIZATION, AND WHEN WE --
- 18 THAT WAS DEVELOPED TO HELP EACH JURISDICTION
- 19 CHARACTERIZE THEIR WASTE, IT WASN'T ORIGINALLY
- 20 DEVELOPED AS A METHOD FOR THE STATE TO DO A
- 21 STATEWIDE CHARACTERIZATION.
- 22 WHEN WE ADD UP THE MINIMUM NUMBERS
- 23 THAT WERE GENERATED THROUGH A STATISTICAL
- 24 ANALYSIS ON THAT METHOD WE COME UP WITH 840.
- 25 BUT THIS WILL BE THE FIRST TIME IT'S EVER BEEN

- 1 APPLIED ON A STATEWIDE BASIS, SO WE'D LIKE TO
- 2 HAVE MORE OF A CUSHION SO THAT THE DATA'S MORE
- 3 RELIABLE FOR THE DECISION-MAKERS. BUT IT WAS
- 4 ROLLING IT UP FROM THE INDIVIDUAL JURISDICTION
- 5 LEVEL.
- 6 MS. VAN KERIX: LORRAINE
- 7 VANKEKERICKS WITH THE WASTE ANALYSIS BRANCH.
- 8 I'D ALSO LIKE TO SAY THAT WE WILL
- 9 BE WORKING VERY CLOSELY WITH THE CONTRACTOR ON
- 10 THIS CONTRACT, WE'RE LOOKING AT MAXIMIZING THE
- 11 AMOUNT OF MONEY THAT'S SPENT ON THE SAMPLING.
- 12 AND WE FULLY INTEND TO TAKE ADVANTAGE OF YOUR
- 13 KNOWLEDGE OF THE WASTE HAULERS AND TALK WITH
- 14 YOU ABOUT MAKING SURE THAT WE DO REFLECT THAT
- 15 VARIATION IN OUR STUDY DESIGN.
- 16 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: VERY GOOD.
- 17 MR. RHOADS, DID YOU WANT TO MOVE
- 18 THIS ITEM?
- 19 MEMBER RHOADS: YES. I'LL MOVE
- 20 RESOLUTION 98-4 15.
- 21 MEMBER EATON: I'LL SECOND THAT MOTION.
- 22 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: IT'S BEEN MOVED
- 23 BY MR.. RHOADS, AND SECONDED BY MR. EATON, THE
- 24 ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION NUMBER 98-4 15.
- 25 IF THERE'S NO FURTHER DISCUSSION,

- 1 WILL THE SECRETARY CALL THE ROLL?
- THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER EATON?
- 3 MEMBER EATON: AYE.
- 4 THE SECRETARY: FRAZEE?
- 5 MEMBER FRAZEE: AYE.
- 6 THE SECRETARY: JONES?
- 7 MEMBER JONES: AYE.
- 8 THE SECRETARY: RHOADS?
- 9 MEMBER RHOADS: AYE.
- 10 THE SECRETARY: ROBERTI?
- 11 MEMBER ROBERTI: AYE.
- 12 THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON?
- 13 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: AYE.
- 14 MOTION CARRIES.
- 15 WE'LL MOVE BACK TO ITEM NUMBER
- 16 SEVEN, CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION ON
- 17 THE ADEQUACY OF THE PREVIOUSLY CONDITIONALLY
- 18 APPROVED SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT
- 19 FOR THE SIERRA COUNTY REGIONAL AGENCY. JUDY
- 20 FRIEDMAN.
- 21 BOY, IT'S YOUR DAY TODAY, ISN'T IT?
- MS. FRIEDMAN: YES, IT SEEMS TO BE.
- 23 GOOD AFTERNOON AGAIN BOARD MEMBERS. ERIC
- 24 BISSINGER WILL BE MAKING THE PRESENTATION FOR
- 25 STAFF.

- 1 MR. BISSINGER: GOOD AFTERNOON BOARD
- 2 MEMBER, CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON.
- 3 ON JUNE 24TH, 1998, THE BOARD VOTED
- 4 TO CONDITIONALLY APPROVE THE FINAL SOURCE
- 5 REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT, OR SRRE, FOR
- 6 THE SIERRA REGIONAL AGENCY. THE CONDITIONAL
- 7 APPROVAL WAS BASED ON THE AGENCY'S SRRE WHICH
- 8 PROJECTED TO ACHIEVE 26 PERCENT BY THE YEAR
- 9 2000.
- 10 WHEN THE SRRE WAS SUBMITTED FOR
- 11 APPROVAL IN 1998 STAFF WAS CALCULATING
- 12 DIVERSION RATES AS HIGH AS 35 PERCENT FOR 1997.
- 13 THEREFORE, STAFF CONCLUDED THAT THE AGENCY'S
- 14 2000 GOAL PROJECTION WAS UNDERESTIMATED.
- 15 AND THE BOARD'S CONDITIONS WERE TO
- 16 RESUBMIT THE SRRE WITH CORRECTED YEAR 2000 GOAL
- 17 PROJECTIONS. THE BOARD ALSO DIRECTED THE
- 18 AGENCY TO SUBMIT AN ANNUAL REPORT REFLECTING
- 19 PROGRAM PROGRESS, AND TO NOT RESUBMIT A
- 20 PETITION FOR REDUCED DIVERSION GOALS UNTIL THE
- 21 BOARD HAS RECEIVED THESE DOCUMENTS BY AUGUST
- 22 1ST, 1998.
- 23 THE AGENCY HAS SUBMITTED ADDITIONAL
- 24 INFORMATION REGARDING ITS DIVERSION PROGRAMS
- 25 AND PROJECTED DIVERSION RATES, AND HAS

- 1 REQUESTED THAT THE BOARD RECONSIDER ITS SRRE.
- 2 ALSO, THE AGENCY HAS SUBMITTED THEIR ANNUAL
- 3 REPORTS AND WITHDREW THEIR REQUEST FOR A
- 4 REDUCTION IN THE 50 PERCENT DIVERSION GOAL.
- 5 BECAUSE THERE ARE NO OTHER
- 6 OUTSTANDING IS SUES WITH THE SRRE, BOARD STAFF
- 7 RECOMMEND THAT THE BOARD MOVE THE SRRE TO
- 8 APPROVAL.
- 9 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: QUESTIONS? IF
- 10 THERE ARE NO QUESTIONS I'LL ENTERTAIN A MOTION.
- 11 MEMBER FRAZEE: MR. CHAIRMAN, I'LL MOVE
- 12 ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION 98-37 1.
- 13 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: VERY GOOD.
- 14 MEMBER JONES: I'LL SECOND.
- 15 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. IT'S BEEN
- 16 MOVED BY MR. FRAZEE, SECONDED BY MR. JONES,
- 17 ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION 98-37 1.
- 18 IF THERE'S NO FURTHER DISCUSSION,
- 19 WILL THE SECRETARY CALL THE ROLL, PLEASE?
- THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER EATON?
- 21 MEMBER EATON: AYE.
- 22 THE SECRETARY: FRAZEE?
- 23 MEMBER FRAZEE: AYE.
- 24 THE SECRETARY: JONES?
- 25 MEMBER JONES: AYE.

- 1 THE SECRETARY: RHOADS?
- 2 MEMBER RHOADS: AYE.
- 3 THE SECRETARY: ROBERTI?
- 4 MEMBER ROBERTI: AYE.
- 5 THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON?
- 6 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: AYE.
- 7 MOTION CARRIES.
- 8 WELL MOVE TO ITEM NUMBER EIGHT,
- 9 CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS TO
- 10 CORRECT THE BASE-YEAR DISPOSAL TONNAGE FOR THE
- 11 PREVIOUSLY APPROVED SOURCE REDUCTION AND
- 12 RECYCLING ELEMENTS FOR THE CITIES OF CLOVIS,
- 13 FOWLER, ORANGE COVE, PARLIER, REEDLEY, SANGER,
- 14 SELMA AND FRESNO COUNTY.
- MS. FRIEDMAN: GOOD AFTERNOON, AGAIN.
- 16 I GUESS IT'S MY SHOW STILL.
- 17 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: IT'S YOUR SHOW.
- 18 MS. FRIEDMAN: I'LL GIVE STAFF ONE
- 19 MINUTE TO GET UP THE POWERPOINT PRESENTATION.
- 20 DIANE SHIMIZU WILL BE MAKING THE PRESENTATION
- 21 FOR STAFF.
- 22 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: AND THIS, YOU'LL
- 23 REMEMBER, IS ONE WE HAD TO PULL FRESNO OUT OF.
- 24 CORRECT? BECAUSE IT WASN'T NOTICED PROPERLY?
- MS. FRIEDMAN: I BELIEVE THAT'S

- 1 CORRECT.
- 2 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: YEAH, GOOD.
- 3 MS. SHIMIZU: GOOD AFTERNOON CHAIRMAN
- 4 PENNINGTON AND BOARD MEMBERS.
- 5 ITEM NUMBER EIGHT IS A REQUEST TO
- 6 CORRECT THE BASE-YEAR GENERATION TONNAGE FOR
- 7 THE CITIES OF CLOVIS, FOWLER, ORANGE COVE,
- 8 PARLIER, REEDLEY, SANGER, AND SELMA IN FRESNO
- 9 COUNTY.
- 10 AS MENTIONED, FRESNO IS NOT IN THE
- 11 PUBLICIZED AGENDA AND, THEREFORE, WE WILL BRING
- 12 THE CITY OF FRESNO'S REQUEST FORWARD IN
- 13 JANUARY.
- 14 JERRY PRIETO WITH FRESNO COUNTY
- 15 AND REPRESENTATIVES FROM THE VARIOUS CITIES ARE
- 16 AVAILABLE TODAY TO ANSWER QUESTIONS.
- 17 IN JULY OF 1997 THE BOARD APPROVED
- 18 UNINCORPORATED FRESNO COUNTY'S REQUEST TO ADD
- 19 FRUIT CULL TONNAGE TO THEIR BASE-YEAR DISPOSAL
- 20 AMOUNT. THE SAME DOCUMENTATION WITH WHICH THE
- 21 COUNTY'S BASE-YEAR CORRECTION WAS SUBMITTED ON
- 22 BEHALF OF THE SEVEN CITIES REPRESENTED IN THIS
- 23 ITEM.
- 24 THIS DOCUMENTATION CONSISTED OF A
- 25 TABLE FROM THE 1985 COUNTY SOLID WASTE

- 1 MANAGEMENT PLAN SHOWING THE AMOUNT OF FRUIT
- 2 CULLS DISPOSED, EXPRESSED IN TONS, AND AS A
- 3 PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL FRUIT PROCESS.
- 4 FRESNO COUNTY STAFF UPDATED THESE
- 5 FIGURES TO REFLECT 1990 AMOUNTS, USING FRESNO
- 6 COUNTY'S DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE CROP
- 7 REPORTS, AND BY CONTACTING THE PACKING SHEDS TO
- 8 OBTAIN DATA ON THEIR OUTPUT OF PROCESSED FRUIT.
- 9 FOR THIS ITEM FRESNO STAFF HAVE
- 10 PROVIDED ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTATION, INCLUDING
- 11 THE NAMES OF LANDFILLS USED FOR FRUIT CULL
- 12 DISPOSAL AND LETTERS FROM THE LANDFILL OWNERS
- 13 AND OPERATORS ATTESTING TO THE FACT THAT FRUIT
- 14 CULLS WERE DISPOSED AT THE SOUTHEAST REGIONAL
- 15 AND CHESTNUT LANDFILLS. THEY HAVE ALSO
- 16 IDENTIFIED THE PACKING SHEDS AND JURISDICTIONS
- 17 IN WHICH THEY ARE LOCATED.
- 18 THE STAFF OF THESE CITIES REALIZED
- 19 THE IMPORTANCE OF PROGRAM IMPLEMENTATION AND
- 20 COMPLYING WITH THE MANDATES OF AB 939. TO
- 21 DEMONSTRATE THIS COMMITMENT ALL SEVEN CITIES
- 22 HAVE SUBMITTED LETTERS INDICATING THAT THEY
- 23 WILL CONTINUE TO IMPLEMENT THEIR DIVERSION
- 24 PROGRAMS DESPITE THE INCREASE IN THEIR
- 25 DIVERSION RATE NUMBERS.

- 1 THESE CITIES ARE IMPLEMENTING MANY
- 2 OF THE PROGRAMS SELECTED IN THEIR SRRES. I
- 3 WILL BRIEFLY HIGHLIGHT A FEW OF THESE PROGRAMS.
- 4 FIVE OUT OF THE SEVEN CITIES HAVE RESIDENTIAL
- 5 CURBSIDE RECYCLING. SIX OUT OF THE SEVEN
- 6 CITIES HAVE COMMERCIAL RECYCLING PROGRAMS. SIX
- 7 OUT OF THE SEVEN CITIES USE A MRF WITHIN FRESNO
- 8 COUNTY OR NEIGHBORING TULARE COUNTY. ALL SEVEN
- 9 CITIES HAVE CURBSIDE GREEN WASTE COLLECTION,
- 10 AND ALL USE A COMPOSTING FACILITY.
- 11 THIS CONCLUDES MY PRESENTATION. DO
- 12 YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS?
- 13 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: QUESTIONS OF
- 14 STAFF?
- 15 MEMBER JONES: MR. CHAIRMAN, I HAVE A
- 16 COUPLE.
- 17 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: MR. JONES.
- 18 MEMBER JONES: I'LL MAKE THEM QUICK. I
- 19 HAD ASKED FOR SOME OTHER INFORMATION WHEN I GOT
- 20 MY BRIEFING, AND THAT WAS WHAT KIND OF PROGRAMS
- 21 ARE IN PLACE HERE. BECAUSE WHEN YOU PLAY WITH
- 22 CULLS OR ANYTHING THAT ARE HEAVY, AND YOU PUT
- 23 THEM ON THE DISPOSAL SITE IN 1990 THAT'S FINE,
- 24 BUT IT DOES MIRACULOUS THINGS ON THE DIVERSION
- 25 SIDE WHEN YOU GET TO TAKE CREDIT FOR IT, SO I

- 1 WANTED TO KNOW IF THERE WERE PROGRAMS.
- 2 I WAS A LITTLE SURPRISED, ORANGE
- 3 COVE, EVERYTHING FOR '95 AND '96 SHOWS NO DATA.
- 4 MS. SHIMIZU: RIGHT.
- 5 MEMBER JONES: AND I'M WONDERING WHY
- 6 WOULD WE HAVE NO DATA ON ANY -- AND THERE'S A
- 7 NOTE HERE THAT SAYS THEY WERE SUPPOSED TO BE
- 8 TURNED IN BY APRIL 1ST, HAVE THEY BEEN?
- 9 MS. SHIMIZU: WE DON'T HAVE THE ANNUAL
- 10 REPORTS JUST YET. THEY WEREN'T DUE UNTIL THIS
- 11 YEAR, WHICH IS WHY THERE HASN'T BEEN ANYTHING,
- 12 NOT EVEN '95'S INPUT YET.
- 13 MEMBER JONES: AND MOST OF THESE
- 14 JURISDICTIONS SHOW PRETTY HIGH DIVERSION, UNDER
- 15 '95 AND '96. A COUPLE OF THEM SHOW -- I MEAN,
- 16 ONE SHOWS ONE PERCENT AND NO DATA THE NEXT
- 17 YEAR, AND ANOTHER ONE SHOWS FIVE PERCENT AND NO
- 18 DATA FOR '96.
- 19 AND WHAT I WORRY ABOUT WHEN I SEE
- 20 THESE BIG NUMBERS ADDED TO THE BASE-YEAR, WHILE
- 21 THEY LOOK MINIMAL THEY COULD HAVE BIG IMPACTS
- 22 ON DIVERSION. AND I JUST WANTED TO MAKE SURE
- 23 WE HAD PROGRAMS IN THESE JURISDICTIONS THAT GOT
- 24 DIVERSION. SO, I GOT A LITTLE NERVOUS WHEN I
- 25 SAW NO DATA.

- 1 MS. SHIMIZU: OKAY. YES, THEY ARE
- 2 WORKING ON THEIR REPORTS WITH THEIR
- 3 CONSULTANTS, THEY ARE WORKING ON GREEN WASTE,
- 4 THEY DO HAVE A GRANT FOR CURBSIDE RECYCLING, SO
- 5 THEY ARE IMPLEMENTING PROGRAMS. THEY USE A MRF.
- 6 THAT WAS TRUE OF ORANGE COVE.
- 7 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: MR. EATON --
- 8 MS. CARDOZA: I'D LIKE TO ADD
- 9 SOMETHING, IF I MAY, TO THAT?
- 10 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: CERTAINLY.
- 11 MS. CARDOZA: CATHERINE CARDOZA WITH
- 12 THE OFFICE OF LOCAL ASSISTANCE.
- 13 ORANGE COVE'S SRRE WAS APPROVED IN
- 14 1997, 50 THEIR FIRST ANNUAL REPORT, WHICH WOULD
- 15 COVER '95, '96, AND '97, WAS DUE IN AUGUST.
- 16 AND TO DATE WE HAVE NOT RECEIVED THEIR ANNUAL
- 17 REPORT, SO WE COULDN'T -- DON'T HAVE THAT
- 18 VERIFICATION YET.
- 19 BUT, WITH THE -- I THINK THE COUNTY
- 20 REPRESENTATIVE, IF YOU HAD FURTHER QUESTIONS
- 21 ABOUT WHAT ORANGE COVE WAS DOING, WOULD BE ABLE
- 22 TO ANSWER THOSE QUESTIONS.
- 23 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: THANK YOU.
- 24 MEMBER JONES: I MEAN, JUST REAL QUICKLY.
- 25 THE SRRE FOR ORANGE COVE WAS FINALLY ADOPTED IN

- 1 1997--
- 2 MS. CARDOZA: IT WAS FINALLY APPROVED
- 3 BY THE BOARD, I'M NOT SURE WHEN IT WAS ACTUALLY
- 4 ADOPTED--
- 5 MEMBER JONES: OKAY. BUT APPROVED BY THE
- 6 BOARD. AND WHEN WE DID ALL THOSE IN -- TOWARDS
- 7 THE END OF '97?
- 8 MS. FRIEDMAN: CORRECT.
- 9 IT WAS ONE OF THE ONES IN 1997.
- 10 MEMBER JONES: THAT WE HAD GIVEN EXTENSIONS
- 11 TO SO THAT THEY COULD GET IT IN?
- 12 MS. FRIEDMAN: MOST LIKELY.
- 13 MEMBER JONES: I GUESS THE POINT I'M MAKING
- 14 IS THAT WE GAVE A BUNCH OF EXTENSIONS TO GIVE
- 15 CITIES TIME TO GET SRRES IN AND WE FINALLY HAD
- 16 TO ENFORCE AGAINST FOUR OF THEM THAT BLEW US
- 17 OFF FOR FIVE YEARS. AND THEN THIS WAS DUE ON
- 18 AUGUST 1ST, 1998, AND WE STILL DON'T HAVE ANY
- 19 DATA. THAT IS A TROUBLESOME TRACK RECORD, SO.
- 20 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: MR. EATON?
- 21 MEMBER EATON: I ALSO SHARE YOUR
- 22 CONCERNS, SIMPLY BECAUSE -- AND I WOULD ASK IF
- 23 IN THE FUTURE WE COULD GET A WIDER PICTURE OF
- 24 THE PROPOSED BASE-YEAR CORRECTIONS, AS THE
- 25 IMPACT OF THESE ITEMS HERE -- IF YOU LOOK AT

- 1 THE CHARTS THAT WE HAVE, IT SHOWS THAT THE
- 2 DIVERSION RATE'S ACTUALLY GOING DOWN IN A
- 3 NUMBER OF JURISDICTIONS IN 1990.
- 4 BUT WHEN YOU INCLUDE WHAT WE'RE
- 5 ABOUT TO DO TODAY, THE SPIKE COULD BE GREAT, AS
- 6 YOU WELL KNOW, IT COULD PUT THEM UP OVER 50
- 7 PERCENT IN SOME CASES.
- 8 AND I THINK THAT'S IMPORTANT FOR US
- 9 -- AT LEAST FOR ME, I'M NOT GOING TO SPEAK FOR
- 10 OTHERS -- TO KNOW THAT, ESPECIALLY WHERE
- 11 THERE'S INFORMATION THAT'S MISSING. I DON'T
- 12 KNOW HOW WE CAN APPROVE SOMETHING WHEN WE
- 13 DON'T HAVE EITHER THE REPORTS IN FROM '95-96,
- 14 IRRESPECTIVE, AND THEN GO INTO IT AND GIVE THEM
- 15 THESE KINDS OF THINGS.
- 16 IT'S KIND OF LIKE THE FRUIT CULL
- 17 FLU KIND OF GOING AROUND. LAST MONTH IT WAS
- 18 TULARE -- HOW WAS THAT, MR. RHOADS, PRETTY
- 19 GOOD? -- AND WE WORKED WITH THEM AND PUT THEM
- 20 THROUGH THE WRINGER, IF YOU REMEMBER FROM SANTA
- 21 BARBARA, AND GOT ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTATION. AND
- 22 NOW WE JUST HAVE THINGS HERE.
- 23 I THINK WE NEED TO DO THIS, I THINK
- 24 WE'RE JUST HEADING DOWN A ROAD WHERE WE'RE
- 25 GOING TO FIND A SITUATION WHEREIN WE HAVE MAYBE

- 1 THE NUMBERS BUT WE DON'T HAVE THE PROGRAMS AND
- 2 OTHER KINDS OF THINGS, JUST TO ITERATE, AND I
- 3 NEED THAT INFORMATION. BECAUSE IT'S JUST--I
- 4 CAN'T GET A GOOD PICTURE FROM THE SPIKE THAT'S
- 5 GOING TO TAKE PLACE HERE.
- 6 AND, HOW MANY FRUIT CULLS ARE THERE
- 7 ACTUALLY WITHIN BOTH COUNTIES? BECAUSE I JUST
- 8 CAN'T IMAGINE -- I KNOW HOW MANY CROPS ARE DOWN
- 9 THERE, AND I KNOW THE TYPES OF CROPS THAT ARE
- 10 THERE HAVING WORKED THERE. AND PERHAPS MAYBE
- 11 WE NEED TO DO KIND OF AN ALLOCATION LIKE WE DID
- 12 WITH L.A., LET'S FIND OUT HOW MANY FRUIT CULLS
- 13 THERE ARE AND LET'S DIVIDE THEM UP.
- 14 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: IT'S MORE THAN
- 15 MANY FARMERS WANT, I'M SURE.
- MR. PRIETO: YEAH, IN RESPONSE TO --
- 17 AS WE DID WITH TULARE, IN THE RESOLUTIONS FOR
- 18 THESE PARTICULAR JURISDICTIONS, THEY MUST MAKE
- 19 A COMMITMENT TO CONTINUE TO IMPLEMENT ALL THEIR
- 20 SRRE PROGRAMS AND WE'RE GOING TO MONITOR THEM
- 21 REAL CLOSELY TO MAKE SURE THAT THEY HAVE,
- 22 INDEED, MONITORED THOSE.
- 23 AND IN TALKING ABOUT THE
- .24 JURISDICTIONS, THEY ALL ARE COMMITTED TO
- 25 CONTINUING TO IMPLEMENT THEIR PROGRAMS. AND

- 1 THEY ARE HERE TODAY TO SPEAK TO THIS IS SUE IF
- 2 YOU WOULD LIKE.
- 3 MEMBER JONES: MR. CHAIRMAN?
- 4 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: MR. JONES.
- 5 MEMBER JONES: I THINK MR. EATON'S
- 6 DEAD-ON THIS THING, BECAUSE THE CITY OF ORANGE
- 7 COVE, THEIR GENERATION HAS GONE UP FROM 5200
- 8 TONS TO 25,000 TONS. IF THAT -- 20,000 TONS IS
- 9 FRUIT CULLS, THEN MOVE THAT OVER INTO THE
- 10 DIVERSION SIDE AND THEY WILL BE DIVERTING
- 11 20,402 TONS OUT OF A POSSIBLE 25,228 TONS. I
- 12 MEAN, THAT IS PRETTY REMARKABLE DIVERSION.
- 13 50, YOU NEED TO -- YOU KNOW WHAT I
- 14 MEAN? WE NEED TO -- THAT'S WHERE THE ISSUE IS
- 15 FOR ME. IF THEY DESERVE IT THEY GET CREDIT FOR
- 16 IT, I DON'T HAVE A PROGRAM WITH IT. IT'S JUST
- 17 IT IS SO HEAVY AND THERE'S SO MUCH WEIGHT, AND
- 18 WHEN THERE'RE EXISTING PROGRAMS THAT EXISTED
- 19 PRIOR TO 1990 WE'RE GIVING THEM A DOUBLE
- 20 BOUNCE, WE'RE GIVING THEM A DOUBLE CREDIT.
- 21 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: SENATOR ROBERTI?
- 22 SENATOR ROBERTI: AS I'M TRYING TO
- 23 UNDERSTAND IT HERE, THERE'S NO WAY FOR US TO KNOW
- 24 WHETHER OR NOT JURISDICTIONS INVOLVED CAN COUNT
- 25 THIS CULL FRUIT OR VEGGIES BEFORE THEY CAN

- 1 COMPUTE ADEQUATELY WHAT THEIR BASE-YEAR IS?
- 2 MS. FRIEDMAN: YES. IN FACT, THAT'S
- 3 THE DOCUMENTATION THAT THEY HAVE BEEN
- 4 PROVIDING, IS THAT IT IS LEGITIMATE.
- 5 SENATOR ROBERTI: HENCE,
- 6 IF WE REQUIRE BASE-YEAR FORMULATIONS BEFORE WE
- 7 MAKE A DECISION ON WHETHER THE CULLED FRUIT CAN
- 8 BE INCLUDED, THE DOCUMENTATION WITHOUT THE
- 9 KNOWLEDGE OF WHETHER CULLED FRUIT CAN BE
- 10 INCLUDED MIGHT BE SKETCHY OR ERRONEOUS, OR
- 11 SUBJECT TO CHANGE.
- 12 MS. CARDOZO: IF YOU WOULD LIKE, WE
- 13 HAVE ALREADY COMPUTED -- BECAUSE THE OTHER SIX
- 14 HAVE SUBMITTED THEIR ANNUAL REPORTS, SO WE DO
- 15 KNOW WHAT THEIR '95 AND '96 NUMBER WOULD BE
- 16 WITH, AND WITHOUT THE FRUIT CULLS. AND DIANE
- 17 CAN GIVE YOU THAT INFORMATION IF YOU WOULD
- 18 LIKE.
- 19 SENATOR ROBERTI: IS THAT ON ALL THE
- 20 JURISDICTIONS?
- 21 MS. CARDOZO: I THINK INCLUDING
- 22 ORANGE COVE.
- 23 MS. SHIMIZU; INCLUDING THE BOARD'S
- 24 DEFAULT CALCULATIONS FOR ORANGE COVE, IN THE
- 25 ABSENCE OF THEIR ANNUAL REPORT CALCULATIONS.

- 1 MEMBER ROBERTI: I THINK I HAVE IT, AND MY
- 2 ADVISOR HERE IS JUST --
- MEMBER RHOADS: WHY DON'T YOU GIVE IT TO US,
- 4 DIANE? MAYBE IF YOU WOULD JUST READ THE
- 5 NUMBERS, I THINK THAT WOULD BE...?
- 6 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: SURE.
- 7 MS. SHIMIZU: OKAY. SO YOU WANT THE
- 8 1995 WITH THE FRUIT CULLS, AND '96 WITH THE
- 9 FRUIT CULLS? OKAY.
- 10 FOR CLOVIS, 1995 WOULD BE 61
- 11 PERCENT, '96 WOULD BE 58 PERCENT.
- 12 FOWLER WOULD BE 82 PERCENT, IN '96
- 13 IT WOULD BE 83 PERCENT.
- 14 ORANGE COVE WOULD BE 88 PERCENT IN
- 15 '95, AND AGAIN 88 PERCENT IN '96.
- 16 PARLIER WOULD BE 76 PERCENT IN '95,
- 17 AND 69 PERCENT IN '96.
- 18 REEDLEY -- THEY ALREADY WENT
- 19 THROUGH THE BIENNIAL REVIEW AND WERE APPROVED.
- 20 BUT THIS WOULD CHANGE THEM TO 69 PERCENT IN
- 21 '95, AND 67 PERCENT IN '96.
- 22 SANGER, AGAIN, THEY'VE BEEN THROUGH
- 23 THE BIENNIAL REVIEW. THEY'D BE 43 PERCENT IN
- 24 '95, 40 PERCENT IN '96.
- 25 SELMA WOULD BE 12 PERCENT IN '95,

- 1 23 PERCENT IN '96.
- 2 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY? WHAT'S
- 3 YOUR PLEASURE?
- 4 LET ME ASK A LEGAL QUESTION HERE,
- 5 CATHERINE. WE HAVE SEVEN RESOLUTIONS, DO WE
- 6 HAVE TO ADOPT THEM INDIVIDUALLY OR CAN WE ADOPT
- 7 THEM IN ONE MOTION?
- 8 KATHRYN TOBIAS: I THINK IF YOU WANT TO
- 9 ADOPT THEM IN ONE MOTION, AS LONG AS YOU READ
- 10 OFF THE NUMBERS OF THE MOTION, THAT'S FINE --
- 11 OF THE RESOLUTIONS, THAT'S FINE.
- 12 MEMBER JONES: MR. CHAIRMAN?
- 13 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: MR. JONES.
- 14 MEMBER JONES: I'LL MAKE THIS MOTION,
- 15 BUT I -- PART OF THE MOTION IS THAT WE KEEP A
- 16 VERY CLOSE EYE--
- 17 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY.
- 18 MEMBER JONES: -- ON THESE
- 19 JURISDICTIONS TO MAKE SURE THEY CONTINUE TO
- 20 ASTOUND.
- 21 I WANT TO MOVE RESOLUTION 98-3 95,
- 22 RESOLUTION 98-3 96, RESOLUTION 98-3 98,
- 23 RESOLUTION 98-3 99, RESOLUTION 9 8-400,
- 24 RESOLUTION 98-40 1, RESOLUTION 98-402.
- 25 MEMBER RHOADS: I'LL BE GLAD TO SECOND

- 1 THAT.
- 2 I SHARE SOME OF THOSE SAME
- 3 RESERVATIONS, BUT I'M SURE THAT DIANE HAS
- 4 ALREADY BEEN KEEPING A CLOSE EYE ON FRESNO,
- 5 SINCE SHE'S THE ONE THAT ARRANGED THE TULARE
- 6 TRIP. AND CATHERINE ON THE WORK ON THE FRESNO
- 7 AND THE SANTA CRUZ -- I MEAN, ON THE MONTEREY
- 8 AND THE SANTA CRUZ TRIP, WHICH I WANT TO THANK
- 9 BOTH OF THEM FOR. AND I KNOW THEY WILL KEEP A
- 10 VERY CLOSE EYE ON THIS ACTIVITY.
- 11 MEMBER EATON: HOW WOULD YOU PROPOSE MR.
- 12 RHOADS TO DEAL WITH THE IS SUE WHERE WE HAVE
- 13 THESE KINDS OF SPIKES WITH THESE KINDS OF
- 14 COMMODITIES THAT JUST TAKE ONE JURISDICTION
- 15 FROM BASICALLY, YOU KNOW, ZERO OR A VERY LOW
- 16 PERCENTAGE INTO A VERY HIGH PERCENTAGE, BASED
- 17 ON WHAT YOU'VE SEEN DOWN THERE?
- 18 MEMBER RHOADS: WELL, I THINK STAFF --
- 19 AND I THINK THEY DO THIS, THEY TAKE A VERY,
- 20 VERY HARD LOOK ON THESE ISSUES. THEY MAKE SURE
- 21 IT WAS COUNTED IN 1990, THEY MAKE SURE THERE'S
- 22 NOT A DOUBLE ACCOUNTING IN 1995. AND THAT
- 23 WOULD BE -- YOU KNOW, I WOULD HAVE TO RELY ON
- 24 STAFF GETTING OUT THERE TALKING TO PEOPLE,
- 25 TAKING A LOOK AT THE NUMBERS, AND REPORTING

- 1 BACK TO US IF THEY FEEL COMFORTABLE WITH IT.
- 2 THAT WOULD BE MY ANSWER.
- 3 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY?
- 4 MEMBER EATON: NOW, WITH REGARD TO THE
- 5 PROGRAMS THAT THEY'VE COMMITTED TO, HOW ARE
- 6 THEY COMMITTED TO CARRYING THROUGH ON THE
- 7 PROGRAMS WHICH ARE ON THE OTHER SIDE, IS WHAT
- 8 WE'RE LOOKING FOR? WHAT IS THE MECHANISM
- 9 THERE?
- 10 THEY'RE NOT GOING TO, OBVIOUSLY,
- 11 COME IN BECAUSE THEY'RE GOING TO BE OVER 50
- 12 PERCENT, SO THEY'RE NOT GOING TO NEED AN
- 13 EXTENSION. CORRECT?
- 14 MS. FRIEDMAN: RIGHT.
- 15 MEMBER EATON: AND THEY'RE NOT GOING TO
- 16 NEED A 1066.
- 17 SO THE QUESTION THEN BECOMES, WHAT
- 18 MECHANISM DO WE HAVE FOR MAKING SURE THAT THE
- 19 OTHER PARTS OF THE STATE, BY VIRTUE OF ONE
- 20 COMMODITY OR ONE ELEMENT OF THE WASTE STREAM,
- 21 DO SOME OF THE OTHER PROGRAMS THAT WE WERE
- 22 GOING TO REQUIRE OF OTHERS, BE IT EITHER
- 23 CURBSIDE AND/OR IT COULD BE GREEN PROCUREMENT,
- 24 AS WE GET INTO THOSE AREAS AND THE ACTUAL USE
- 25 OF THE MARKET SIDE? HELP US, WHAT IS IT?

- 1 MS. FRIEDMAN: THE BIENNIAL REVIEW IS
- 2 A PROCESS THAT CONTINUES REGARDLESS OF THAT.
- 3 SO WE WOULD CONTINUE TO MONITOR THEIR PROGRESS,
- 4 WE GET THEIR ANNUAL REPORTS IN, AND WE WOULD
- 5 CONTINUE TO ANALYZE HOW THEY'RE DOING. THEY'VE
- 6 MADE A COMMITMENT TO CONTINUE TO IMPLEMENT
- 7 THEIR PROGRAMS DESPITE -- OR, EVEN THOUGH THEY
- 8 HAVE HIGH NUMBERS, AND WE WOULD MONITOR THAT AS
- 9 PART OF THE BIENNIAL PROCESS, AND JUST AS A
- 10 GENERAL COURSE OF BUSINESS. AND WE WOULD
- 11 REPORT THAT TO YOU.
- 12 MEMBER RHOADS: I THINK IT'S -- IF I
- 13 COULD, MEMBER EATON? I'VE ONLY BEEN HERE A
- 14 VERY SHORT PERIOD OF TIME, BUT IT'S BEEN MY
- 15 IMPRESSION THAT WE HAVE SPENT A GREAT DEAL OF
- 16 TIME IN THE PAST YEARS ON GOING THROUGH REPORTS
- 17 AND REQUIRING PEOPLE -- AND WE'RE ALMOST
- 18 FINISHED THAT.
- 19 AND WHAT WE'RE DOING NOW IS GETTING
- 20 OUT THERE SEEING THE PROGRAMS, HELPING THE
- 21 COMMUNITIES IN THEIR EFFORTS. AND IT'S JUST
- 22 VERY, VERY CRUCIAL IN MY MIND FOR THE STAFF TO
- 23 GET OUT THERE IN THE COMMUNITIES AND SEE THAT
- 24 THESE PROGRAMS ARE CONTINUED AND IMPLEMENTED.
- 25 AND, SO I WOULD JUST URGE STAFF TO

- 1 CONTINUE THAT EFFORT AND INCREASE THEIR SUPPORT
- 2 OF GOING OUT THERE WITH THE LOCAL GOVERNMENTS.
- 3 KATHRYN TOBIAS: IN RESPONSE TO THAT,
- 4 MR. RHOADS.... CAN I ASK, ELLIOT, DO YOU HAVE
- 5 ANYTHING TO ADD ON ENFORCEMENT WITH RESPECT TO
- 6 THOSE BIENNIAL REVIEWS AND WHAT WE COULD DO IF
- 7 -- I MEAN, DO WE HAVE THE POWER TO DELVE INTO
- 8 THESE AND THEN BRING THEM UP? WHAT HAPPENS
- 9 NEXT IF THEY'RE NOT -- YOU KNOW, IF THE BOARD'S
- 10 CONCERNED WITH THESE ISSUES AND THEN THEY'RE
- 11 NOT ADDRESSED, BUT THEY MAKE 50 PERCENT?
- 12 MR. BLOCK: ELLIOT BLOCK WITH THE LEGAL
- 13 OFFICE.
- 14 I CAN JUST REITERATE WHAT JUDY HAD
- 15 SAID, AS WE'VE HAD A DISCUSSION NOW I THINK
- 16 OVER THE LAST TWO OR THREE BOARD MEETINGS.
- 17 IMPLEMENTATION IS BOTH NUMBERS AND IMPLEMENTING
- 18 PROGRAMS, AND SO THAT'S WHY WE HAVE THE --
- 19 BASED ON THE DIRECTION THAT THE BOARD GAVE US
- 20 IN THE PAST WITH TULARE, THAT'S WHY WITH THESE
- 21 JURISDICTIONS WE HAVE MADE SURE THAT THOSE
- 22 RESOLUTIONS -- THEIR RESOLUTIONS LOCALLY, BY
- 23 THE JURISDICTIONS, AND THEN THAT LANGUAGE ALSO
- 24 IS IN OUR RESOLUTION ABOUT CONTINUING TO DO
- 25 PROGRAMS, SO.

- 1 AND THEN THE BIENNIAL REVIEW
- 2 PROCESS, AT THE PRESENT TIME IT'S EVERY TWO
- 3 YEARS, AND THEN IT'LL BE TWO YEARS AFTER THE
- 4 YEAR 2000 AS WELL, AND SO WE'LL BE LOOKING AT
- 5 THOSE ISSUES CLEARLY BASED ON THE DIRECTION
- 6 THAT WE'VE GOTTEN HERE.
- 7 KATHRYN TOBIAS: CAN WE NOT GO INTO
- 8 THOSE PLANS OTHER THAN IN A BIENNIAL REVIEW, SO
- 9 THAT IF THERE'S SOME SENSE THAT THE PROGRAMS
- 10 AREN'T BEING FOLLOWED OR --
- 11 MR. BLOCK: WELL, ACTUALLY, WE
- 12 CERTAINLY CAN -- IF WE WERE TO FIND OUT THROUGH
- 13 SOME OTHER MEANS THAN JUST SORT OF OUR NORMAL
- 14 REVIEW -- AND WE GET ANNUAL REPORTS AND THEN
- 15 THE BIENNIAL REVIEW IS BASED ON TWO ANNUAL
- 16 REPORTS, BUT THE STATUTE DOES -- IS PHRASED AS
- 17 AT LEAST ONCE EVERY TWO YEARS.
- 18 KATHRYN TOBIAS: OKAY.
- MR. BLOCK: SO IF, IN FACT, WE WERE TO
- 20 FIND OUT THAT SOMETHING WAS GOING ON THAT WAS
- 21 AN ISSUE OR A PROBLEM, POTENTIALLY, WE WOULD
- 22 HAVE THE ABILITY TO GO IN SOONER IF WE NEEDED
- 23 TO.
- 24 KATHRYN TOBIAS: OKAY. THANK YOU.
- MS. FRIEDMAN: MR. CHAIRMAN, IF I MAY?

- 1 I THINK ELLIOT HAS MADE A VERY GOOD POINT,
- 2 WHICH I CAN'T STRESS ENOUGH, THAT COMPLIANCE IS
- 3 A TWO-PART TEST, IT'S BOTH NUMBERS AND
- 4 PROGRAMS. AND IF WE DON'T HAVE PROGRAMS WE
- 5 HAVE A PROBLEM WITH COMPLIANCE.
- 6 MEMBER EATON: WELL, PERHAPS RELUCTANTLY I
- 7 WOULD SUPPORT THESE RESOLUTIONS, BUT WOULD ASK
- 8 PERHAPS MAYBE IF MY FELLOW BOARD MEMBERS FEEL
- 9 THE SAME WAY WITH SOME OF THE QUESTIONS MR.
- 10 RHOADS RESPONDED TO, IS THAT MAYBE WE COULD
- 11 HAVE STAFF COME BACK WITH KIND OF A LAUNDRY
- 12 LIST OF SOME PROGRAMS OR WHATEVER WE CAN GO TO
- 13 THESE JURISDICTIONS WITH AT SOME POINT AND FIND
- 14 OUT C&D AND SOME OF THE OTHER OF OUR PRIORITY
- 15 AREAS?
- 16 BECAUSE, THERE'S NO QUESTION THAT
- 17 THEY MEET THE LETTER OF THE LAW, THERE'S STILL
- 18 SOME DOUBT -- AND THAT'S WHERE THE PROGRAMS
- 19 COME IN, WHETHER THEY MEET THE SPIRIT OF THE
- 20 LAW. AND I THINK THOSE ARE DISTINCT QUESTIONS
- 21 AND STUFF.
- 22 AND IT DOESN'T HAVE TO BE ANYTHING
- 23 REAL QUICK, BUT PERHAPS MR. CHANDLER OR
- 24 SOMETHING -- IF I COULD JUST GET SOME IDEA THAT
- 25 SHOWS THAT, YOU KNOW, THOSE WHO ARE FORTUNATE

- 1 IN THE SENSE THAT THEY HAVE A WASTE STREAM AND
- 2 THEY GET A SPIKE, THERE ARE OTHERS WHO ARE NOT
- 3 50 FORTUNATE, BY EITHER VIRTUE OF THEIR
- 4 ECONOMIC POSITION IN THE STATE OR OTHER KINDS
- 5 OF FACTORS, THEIR GEOGRAPHIC FACTORS, MAY
- 6 REALLY STRUGGLE. AND I THINK THOSE ARE THE
- 7 KINDS OF BALANCING ACTS WE HAVE TO DO WITH A
- 8 STATE THAT'S SO DIVERSE.
- 9 AND YET, AT THE SAME TIME, WE
- 10 REALLY KIND OF HAVE TO LOOK AT IT AND SAY WE'RE
- 11 APPLYING IT IN A CONSISTENT MANNER, IN A
- 12 CONSISTENT FASHION.
- 13 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: MR. PRIETO WOULD
- 14 LIKE TO ADDRESS US HERE ON THIS.
- 15 MR. PRIETO: THANK YOU, CHAIRMAN
- 16 PENNINGTON. I'M JERRY PRIETO, I'M WITH
- 17 RESOURCE AND MANAGEMENT FOR FRESNO COUNTY,
- 18 AND ONE OF MY RESPONSIBILITIES INCLUDES THE
- 19 IMPLEMENTATION OF AB 939 FOR THE UNINCORPORATED
- 20 AREA OF FRESNO COUNTY.
- 21 AND THROUGH AN MOU WE ASSIST ALL
- 22 THE CITIES IN OUR COUNTY, ALL THE 15 CITIES.
- 23 WE CONDUCT EDUCATION ELEMENTS, THE HHW ELEMENT,
- 24 AND USED OIL RECYCLING ELEMENTS, AND ALSO
- 25 RESPONSIBLE FOR CONTRACTING ANNUAL REPORTS DONE.

- 1 I WOULD JUST LIKE TO STATE THAT
- 2 EACH OF THESE CITIES ALL HAVE PROGRAMS IN
- 3 PLACE, EVERY SINGLE ONE. DESPITE THE FACT THAT
- 4 HAVING THE GENERATION ADJUSTED IN 1990, THEY
- 5 HAVE MOVED FORWARD AND IMPLEMENTED THEIR
- 6 PROGRAMS.
- 7 MEMBER JONES, ORANGE COVE WILL BE
- 8 STARTING A PROGRAM UP THE FIRST OF THE YEAR.
- 9 THEY'RE A VERY SMALL CITY, POPULATION'S
- 10 PROBABLY 6,000 TO 7,000, 7,500, AROUND THERE.
- 11 THEIR MAIN INDUSTRY IS ORANGE PACKING. ALL OF
- 12 THEIR RESIDENTIAL WASTE IS COLLECTED BY PENYA,
- 13 AND PENYA WAS ONE OF THE WASTE HAULERS WHO WAS
- 14 PROTESTING TULARE COUNTY'S CULLED FRUIT
- 15 ADDITION BECAUSE OF FEAR OF LOSING THE MRF
- 16 BUSINESS. AND THAT ALL THE WASTE GOES TO
- 17 PENYA'S MRF PRESENTLY.
- 18 THEY'LL BE WORKING THROUGH THE EOC
- 19 CONSERVATION CORPS, THROUGH A GRANT THAT THIS
- 20 BOARD GAVE EOC CONSERVATION CORPS TO ENHANCE
- 21 THOSE PROGRAMS STARTING THE FIRST OF THE YEAR.
- 22 SO, WHEN YOU LOOK AT THE WASTE
- 23 STREAM, A WASTE STREAM FOR A CITY THEIR SIZE IS
- 24 VERY SMALL, AND YET THE CULLED FRUIT WASTE
- 25 STREAM IS TREMENDOUS, BECAUSE THEY ARE THE MAIN

- 1 ORANGE PACKER IN THE COUNTY OF FRESNO.
- 2 AND THE COUNTY OF FRESNO HAS A
- 3 TOTAL OF 15 CITIES. THERE'S ONLY EIGHT CITIES
- 4 BEFORE YOU TO BE CONSIDERED ON THIS. FRESNO
- 5 ISN'T HERE TODAY, THEY'LL COME BACK IN JANUARY.
- 6 BUT THOSE ARE THE ONLY CITIES FOR WHICH WE FELT
- 7 THAT CULLED FRUIT WAS APPROPRIATE.
- 8 AND THE OTHER CITIES DON'T GENERATE
- 9 THE CULLED FRUIT, THEY HAVE OTHER TYPES OF
- 10 CULLED FRUIT PROCESSING WASTE, BUT THOSE HAVE
- 11 NEVER BEEN DEPOSITED IN OUR LANDFILLS SO,
- 12 THEREFORE, WE DIDN'T FEEL IT WAS APPROPRIATE TO
- 13 COME BEFORE YOUR BOARD AND ASK FOR THOSE.
- 14 SO, I JUST WANT TO RESTATE THAT
- 15 THERE ARE -- EVERY CITY HAS PROGRAMS IN PLACE.
- 16 WE'RE WORKING TO ENHANCE THOSE WITH THEM.
- 17 COMMENCING IN JANUARY WE'LL BE
- 18 CONDUCTING A BUSINESS WASTE ASSESSMENT PROGRAM
- 19 FOR ALL THE CITIES.
- 20 AND THEN WE OPERATE THE REGIONAL
- 21 LANDFILL FOR FRESNO COUNTY, WHICH IS THE
- 22 AMERICAN LANDFILL.
- 23 I'M GOING TO OUR BOARD OF
- 24 SUPERVISORS IN JANUARY TO PROPOSE THAT THEY
- 25 GIVE US A DIFFERENTIAL RATE FOR GREEN WASTE, SO

- 1 WE CAN ACTUALLY BUY GREEN WASTE AND ENCOURAGE
- 2 FURTHER RECYCLING AND COMPOSTING OF GREEN
- 3 WASTE. OUR TIP FEE IS \$20.80 A TON FOR ALL
- 4 GENERAL WASTE AND I'M PROPOSING TO LOWER IT
- 5 DOWN TO \$16.00, SO THAT'S A \$12.80 DIFFERENTIAL
- 6 THAT I HOPE WILL ENCOURAGE CITIES AND PRIVATE
- 7 COMPANIES TO DO MORE COMPOSTING AND GREEN WASTE
- 8 RECYCLING.
- 9 AND I'M HERE TO ANSWER ANY OTHER
- 10 QUESTIONS YOU MAY HAVE.
- 11 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: MR. JONES?
- 12 MEMBER JONES: I APPRECIATE THE
- 13 COMMENTS. YOU KNOW, LIKE I SAID EARLIER, IF
- 14 THEY'VE GOT IT COMING, THEY'VE GOT IT COMING.
- 15 AND I DON'T HAVE A PROBLEM WITH THAT.
- 16 WHERE I GET NERVOUS IS THAT IT WAS
- 17 GOING TO A LANDFILL IN '90, YOU SAID IT DID,
- 18 OUR STAFF DID, SO I DON'T HAVE A PROBLEM WITH
- 19 THAT. BUT, YOU SEE HOW DRAMATIC IT IS FROM
- 20 WHAT WE'RE LOOKING AT IN A STAFF REPORT. WE'RE
- 21 LOOKING AT A CITY THAT HAD ONE-POINT-SIX
- 22 PERCENT RECYCLING IN 1990, AND NOW IT'S AT 89
- 23 PERCENT. THAT'S A HUGE NUMBER.
- 24 AND THERE ARE MESSAGES THAT COME
- 25 OUT OF THIS BODY, YOU KNOW, THAT GO THROUGHOUT

- 1 ALL OF THE HINTERLANDS, THROUGHOUT THE STATE,
- 2 AND WE -- I THINK WE NEED TO CONTINUE TO HOLD
- 3 PEOPLE TO A FAIRNESS.
- 4 I MADE THE MOTION. I MEAN, I DON'T
- 5 HAVE ANY PROBLEM WITH WHAT YOU'RE DOING. BUT I
- 6 THINK THAT IF WE ABANDON ALL OF THE PROGRAMS
- 7 WHICH ARE NOT -- THEN WE'VE DONE A DISSERVICE
- 8 TO AB 939, AND TO THE COMMITMENT OF CITIES AND
- 9 COUNTIES.
- 10 YOU GUYS ARE DOING IT THE RIGHT WAY
- 11 IT SOUNDS LIKE, AND THAT'S IMPORTANT TO GET ON
- 12 THE RECORD SO THAT PEOPLE DON'T UNDERSTAND --
- 13 OR, SO THAT PEOPLE DO UNDERSTAND THAT THIS
- 14 ISN'T JUST A NUMBERS GAME, YOU KNOW, IT IS A
- 15 PROGRAM ON HOW WE CHANGE PEOPLE'S HABITS AND
- 16 HOW WE CHANGE THE WAY WE DEAL WITH WASTE. SO I
- 17 APPRECIATE YOUR COMMENTS, AND I WOULD HOPE YOU
- 18 WOULD APPRECIATE ALL OF OURS --
- MR. PRIETO: WE UNDERSTAND THAT, WHICH
- 20 IS WHY I WENT THROUGH SO MUCH TROUBLE TO ASSIST
- 21 YOUR STAFF IN DOCUMENTING THIS. WE TOTALLY
- 22 UNDERSTAND THAT.
- 23 MEMBER JONES: RIGHT. THANK YOU.
- 24 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: VERY GOOD. ANY
- 25 OTHER DISCUSSION HERE?

- 1 IF NOT -- LET'S SEE, MR. JONES
- 2 MOVED, AND MR. RHOADS SECONDED THE ADOPTION OF
- 3 THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTIONS: 98-395, 98-396, 98-397,
- 4 98-398, 98-399, 98-400, 98-401, 98-402. IF THERE'S NO
- 5 FURTHER DISCUSSION, WILL THE SECRETARY CALL THE
- 6 ROLL?
- 7 THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER EATON?
- 8 MEMBER EATON: AYE.
- 9 THE SECRETARY: FRAZEE?
- 10 MEMBER FRAZEE: AYE.
- 11 THE SECRETARY: JONES?
- 12 MEMBER JONES: AYE.
- 13 THE SECRETARY: RHOADS?
- 14 MEMBER RHOADS: AYE.
- THE SECRETARY: ROBERTI?
- 16 MEMBER ROBERTI: AYE.
- 17 THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON?
- 18 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: AYE.
- 19 MOTION CARRIES.
- 20 A 10-MINUTE BREAK, WE'LL BE BACK AT
- 21 3:00.
- 22 (OFF THE RECORD.)
- 23 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY, LET'S GO
- 24 BACK TO WORK. WE'LL NOW MOVE TO ITEM NUMBER
- 25 19, WHICH IS CONSIDERATION OF APPROVAL OF THE

- 1 RECYCLING MARKET DEVELOPMENT LOAN PROGRAM
- 2 APPLICATIONS FOR PRINCESS PAPER, INC., POLY-TAINER,
- 3 AND OAK PAPER PRODUCTS, INC. CAREN TRGOVCICH.
- 4 MS. TRGOVCICH: GOOD AFTERNOON CHAIRMAN
- 5 PENNINGTON AND MEMBERS.
- 6 THE ITEM THAT IS BEING PRESENTED TO
- 7 YOU TODAY ARE THREE LOANS. IT HAS BEEN SEVERAL
- 8 MONTHS SINCE WE HAVE BEEN BEFORE YOU PRESENTING
- 9 LOANS, AND I WOULD LIKE TO TAKE A FEW MINUTES
- 10 TO PROVIDE A BRIEF OVERVIEW OF THE LOAN PROGRAM
- 11 FOR THE BENEFIT OF OUR NEW MEMBER, SENATOR
- 12 ROBERTI, AS WELL AS MR. RHOADS FOR AS SOON AS
- 13 HE RETURNS.
- 14 JUST VERY BRIEFLY, THE PURPOSE OF
- 15 THE PROGRAM IS ESSENTIALLY TO ASSIST CITIES AND
- 16 COUNTIES IN MEETING THE 50 PERCENT DIVERSION
- 17 MANDATE. SO FUNDAMENTALLY THIS PROGRAM IS A
- 18 DIVERSION-ORIENTED PROGRAM, ALTHOUGH WE
- 19 DEFINITELY SEE RESULTS IN TERMS OF ECONOMIC
- 20 DEVELOPMENT THROUGH JOB CREATION, AS WELL AS
- 21 ENHANCEMENT AND INCREASE IN THE LOCAL TAX BASE.
- 22 THE PROGRAM RECEIVES AN ANNUAL
- 23 TRANSFER FROM THE IWMA ACCOUNT IN TO THE RMDZ
- 24 SUBACCOUNT OF \$5 MILLION PER YEAR THROUGH
- 25 FISCAL YEAR '9 9-2000. COMMENCING WITH FISCAL

- 1 YEAR 2000 AND 2001 THE PROGRAM WILL NEED TO
- 2 JUSTIFY TO THE LEGISLATURE THROUGH THE ANNUAL
- 3 BUDGET CYCLE WHAT THE PROGRAM NEEDS ARE UP TO
- 4 \$5 MILLION.
- 5 50, SINCE WE HAVE COMPLETED THE
- 6 GALLEYS AND THE INFORMATION TO BE INCLUDED IN
- 7 THE GOVERNORS BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR '99-00,
- 8 IN SEVERAL MONTHS DOWN THE ROAD WE WILL BEGIN
- 9 THE PROCESS OF BEGINNING TO DEVELOP WHAT THE
- 10 PROGRAM NEEDS WILL BE. AND THIS WILL BE THE
- 11 FIRST TIME THAT WE WILL BE DOING THIS.
- 12 THE PROGRAM BECAME OPERATIONAL IN
- 13 FISCAL YEAR '92-93, JUST FOR THE LATTER PART OF
- 14 THAT YEAR. TO DATE WE'VE SEEN 66 LOANS THAT
- 15 HAVE BEEN FUNDED FOR A TOTAL OF APPROXIMATELY
- 16 \$29 MILLION.
- 17 MANY OF YOU WILL REMEMBER THAT WE
- 18 COMPLETED A LOAN SALE SEVERAL YEARS AGO, IT WAS
- 19 HISTORICAL IN THE SENSE THAT NO OTHER STATE
- 20 AGENCY HAD COMPLETED SUCH A SALE. SO OF THOSE
- 21 66 LOANS, 17 OF THOSE WERE SOLD FOR A TOTAL OF
- 22 \$7 MILLION.
- 23 WHAT WE ALSO HAVE TO REPORT TO YOU
- 24 IS THAT THERE HAVE BEEN SIX LOANS PAID OFF. SO
- 25 OUT OF THE ORIGINAL 66 WE HAVE SEEN SIX LOANS

- 1 PAID OFF, FOR A TOTAL OF A LITTLE MORE THAN \$1
- 2 MILLION.
- 3 50, THAT'S A SUMMARY OF THE FISCAL
- 4 ASPECTS OF THE LOAN PROGRAM. NOW TO JUST
- 5 BRIEFLY TOUCH ON THE TYPES OF PROJECTS.
- 6 AS MANY OF YOU ARE AWARE, THIS
- 7 PROGRAM IS FOCUSED ON DIVERSION SO THE GOALS OF
- 8 THE PROGRAM ARE TO ASSIST FUNDING PROJECTS THAT
- 9 WILL ENHANCE SOURCE REDUCTION, REUSE,
- 10 RECYCLING, AND SOME VERY LIMITED TRANSFORMATION
- 11 PROJECTS.
- 12 EACH YEAR THIS BOARD CONSIDERS THE
- 13 ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA AND ESTABLISHES IT FOR THE
- 14 NEW FISCAL YEAR. YOU WILL BE SEEING THE NEW
- 15 SET OF ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA COMING FORWARD
- 16 SOMETIME IN THE LATTER PART OF THE SPRING, AND
- 17 YOU WILL HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO REVISIT
- 18 CRITERIA THAT'S BEEN IN PLACE FOR THE PAST YEAR
- 19 AND MAKE ANY CHANGES THAT YOU WOULD LIKE TO
- 20 SEE.
- 21 WE RECEIVE APPLICATIONS ON A
- 22 CONTINUOUS BASIS, AND STAFF EVALUATE THEM AND
- 23 DO THE CREDIT HISTORIES ON A CONTINUOUS BASIS.
- 24 THE BOARD ESTABLISHED A LOAN
- 25 COMMITTEE. THE LOAN COMMITTEE IS A NINE-MEMBER

- 1 BODY WITH THE MEMBERS APPOINTED BY THIS BOARD.
- 2 THAT COMMITTEE MEETS MONTHLY. THEY REVIEW THE
- 3 APPLICATIONS AND MAKE CHANGES AS IT RELATES TO
- 4 THE CREDIT ASPECTS OF THE BORROWERS HISTORY.
- 5 WHAT YOU AS A BOARD SEE, AND WHAT
- 6 YOU WILL BE SEEING SHORTLY IN TERMS OF THE
- 7 THREE APPLICATIONS THAT WE ARE BRINGING FORWARD
- 8 IS THE COMBINATION OF THAT CREDIT HISTORY,
- 9 WHICH IS CONSIDERED TO BE CONFIDENTIAL AND SO
- 10 THAT INFORMATION IS EXCLUDED FROM THE PACKET
- 11 ITEM, ALONG WITH THE PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND
- 12 ELIGIBILITY DETERMINATIONS.
- 13 THERE WILL BE THREE LOANS PRESENTED
- 14 TODAY, AND IF APPROVED, THESE THREE LOANS WOULD
- 15 REPRESENT OVER TWO AND A HALF MILLION DOLLARS
- 16 IN FUNDING.
- 17 AND, WITH THAT, I'D LIKE TO TURN TO
- 18 THE FIRST LOAN. I'M NOT QUITE SURE WHAT ORDER
- 19 WE'RE TAKING THESE IN, SO RAISE YOUR HAND.
- 20 DOESN'T MATTER? WE'LL START HERE.
- 21 WE'LL START WITH JEFF INGLES, WHO
- 22 WILL BE PRESENTING THE PRINCESS PAPER LOAN.
- MR. INGLES: GOOD AFTERNOON CHAIRMAN
- 24 PENNINGTON, BOARD MEMBERS, BOARD STAFF AND
- 25 GENERAL AUDIENCE. I AM PLEASED TO PRESENT THE

- 1 FIRST OF THREE LOANS TO -- OF THE RMDZ LOAN
- 2 PROGRAM FOR PRINCESS PAPER, INCORPORATED.
- 3 IN ATTENDANCE TODAY IS MR. ABRAHAM
- 4 HAKIMI, PRESIDENT OF PRINCESS PAPER. HE'S
- 5 ATTENDING, AND HE'S AVAILABLE TO ANSWER ANY
- 6 QUESTIONS YOU MAY HAVE OF HIM.
- 7 ON DECEMBER 10TH, 1998, THE LOAN
- 8 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED FOR APPROVAL ALL THREE
- 9 LOANS TO THE BOARD. THIS ISA--PRINCESS
- 10 PAPER IS OUR BORROWER, PRINCESS PAPER,
- 11 INCORPORATED. THE RECYCLING MARKET DEVELOPMENT
- 12 ONE IS IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY. THE LOAN AMOUNT
- 13 IS 685,000. THE PURPOSE OF THIS LOAN IS FOR
- 14 PURCHASE OF NEW EQUIPMENT TO EXPAND OPERATIONS.
- 15 THE PROJECTED INCREASED DIVERSION FOR THIS
- 16 PARTICULAR PROJECT IS 850 TONS PER YEAR.
- 17 PRINCESS PAPER IS A START-UP
- 18 COMPANY, IT STARTED IN JANUARY OF 1998, WITH
- 19 OPERATIONS BEGINNING IN MAY OF '98, PRODUCTION
- 20 OPERATIONS IN MAY OF 1998.
- 21 THE PROJECT IS ELIGIBLE UNDER THE
- 22 RECYCLING ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA, I.E. PRODUCING
- 23 A FINISHED PRODUCT WITH POST-CONSUMER PAPER.
- 24 THE PRINCESS COMPANY CURRENTLY HAS
- 25 FIVE EMPLOYEES ON STAFF TO PRODUCE THE

- 1 PRODUCTS, WITH AN ESTIMATED ADDITIONAL INCREASE
- 2 OF 17 EMPLOYEES AS PRODUCTION INCREASES WITH
- 3 THE NEW PRODUCTS THAT THEY'RE ANTICIPATING ON
- 4 WITH THE LOAN IF IT'S GRANTED.
- 5 THERE HAVE BEEN SOME ISSUES
- 6 REGARDING THE -- CONCERNS REGARDING THE FEED
- 7 STOCK, LINGERING ISSUES REGARDING THE FEED
- 8 STOCK, AND WE'VE DETERMINED THAT 50 PERCENT OF
- 9 THE FEED STOCK THAT PRINCESS PAPER IS
- 10 PURCHASING COMES FROM MILLS IN ARIZONA, AND OF
- 11 THAT PAPER THAT'S PURCHASED -- THERE'S
- 12 CURRENTLY NO MILLS IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
- 13 THAT CAN PROVIDE PRINCESS WITH THE FEED STOCK
- 14 NECESSARY TO DO THEIR OPERATIONS.
- 15 AGAIN, PRINCESS PAPER IS PRODUCING
- 16 A FEW PRODUCTS, A BATHROOM TISSUE PRODUCT AND
- 17 TOWEL LINE, AND WITH THIS NEW LOAN REQUEST WILL
- 18 PRODUCE BOTH TABLE NAPKINS AND FACIAL TISSUE.
- 19 UNDER THE GUIDELINES OF THE FEDERAL
- 20 GUIDELINES THERE'S CERTAIN FEED STOCK -- EXCUSE
- 21 ME, NOT FEED STOCK, BUT CERTAIN PERCENTAGES OF
- 22 RECYCLE CONTENT AND PRINCESS DOES MEET THOSE
- 23 GUIDELINES IN TERMS OF THE INFORMATION WE HAVE
- 24 RECEIVED.
- 25 MS. TRGOVCICH: JUST FOR BENEFIT OF THE

- 1 MEMBERS, THOSE U.S. EPA GUIDELINES PERTAIN TO,
- 2 ONE, THE RECOVERED CONTENT, SO WHAT IS THE
- 3 OVERALL RECYCLED CONTENT OF THE PAPER THAT'S
- 4 BEING PRODUCED. AND IN OUR CASE, WE'RE TALKING
- 5 HERE ABOUT BATHROOM TISSUE, PAPER TOWELS,
- 6 NAPKINS, ET CETERA. AND THEN WITHIN THAT
- 7 OVERALL MINIMUM CONTENT RATE, WHAT IS THE
- 8 POST-CONSUMER CONTENT.
- 9 50 MANY OF THESE BUSINESS WILL
- 10 OBTAIN THEIR FEED STOCK FROM A POST-INDUSTRIAL
- 11 SOURCE. SO WE DON'T NECESSARILY CALL IT
- 12 POST-CONSUMER. SO THE EPA GUIDELINES ESTABLISH
- 13 WHAT THE POST-CONSUMER CONTENT IS, AS WELL.
- 14 AND PRINCESS PAPER, FOR EACH OF THE
- 15 CATEGORIES, FALLS WITHIN THE RANGE SPECIFIED IN
- 16 THE EPA GUIDELINES.
- 17 MR. INGLES: AND IF YOU HAVE ANY
- 18 QUESTIONS AT THIS POINT I'D BE HAPPY TO
- 19 ENTERTAIN THOSE.
- 20 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: QUESTIONS? MR.
- 21 RHOADS.
- 22 MEMBER RHOADS: DO YOU HAVE ANY
- 23 QUESTIONS. I'D BE VERY HAPPY TO MOVE THIS
- 24 ITEM.
- 25 BUT I THINK IT WOULD BE APPROPRIATE

- 1 FOR. -- I'M SORRY, I DON'T REMEMBER HIS NAME,
- 2 FROM--
- 3 MR. INGLES: MR. ABRAHAM HAKIMI.
- 4 MEMBER RHOADS: MAYBE HE WOULD LIKE TO
- 5 COME FORWARD AND SAY A FEW WORDS TO THE BOARD?
- 6 MR. HAKIMI: GOOD AFTERNOON. WHAT
- 7 SHOULD I SAY?
- 8 MEMBER RHOADS: WELL, I'D BE VERY
- 9 INTERESTED ON THE SUCCESSES YOU'VE HAD, THE
- 10 RECYCLING MARKET, LESSONS FOR OTHER COMPANIES,
- 11 THINGS YOU'D LIKE TO SEE.
- MR. HAKIMI: WE FORMED THE COMPANY
- 13 BASED ON THE PRECEDENT THAT WE WILL BE USING
- 14 CONSUMABLE AND REUSABLE PRODUCTS TO GO TO --
- 15 PRESENT TO OUR MARKET. OUR MARKET IS A RETAIL
- 16 MARKET, YOUR SUPERMARKETS AND GENERAL
- 17 MERCHANDISE.
- 18 OUR RESEARCH DID SHOW, PRIOR TO
- 19 START-UP, THAT THE BUYERS FOR THESE BUSINESSES
- 20 DO REQUIRE TO SEE RECYCLED MATERIAL TO BE
- 21 PRESENTED TO THEM RATHER THAN VIRGIN MATERIAL.
- 22 ONE OF THE BEST EXAMPLES IS A COMPANY CALLED
- 23 NATURE'S BEST.
- 24 MEMBER RHOADS: SO ARE YOU SEEING AN
- 25 INCREASED DEMAND FOR RECYCLED GOODS?

- 1 MR. HAKIMI: YES. YES. WE HAVE SEEN,
- 2 AS WE'VE GONE FOR THE PAST EIGHT MONTHS THAT
- 3 WE'VE BEEN IN PRODUCTION, OUR USAGE FOR
- 4 RECYCLED MATERIAL HAS GONE UP EVERY MONTH. AND
- 5 WITH THE ADDITION OF THE NEW EQUIPMENT WE
- 6 ACTUALLY WILL BE MOVING TO A WHOLE NEW BALL
- 7 GAME, MORE THAN DOUBLING OUR PRODUCTION.
- 8 MEMBER RHOADS: ASIDE FROM APPROVING
- 9 THIS LOAN, IS THERE ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU'D
- 10 LIKE TO SEE THE BOARD...?
- 11 MR. HAKIMI: NOT REALLY.
- 12 MEMBER EATON: INVITE THEM TO TULARE
- 13 COUNTY.
- 14 MEMBER RHOADS: WE'RE VERY, VERY HAPPY
- 15 THAT YOUR COMPANY IS DOING SO WELL.
- MR. HAKIMI: THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
- 17 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: THANK YOU.
- 18 OKAY. ANY OTHER QUESTIONS, OR --
- 19 MEMBER JONES: JUST ONE QUICK ONE, AND YOU
- 20 MAY HAVE ANSWERED IT, I WAS....
- 21 WHEN YOUR MATERIAL IS PACKAGED DOES
- 22 IT SAY 100 PERCENT, 50 PERCENT, WHATEVER
- 23 POST-CONSUMER-- I MEAN, IS IT-
- MR. HAKIMI: IS IT -- IT IS.
- 25 MEMBER JONES: YOU'RE NOT ONE OF THOSE

- 1 PEOPLE THAT USES RECYCLED CONTENT BUT DOESN'T
- 2 ADVERTISE IT.
- 3 MR. HAKIMI: NO, WE DO ADVERTISE IT AS
- 4 RECYCLED MATERIAL, 100 PERCENT.
- 5 MEMBER JONES: VERY GOOD.
- 6 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. THANK YOU
- 7 VERY MUCH.
- 8 NEXT, SINCE THIS IS ALL ONE
- 9 RESOLUTION--
- 10 MS. TRGOVCICH: THE NEXT
- 11 LOAN WILL BE PRESENTED BY DON TSUKIMURA, AND IT
- 12 IS FOR POLY-TAINER CORPORATION.
- MR. TSUKIMURA: GOOD AFTERNOON MR.
- 14 CHAIRMAN, BOARD MEMBERS. IT'S MY PLEASURE TO
- 15 PRESENT POLY-TAINER, INC., FOR YOUR
- 16 CONSIDERATION AND APPROVAL OF A RMDZ LOAN.
- 17 JUST A REAL BRIEF HISTORY. POLY-TAINER, INC.,
- 18 IS A CLOSELY-HELD, FAMILY-OWNED
- 19 CORPORATION LOCATED IN THE VENTURA RMDZ. IT
- 20 WAS STARTED ABOUT 27 YEARS AGO, IN 1971, AS A
- 21 VERY SMALL BUSINESS MANUFACTURING SUCH ITEMS AS
- 22 TONER BOTTLES FOR COPY MACHINES, TOYS, AND
- 23 GENERAL INDUSTRIAL PARTS.
- 24 IT HAS GROWN SINCE, AND IN 1981 THE
- 25 OWNERS OF THE COMPANY, PAUL AND STEPHANIE

- 1 STRONG, PURCHASED THE EXISTING FACILITY WHICH
- 2 THEY ARE HOUSED IN, IN SIMI VALLEY, AND HAS
- 3 LEASED IT TO POLY-TAINER, INC., THEIR
- 4 CORPORATION.
- 5 EXPANSION HAS CONTINUED OVER THE
- 6 NEXT SEVERAL YEARS, WITH A GREATER EMPHASIS ON
- 7 THE PLASTIC BOTTLE MARKET. AND POLY-TAINER HAS
- 8 QUICKLY BECOME A WELL KNOWN AND RESPECTED
- 9 QUALITY PRODUCER OF PLASTIC BOTTLES IN THE LOS
- 10 ANGELES AREA. SOME OF THE MAJOR CUSTOMERS
- 11 INCLUDE SEBASTIAN COSMETICS, REDKIN, AND
- 12 DUNCAN ENTERPRISES.
- 13 IN ORDER TO OPERATE MORE
- 14 EFFICIENTLY IT HAS BECOME NECESSARY TO MOVE TO
- 15 A LARGER FACILITY TO HOUSE THEIR VARIOUS
- 16 DEPARTMENTS WHICH ARE CURRENTLY LOCATED AT
- 17 DIFFERENT SITES. AND POLY-TAINER HAS
- 18 APPROACHED US FOR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE TO
- 19 ACHIEVE THAT GOAL AND PURCHASE AN EXISTING
- 20 94,000 SQUARE FOOT COMMERCIAL BUILDING 5.2 ACRES,
- 21 WHICH IS ALSO LOCATED IN SIMI
- 22 VALLEY, NOT TOO FAR AWAY FROM THE PRESENT SITE.
- 23 ALSO TO MAKE IMPROVEMENTS, AND
- 24 PURCHASE ADDITIONAL EQUIPMENT.
- 25 THE FULL LOAN AMOUNT REQUESTED IS

- 1 \$1 MILLION.
- 2 THEIR PROJECT ELIGIBILITY IS UNDER
- 3 THE CATEGORY OF RECYCLING MANUFACTURER. THEY
- 4 PRODUCED A FINISHED PRODUCT WITH RECYCLED
- 5 CONTENT PLASTIC. THE PRODUCTS ARE SMALL
- 6 PLASTIC BOTTLES FOR THE COSMETIC AND TOILETRY
- 7 INDUSTRY.
- 8 THE AVERAGE RECYCLED CONTENT IS 60
- 9 PERCENT VIRGIN MATERIAL AND 40 PERCENT
- 10 RECYCLED. THEIR MAJOR FEED STOCK SOURCES
- 11 INCLUDE TALCO PLASTICS, OCCIDENTAL
- 12 CORPORATION, KEY SOURCE, AND SOLEVEY POLYMER
- 13 CURRENT DIVERSION RATE IS 50 TONS OF POST-CONSUMER
- 14 AND 250 TONS OF POST-INDUSTRIAL. THIS RATE IS
- 15 PROJECTED TO INCREASE TO 75 TONS POST-
- 16 CONSUMER AND 625 TONS POST-INDUSTRIAL.
- 17 AND FROM THE ECONOMIC SIDE, 30 NEW
- 18 JOB POSITIONS WILL BE CREATED BRINGING THE
- 19 TOTAL WORK FORCE TO 255.
- 20 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: QUESTIONS ON THIS
- 21 ONE?
- 22 OKAY. WE'LL MOVE ON TO OAK PAPER
- 23 PRODUCTS.
- MS. TRGOVCICH: THE FINAL, ON OAK PAPER
- 25 PRODUCTS, WILL BE PRESENTED BY SCOTT DELHOTAL.

- 1 MR. DELHOTAL: MR. CHAIRMAN AND BOARD
- 2 MEMBERS, I'M PROUD TO PRESENT A SECOND LOAN TO
- 3 OAK PAPER PRODUCTS COMPANY, DOING BUSINESS AS
- 4 ACORN PAPER PRODUCTS COMPANY.
- 5 WE MADE A LOAN TO THEM FOR \$1
- 6 MILLION IN '96, IT'S BEEN PAYING AS AGREED,
- 7 PRESENTLY PAID DOWN TO ABOUT \$750,000. THEY'VE
- 8 COME BACK FOR ADDITIONAL MONIES FOR EXPANSION,
- 9 THEY'RE REQUESTING \$900,000 FOR ADDITIONAL
- 10 EQUIPMENT AND WORKING CAPITAL.
- 11 THEY'RE LOCATED IN THE CITY OF LOS
- 12 ANGELES RMDZ.
- 13 WE'RE LOOKING AT A SEVEN-YEAR LOAN.
- 14 THEY PROJECT AN INCREASE IN
- 15 DIVERSION OF ABOUT 7100 TONS AND 11 NEW JOBS TO
- 16 BE CREATED.
- 17 THE EQUIPMENT WILL HELP TO IMPROVE
- 18 THEIR PRODUCTION CAPABILITIES, EFFICIENCY,
- 19 SAFETY STANDARDS AND EARNINGS, WHILE ADDING
- 20 ADDITIONAL JOB FOR THE COMPANY THAT USES
- 21 RECYCLABLE MATERIALS IN ITS MANUFACTURING
- 22 PROCESS.
- 23 IT'S BEEN A COMPANY THAT'S BEEN
- 24 AROUND SINCE '46, WITH THE PRESENT MANAGEMENT
- 25 HAVING BEEN AROUND SINCE THE MID '70S, SO IT'S

- 1 A LONG-TIME FAMILY BUSINESS. IT'S BEEN VERY
- 2 SUCCESSFUL.
- 3 THE COMPANY MANUFACTURES AND
- 4 DISTRIBUTES OVER 1600 SIZES OF CORRUGATED STOCK
- 5 BOXES FOR IMMEDIATE DELIVERY, AND SUPPLY
- 6 CUSTOM-MADE-TO-ORDER STYLES AND SIZES. IT'S A
- 7 FULL-SERVICE PACKAGING HOUSE FEATURING
- 8 EVERYTHING FROM LARGE-SCALE INDUSTRIAL CARTONS
- 9 TO MULTI-COLOR, FANCY PRINT DISPLAYS FOR RETAIL
- 10 MERCHANDIZING. IT MAINTAINS ONE OF THE LARGEST
- 11 INVENTORIES OF CORRUGATED BOXES FOR RESALE IN THE
- 12 SOUTHERN
- 13 CALIFORNIA MARKET.
- 14 IS THERE ANY QUESTIONS THAT THE
- 15 BOARD MEMBERS MAY HAVE ON THIS?
- 16 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: QUESTIONS?
- 17 MEMBER JONES: MR. CHAIRMAN?
- 18 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: YES, MR. JONES.
- 19 MEMBER JONES: JUST A COUPLE. WHEN I
- 20 HAD MY BRIEFING WE WERE TALKING ABOUT THEY'RE
- 21 PUTTING UP A BUNCH OF THEIR OWN MONEY TO DO
- 22 THIS AT THE SAME TIME. RIGHT?
- MR. DELHOTAL: YES.
- 24 MEMBER JONES: SO WHEN I SEE A NEW
- 25 BAILER SYSTEM FOR 135,000 I CAN ASSUME THAT'S

- 1 BEING PAID FOR ON THEIR NICKEL --
- 2 MR. DELHOTAL: EXACTLY.
- 3 MEMBER JONES: -- AND NOT OURS?
- 4 MR. DELHOTAL: ON THEIR NICKEL, OR BY A
- 5 COMMERCIAL BANK -
- 6 MEMBER JONES: BECAUSE I THINK IT'S --
- 7 AS WE TALKED ABOUT IN OUR -- IN THE BRIEFING,
- 8 YOU KNOW, MAKING THEM MORE EFFICIENT --
- 9 MR. DELHOTAL: EXACTLY.
- 10 MEMBER JONES: --FOR THE COLLECTION
- 11 AND--
- MR. DELHOTAL: YEAH.
- 13 MEMBER JONES: -- AND COMPACTION OF
- 14 WASTE THAT THEY CREATE INTERNALLY ISN'T WHAT
- 15 THIS WAS INTENDED --
- MR. DELHOTAL: YEAH, I KNOW.
- 17 MEMBER JONES: -- WHAT THIS PROGRAM WAS
- 18 INTENDED FOR.
- 19 MR. DELHOTAL: THE BAILER SYSTEM WAS
- 20 ABOUT 135,000 AND THE WHOLE REQUEST FOR
- 21 EQUIPMENT'S ABOUT A MILLION-FOUR.
- 22 MEMBER JONES: RIGHT.
- MR. DELHOTAL: SO THAT'S -- IT'S EASILY --
- 24 MEMBER JONES: SO WE'LL SAY --
- MR. DELHOTAL: YEAH, EXACTLY.

- 1 ANY FURTHER QUESTIONS?
- 2 MEMBER RHOADS: I GUESS I HAVE ONE.
- 3 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: MR. RHOADS.
- 4 MR. DELHOTAL: SURE.
- 5 MEMBER RHOADS: I CAN'T REMEMBER, BUT
- 6 IN MY BRIEFING WAS I TOLD THAT YOU WOULD BE
- 7 LEAVING US SOON?
- 8 MR. DELHOTAL: NO, BECAUSE I PROBABLY
- 9 WASN'T AT YOUR BRIEFING, AND I APOLOGIZE FOR
- 10 THAT. BUT, YES, I'LL BE HEADING OUT OF HERE,
- 11 TODAY IS ACTUALLY MY LAST DAY.
- 12 MEMBER RHOADS: THAT'S A SHAME.
- MR. DELHOTAL: YEAH, I'M GOING TO MISS
- 14 IT. JUST HAD AN OFFER FROM AN OLD BOSS THAT I
- 15 COULDN'T PASS UP. I APPRECIATE ALL THE HELP
- 16 AND SUPPORT THAT'S BEEN PROVIDED ME SINCE I'VE
- 17 BEEN HERE. THANKS.
- 18 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: WELL, WE'LL MISS
- 19 YOU.
- 20 OKAY. I'LL ENTERTAIN A MOTION.
- 21 SENATOR ROBERTI: I'LL MOVE.
- 22 MEMBER RHOADS: I'LL SECOND.
- 23 I'VE BEEN DOING TOO MUCH TALKING
- 24 TODAY, I SHOULD NOT DO THIS. BUT THIS MIGHT BE
- 25 ONE OF THOSE ITEMS WHERE WE MAY ALSO WANT TO

- 1 CONTACT THE LEGISLATORS AND LET THEM KNOW ABOUT
- 2 THE--
- 3 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: THAT'S A FINE
- 4 IDEA FOR ME.
- 5 OKAY. SENATOR ROBERTI MOVES
- 6 ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION 98-3 82, SECONDED BY MR.
- 7 RHOADS.
- 8 IF THERE'S NO FURTHER DISCUSSION,
- 9 WILL THE SECRETARY CALL THE ROLL?
- THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER EATON?
- 11 MEMBER EATON: AYE.
- 12 THE SECRETARY: FRAZEE?
- 13 MEMBER FRAZEE: AYE.
- 14 THE SECRETARY: JONES?
- 15 MEMBER JONES: AYE.
- 16 THE SECRETARY: RHOADS?
- 17 MEMBER RHOADS: AYE.
- 18 THE SECRETARY: ROBERTI?
- 19 MEMBER ROBERTI: AYE.
- THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON?
- 21 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: AYE.
- 22 MOTION CARRIES.
- 23 OKAY. NOW WE'LL MOVE TO ITEM
- 24 NUMBER NINE, CONSIDERATION OF STAFF
- 25 RECOMMENDATION ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE FINAL

- 1 SITING ELEMENT, SUMMARY PLAN AND THE COUNTYWIDE
- 2 INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR LAKE
- 3 COUNTY.
- 4 MS. FRIEDMAN: REMEMBER ME?
- 5 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: WE REMEMBER YOU
- 6 WELL. YOU TOO, PAT.
- 7 MS. FRIEDMAN: GOOD AFTERNOON, BOARD
- 8 MEMBERS. ILA LEWIS WILL BE MAKING THE
- 9 PRESENTATION FOR STAFF, AND THIS IS ILA'S FIRST
- 10 TIME.
- 11 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: VERY GOOD.
- 12 WELCOME.
- MS. LEWIS: GOOD AFTERNOON MR. CHAIRMAN
- 14 AND BOARD MEMBERS. MY NAME IS ILA LEWIS, OF
- 15 THE OFFICE OF LOCAL ASSISTANCE.
- 16 THE ITEM BEFORE YOU IS THE ADEQUACY
- 17 OF THE SITING ELEMENT SUMMARY PLAN AND
- 18 COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT PLAN
- 19 FOR LAKE COUNTY.
- 20 IN JULY OF 1998, LAKE COUNTY
- 21 SUBMITTED THEIR SITING ELEMENT AND SUMMARY PLAN
- 22 TO THE BOARD FOR APPROVAL. TO HIGHLIGHT THE
- 23 SITING ELEMENT, THE EASTLAKE SANITARY LANDFILL
- 24 IS EXPANDING BY THE ADJACENT CANYON WITHIN THE
- 25 EXISTING WASTE MANAGEMENT UNIT TO MEET THE

- 1 15-YEAR LANDFILL CAPACITY REQUIREMENT.
- 2 IT IS PERMITTED TO RECEIVE
- 3 CONSTRUCTION DEBRIS AND NONHAZARDOUS WASTE. IT
- 4 ALLOWS SCAVENGING AND ACCEPTS DISPOSAL OF DEAD
- 5 ANIMALS. THE LAKEPORT TRANSFER STATION
- 6 TRANSPORTS ITS MATERIAL TO EASTLAKE SANITARY
- 7 LANDFILL.
- 8 IN RESPONSE TO THE BOARD'S COMMENTS
- 9 TO THE PRELIMINARY SITING ELEMENT THE FOLLOWING
- 10 CORRECTIONS WERE MADE: AN IMPLEMENTATION
- 11 SCHEDULE, CURRENT DISPOSAL CAPACITY, AND MORE
- 12 DISCUSSION OF THE EXPANSION FOR THE EXISTING
- 13 EASTLAKE SANITARY LANDFILL WERE PROVIDED; THE
- 14 COUNTY IS CURRENTLY IN THE PERMITTING PROCESS
- 15 FOR THE SUBTITLE D LINED EXPANSION.
- 16 THE SUMMARY PLAN INDICATES THAT THE
- 17 CITIES AND COUNTIES -- THE COUNTY WILL
- 18 IMPLEMENT AN INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT
- 19 SYSTEM TO INCLUDE SOURCE REDUCTION, RECYCLING,
- 20 COMPOSTING, AND SPECIAL WASTE PROGRAMS TO
- 21 ENSURE 50 PERCENT BY YEAR 2000. AN
- 22 IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE INDICATING MILESTONES
- 23 FOR EACH TASK IS PROVIDED.
- 24 IN RESPONSE TO THE BOARD'S COMMENTS
- 25 ON THE PRELIMINARY SUMMARY PLAN, THE FOLLOWING

- 1 COMMENTS AND CORRECTIONS WERE MADE: THE BOARD
- 2 HAS GRANTED APPROVAL ON ALL THE REQUIRED SRRES,
- 3 HHWES, AND NDFES, THEREFORE, ADDITIONAL COPIES
- 4 ARE NOT NECESSARY; NEW MAPS OF ALL PERMITTED
- 5 SOLID WASTE FACILITIES COUNTYWIDE HAVE BEEN
- 6 INCLUDED; AND, A MRF IS NO LONGER BEING
- 7 CONSIDERED, THEREFORE, THERE IS NO ALLOCATION
- 8 OF REVENUES OR FUNDING SOURCES NECESSARY FOR
- 9 THE MRF.
- 10 WITH THE SUBMITTAL OF THE SUMMARY
- 11 PLAN AND SITING ELEMENT LAKE COUNTY WILL HAVE
- 12 COMPLETED THE REQUIREMENTS FOR A COUNTYWIDE
- 13 INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT PLAN.
- 14 STAFF RECOMMENDS APPROVAL OF THE
- 15 LAKE COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
- 16 MANAGEMENT PLAN.
- 17 THIS CONCLUDES MY PRESENTATION.
- 18 THANK YOU.
- 19 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. THANK YOU.
- 20 QUESTIONS?
- 21 MEMBER JONES: MR. CHAIRMAN?
- 22 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: MR. JONES.
- 23 MEMBER JONES: I'LL MOVE RESOLUTION
- 24 NUMBER 98-325, THE CONSIDERATION OF THE
- 25 APPROVAL OF THE COUNTY SITING ELEMENT FOR LAKE

- 1 COUNTY.
- 2 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: WOULD YOU LIKE TO
- 3 WRAP ALL THREE OF THEM IN ONE MOTION?
- 4 MEMBER JONES: AND I WOULD ALSO, IN
- 5 THAT-- CAN I DO THAT?
- 6 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: SURE, YOU CAN PUT ALL
- 7 THREE IN.
- 8 MEMBER JONES: ALL RIGHT. I'D ALSO
- 9 LIKE TO INCLUDE RESOLUTION 98-326,
- 10 CONSIDERATION OF THE APPROVAL OF THE COUNTY
- 11 SUMMARY PLAN FOR LAKE COUNTY.
- 12 AND IN THAT SAME MOTION I'LL ADD
- 13 RESOLUTION 98-327, THE APPROVAL OF THE
- 14 COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT PLAN
- 15 FOR LAKE COUNTY.
- 16 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY.
- 17 MEMBER FRAZEE: I'LL SECOND.
- 18 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. MR. FRAZEE
- 19 SECONDS. OKAY. MR. JONES MOVES ADOPTION, AND
- 20 MR. FRAZEE SECONDS, THE ADOPTION OF RESOLUTIONS
- 21 NUMBER 98-325, 98-326, AND 98-327.
- 22 IF THERE IS NO FURTHER DISCUSSION,
- 23 WILL THE SECRETARY CALL THE ROLL, PLEASE?
- 24 THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER EATON?
- 25 MEMBER EATON: AYE.

- 1 THE SECRETARY: FRAZEE?
- 2 MEMBER FRAZEE: AYE.
- 3 THE SECRETARY: JONES?
- 4 MEMBER JONES: AYE.
- 5 THE SECRETARY: RHOADS?
- 6 MEMBER RHOADS: AYE.
- 7 THE SECRETARY: ROBERTI?
- 8 MEMBER ROBERTI: AYE.
- 9 THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON?
- 10 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: AYE.
- 11 MOTION CARRIES.
- 12 WE'LL MOVE TO CONSIDERATION OF
- 13 STAFF RECOMMENDATION ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE
- 14 HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE ELEMENT FOR THE CITY
- 15 OF POINT ARENA IN MENDOCINO COUNTY. JUDY
- 16 FRIEDMAN.
- 17 MS. FRIEDMAN: GOOD AFTERNOON AGAIN
- 18 BOARD MEMBERS. ILA LEWIS WILL ALSO BE MAKING
- 19 THIS PRESENTATION FOR STAFF.
- 20 MS. LEWIS: GOOD AFTERNOON AGAIN. MY
- 21 NAME, AGAIN, IS ILA LEWIS OF THE OFFICE OF
- 22 LOCAL ASSISTANCE.
- 23 THE ITEM BEFORE YOU IS THE ADEQUACY
- 24 OF THE HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE ELEMENT FOR
- 25 THE CITY OF POINT ARENA, MENDOCINO COUNTY.

- 1 POINT ARENA HAS A POPULATION OF
- 2 APPROXIMATELY 500, ABOUT TWO HOURS FROM MAJOR
- 3 TRANSPORTATION CORRIDORS.
- 4 THERE WAS SOME CONFUSION ON HOW
- 5 POINT ARENA RELATES TO THE MENDOCINO SOLID
- 6 WASTE PLANNING AUTHORITY. IT WAS ASSUMED POINT
- 7 ARENA -- THAT BEING SERVED BY THE AUTHORITY'S
- 8 HAZMOBILE WAS SUFFICIENT TO MEET PLANNING
- 9 REQUIREMENTS, AND SINCE THE BOARD DETERMINED IT
- 10 IS NOT, THE FOLLOWING EFFORTS ARE BEING MADE BY
- 11 POINT ARENA.
- 12 THEY ARE DEVELOPING PUBLIC
- 13 EDUCATION, ASSESSING THE HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS
- 14 WASTE NEEDS AND HABITS OF THE PUBLIC, THEY'RE
- 15 WORKING WITH THEIR BUSINESSES PROMOTING AND
- 16 WORKING MORE CLOSELY WITH THE HAZMOBILE IN
- 17 REDUCING THE USE OF HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE
- 18 BY PROMOTING ALTERNATIVES AND PROVIDING AN
- 19 EXCHANGE PROGRAM.
- 20 IN RESPONSE TO THE BOARD'S COMMENTS
- 21 ON POINT ARENA'S DRAFT HHWE THE FOLLOWING
- 22 CORRECTIONS/COMMENTS WERE MADE: A MORE
- 23 DETAILED TIME LINE WAS PROVIDED FOR SHORT- AND
- 24 LONG-TERM OBJECTIONS; FURTHER CLARIFICATION OF
- 25 COST-EFFECTIVENESS, NEED, AND SUITABILITY WERE

- 1 PROVIDED IN A STATEMENT THAT NO FORMAL
- 2 AGREEMENT EXISTS BETWEEN THE AUTHORITY AND
- 3 POINT ARENA FOR THE HAZMOBILE.
- 4 STAFF RECOMMENDS APPROVAL OF POINT
- 5 ARENA'S HHWE.
- 6 AND THIS CONCLUDES MY PRESENTATION,
- 7 THANK YOU.
- 8 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: THANK YOU.
- 9 ANY QUESTIONS? IF THERE'S NO
- 10 QUESTIONS I WILL BE HAPPY TO ENTERTAIN --
- 11 MEMBER FRAZEE: MR. CHAIRMAN, I WOULD
- 12 MOVE ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION 98-404.
- 13 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. AND I'LL
- 14 SECOND IT.
- 15 MR. FRAZEE MOVES ADOPTION OF
- 16 RESOLUTION 9 8-404, SECONDED BY THE CHAIRMAN.
- 17 IF THERE'S NO FURTHER DISCUSSION,
- 18 WILL THE SECRETARY CALL THE ROLL?
- 19 THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER EATON?
- 20 MEMBER EATON: AYE.
- 21 THE SECRETARY: FRAZEE?
- 22 MEMBER FRAZEE: AYE.
- THE SECRETARY: JONES?
- MEMBER JONES: AYE.
- THE SECRETARY: RHOADS?

- 1 MEMBER RHOADS: AYE.
- THE SECRETARY: ROBERTI?
- 3 MEMBER ROBERTI: AYE.
- 4 THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON?
- 5 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: AYE.
- 6 MOTION CARRIES.
- 7 WE'LL MOVE TO ITEM NUMBER 11,
- 8 CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION ON THE
- 9 ADEQUACY OF THE REVISED NONDISPOSAL FACILITY
- 10 ELEMENT FOR THE UNINCORPORATED AREA OF SOLANO
- 11 COUNTY. JUDY FRIEDMAN.
- MS. FRIEDMAN: GOOD AFTERNOON, AGAIN,
- 13 BOARD MEMBERS. ERIC BISSINGER WILL MAKE THE
- 14 PRESENTATION FOR STAFF.
- 15 MR. BISSINGER: GOOD AFTERNOON CHAIRMAN
- 16 AND BOARD MEMBERS.
- 17 THE ITEM BEFORE YOU IS THE REVISED
- 18 NONDISPOSAL FACILITY ELEMENT, OR NDFE, FOR
- 19 SOLANO COUNTY.
- 20 ALL NEW WASTE DIVERSION FACILITIES
- 21 NEEDING A SOLID WASTE FACILITY PERMIT MUST BE
- 22 FOUND IN THE NONDISPOSAL FACILITY ELEMENTS.
- 23 SOLANO COUNTY HAS REVISED THEIR NDFE DUE TO THE
- 24 PERMITTING OF SEVERAL NEW FACILITIES, INCLUDING
- 25 THE CONTRA COSTA LANDSCAPING/GOODYEAR ROAD

- 1 COMPOSTING FACILITY, TRAVIS AIR FORCE BASE
- 2 COMPO STING FACILITY, AND THE CHANGES TO THE B&J
- 3 DROPBOX LANDFILL COMPOSTING FACILITY.
- 4 THIS GIVES THE COUNTY THE
- 5 OPPORTUNITY TO REVISE SOME OUTDATED INFORMATION
- 6 IN THE EXISTING NDFE. THE REVISED NDFE
- 7 INCLUDES UPDATED INFORMATION ON ALL FACILITIES
- 8 UTILIZED BY THE CITIES AND COUNTY. SOME OF THE
- 9 REVISIONS HAVE INCLUDED CHANGES TO PERMIT
- 10 CONDITIONS, CHANGES IN THE TYPES OF AMOUNTS
- 11 MATERIAL ACCEPTED AT DIFFERENT FACILITIES, AND
- 12 THE LOCATIONS OF DIFFERENT FACILITIES.
- 13 THE NDFE ADEQUATELY IDENTIFIED AND
- 14 DESCRIBED THE FACILITIES LOCATED IN THE
- 15 JURISDICTION AND THOSE FACILITIES TO BE USED
- 16 OUTSIDE THE JURISDICTION TO REACH THE MANDATED
- 17 DIVERSION GOALS.
- 18 THE NDFE MEETS ALL STATUTORY AND
- 19 REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS. THEREFORE, STAFF
- 20 RECOMMENDS TO APPROVE THE FINAL NDFE. THANK
- 21 YOU.
- 22 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: ANY QUESTIONS ON
- 23 THIS ONE?
- 24 MEMBER JONES: ERIC CONVINCED ME.
- 25 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY.

- 1 MEMBER JONES: MR. CHAIRMAN, I'D LIKE
- 2 TO MOVE RESOLUTION 98-406.
- 3 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY.
- 4 MEMBER FRAZEE: I'LL SECOND.
- 5 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: ADOPTION OF
- 6 RESOLUTION 98-406 HAS BEEN MOVED BY MR. JONES,
- 7 AND SECONDED BY MR. FRAZEE.
- 8 IF THERE IS NO FURTHER DISCUSSION,
- 9 WILL THE SECRETARY CALL THE ROLL, PLEASE?
- 10 THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER EATON?
- 11 MEMBER EATON: AYE.
- 12 THE SECRETARY: FRAZEE?
- 13 MEMBER FRAZEE: AYE.
- 14 THE SECRETARY: JONES?
- 15 MEMBER JONES: AYE.
- 16 THE SECRETARY: RHOADS?
- 17 MEMBER RHOADS: AYE.
- 18 THE SECRETARY: ROBERTI?
- 19 MEMBER ROBERTI: AYE.
- THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON?
- 21 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: AYE.
- 22 MOTION CARRIES.
- 23 ITEM NUMBER 12, CONSIDERATION OF
- 24 STAFF RECOMMENDATION ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE
- 25 AMENDED NONDISPOSAL FACILITY ELEMENT FOR THE

- 1 SONOMA COUNTY WASTE MANAGEMENT AGENCY. JUDY
- 2 FRIEDMAN.
- 3 MS. FRIEDMAN: GOOD AFTERNOON, BOARD
- 4 MEMBERS. I BELIEVE THIS IS OUR LAST ITEM, SO
- 5 WITH THAT I'LL TURN THE PRESENTATION OVER TO
- 6 ILA LEWIS AGAIN.
- 7 MS. LEWIS: GOOD AFTERNOON ONCE AGAIN.
- 8 MY NAME IS ILA LEWIS, OF THE OFFICE OF LOCAL
- 9 ASSISTANCE.
- 10 THE ITEM BEFORE YOU IS THE ADEQUACY
- 11 OF THE AMENDED NDFE FOR SONOMA COUNTY WASTE
- 12 MANAGEMENT AGENCY.
- 13 THE ORIGINAL NDFE WAS APPROVED
- 14 BEFORE THE BOARD IN SEPTEMBER OF 1995. THE
- 15 AMENDED NDFE WAS SUBMITTED TO THE BOARD ON JUNE
- 16 30TH, 1998.
- 17 THE AMENDED NDFE HAS THE FOLLOWING
- 18 CHANGES: THE ADDITION OF NEW FACILITIES THAT
- 19 WERE NOT INCLUDED IN THE ORIGINAL NDFE AND ARE
- 20 NEEDED TO INCREASE CAPACITY REQUIREMENTS. THE
- 21 NEW FACILITIES ARE GRAB-AND-GROW, AN
- 22 INDEPENDENT COMPOSTER, LAGUNA SUBREGIONAL
- 23 COMPO STING FACILITY TO HANDLE SLUDGE, WEST
- 24 SONOMA COUNTY DISPOSAL SERVICE, AND TIMBER COVE
- 25 RECYCLING.

- 1 SONOMA IS ALSO CONDENSING SONOMA
- 2 COUNTY WASTE MANAGEMENT AGENCY MEMBER
- 3 JURISDICTIONS' NDFE INTO ONE REGIONAL AGENCY
- 4 NDFE.
- 5 THE AMENDED NDFE ALSO UPDATES
- 6 INFORMATION ON PERMIT STATUS, MATERIALS
- 7 ACCEPTED, AND EXPANSION.
- 8 THE CENTRAL LANDFILL WILL
- 9 BE REORGANIZED WITH TIPPING
- 10 FLOOR, NEW RECYCLING/REUSED AREA, AND
- 11 PERMANENT HHWE FACILITY.
- 12 THEREFORE, THE STAFF RECOMMENDS THE
- 13 APPROVAL OF THE AMENDED NDFE FOR THE SONOMA
- 14 COUNTY WASTE MANAGEMENT AGENCY.
- 15 AND THAT CONCLUDES MY PRESENTATION.
- 16 THANK YOU.
- 17 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: VERY GOOD. ANY
- 18 QUESTIONS ON THIS ONE? NO QUESTIONS?
- 19 MEMBER FRAZEE: IF NOT, MR. CHAIRMAN,
- 20 I'LL MOVE ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION 98-405.
- 21 MEMBER JONES: I'LL SECOND.
- 22 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: MR. FRAZEE MOVES
- 23 ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION 9 8-405, MR. JONES
- 24 SECONDS THE MOTION.
- 25 IF THERE'S NO FURTHER DISCUSSION,

- 1 WILL THE SECRETARY CALL THE ROLL?
- THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER EATON?
- 3 MEMBER EATON: AYE.
- 4 THE SECRETARY: FRAZEE?
- 5 MEMBER FRAZEE: AYE.
- 6 THE SECRETARY: JONES?
- 7 MEMBER JONES: AYE.
- 8 THE SECRETARY: RHOADS?
- 9 MEMBER RHOADS: AYE.
- 10 THE SECRETARY: ROBERTI?
- 11 MEMBER ROBERTI: AYE.
- 12 THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON?
- 13 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: AYE.
- 14 MOTION CARRIES.
- 15 WE'VE DONE ITEM 14. WE'LL MOVE TO
- 16 ITEM 15, CONSIDERATION AND APPROVAL OF
- 17 STANDARDIZED PROCESS FOR ALL BOARD GRANT
- 18 PROGRAMS. KARIN FISH.
- 19 MS. FISH: GOOD AFTERNOON, CHAIRMAN
- 20 PENNINGTON, AND BOARD MEMBERS, KARIN FISH, LIKE
- 21 TUNA, DIRECTOR --
- 22 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: JUST FOR THE
- 23 HALIBUT.
- MS. FISH: -- OF THE DIVISION OF
- 25 ADMINISTRATION.

- 1 AT THE OCTOBER 6TH BOARD MEETING,
- 2 WHEN THE BOARD WAS AWARDING THE LOCAL NONPROFIT
- 3 GRANTS FROM THE OIL PROGRAM, A CONCERN WAS
- 4 RAISED BY BOARD MEMBERS THAT THERE WAS A
- 5 LIMITED AMOUNT OF FUNDING AVAILABLE FOR THIS
- 6 EFFORT. AND THIS WAS ESPECIALLY TROUBLESOME
- 7 WHEN THERE WAS -- THERE CONTINUED TO BE A
- 8 SUBSTANTIAL CASH BALANCE IN THE OIL FUND.
- 9 CONCERNS WERE ALSO RAISED ABOUT THE SCORING
- 10 CRITERIA AND THE PROCESS USED TO SCORE AN
- 11 APPLICANT.
- 12 STAFF WERE DIRECTED TO REVIEW OUR
- 13 GRANT PROCESS AND MAKE RECOMMENDATIONS TO
- 14 IMPROVE THIS PROCESS, AS WELL AS CONSIDER OTHER
- 15 OPTIONS TO LIMIT THE TERMS OF THE GRANT
- 16 AGREEMENTS AND SHORTEN THE APPROVAL TIMES. A
- 17 REQUEST WAS ALSO MADE TO HAVE THE STAFF COME
- 18 BACK TO THE BOARD WITH A FULL ACCOUNTING OF THE
- 19 OIL FUND.
- 20 THIS ITEM BEING PRESENTED IS THE
- 21 RESULT OF STAFF'S ANALYSIS OF THE ISSUES
- 22 RAISED, AND STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS TO IMPROVE
- 23 OUR PROCESSES.
- 24 IN JANUARY WE WILL COME BACK WITH
- 25 AN ITEM THAT WILL PRESENT AN UPDATE ON THE OIL

- 1 FUND, AND INDICATE IF ANY FUNDS HAVE BEEN MADE
- 2 AVAILABLE AS A RESULT OF THE ACTIONS TAKEN HERE
- 3 TODAY. IT WILL ALSO PRESENT POSSIBLE OPTIONS
- 4 FOR ALLOCATING ADDITIONAL FUNDS TO THE LOCAL
- 5 NONPROFIT GRANT PROGRAM.
- 6 WE DID GET SOME ADDITIONAL
- 7 INFORMATION THIS MORNING, I THINK FROM THREE
- 8 DIFFERENT JURISDICTIONS, AND YOU'VE BEEN
- 9 PROVIDED COPIES, AND THERE ARE COPIES AT THE
- 10 BACK OF THE ROOM.
- 11 SO AT THIS POINT I WOULD LIKE TO
- 12 INTRODUCE SARA AVILA FROM THE FINANCIAL
- 13 ASSISTANCE BRANCH, AND SHE'LL PRESENT THE
- 14 ISSUES AND THE RECOMMENDATIONS.
- 15 MS. AVILA: MY NAME IS SARA AVILA, WITH
- 16 THE FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE BRANCH.
- 17 WHAT I'M GOING TO DO IS JUST STATE
- 18 THE KEY ISSUES, AND THEN STATE OUR
- 19 RECOMMENDATION.
- 20 THE FIRST ISSUE WAS THE SCORING
- 21 consistency OF GRANT APPLICATIONS. BOARD
- 22 MEMBERS HAD EXPRESSED CONCERN OVER THE SCORING
- 23 CONSISTENCY WHEN MORE THAN ONE REVIEW PANEL IS
- 24 USED TO SCORE GRANT APPLICATIONS.
- 25 WE ARE GOING TO RECOMMEND THAT WE

- 1 CONTINUE THE IMPLEMENTATION OF A BLIND REVIEW
- 2 OF AT LEAST 10 PERCENT OF THE GRANT
- 3 APPLICATIONS IN EACH COMPETITIVE GRANT CYCLE.
- 4 THE SECOND ISSUE WAS THE TIMELINESS
- 5 OF THE RETURNED SIGNED AGREEMENTS BY GRANTEES.
- 6 THERE IS SOME CONCERN THAT ENTITIES WHO HAVE
- 7 BEEN AWARDED GRANTS TO NOT ALWAYS RETURN SIGNED
- 8 AGREEMENTS IN A TIMELY MANNER.
- 9 ORIGINALLY STAFF RECOMMENDED THAT
- 10 ENTITIES COULD HAVE UP TO SIX MONTHS TO SIGN
- 11 THEIR GRANT AGREEMENTS TO THE BOARD. HOWEVER,
- 12 WE ARE NOW GOING TO RECOMMEND ESTABLISHING A
- 13 90-DAY DEADLINE FOR THE SIGNED RETURN GRANT
- 14 AGREEMENTS FOR COMPETITIVE AND NONCOMPETITIVE
- 15 GRANTS.
- 16 THE THIRD ISSUE WAS THE EXTENSION
- 17 OF THE GRANT AGREEMENT. BOARD MEMBERS HAD
- 18 EXPRESSED CONCERN THAT NOT ALL GRANTEE S
- 19 COMPLETED THEIR PROJECTS WITHIN THE TERM OF THE
- 20 GRANT AGREEMENT.
- 21 STAFF IS RECOMMENDING THAT ALL
- 22 REQUESTS TO EXTEND GRANT AGREEMENTS BEYOND THE
- 23 THREE-YEAR TERM MUST COME BEFORE THE BOARD FOR
- 24 APPROVAL.
- 25 THE FOURTH IS SUE WAS LIMITATIONS ON

- 1 THE AWARD OF THE COMPETITIVE GRANTS TO
- 2 APPLICANTS WITH OUTSTANDING ACCOUNTS
- 3 RECEIVABLE. STAFF IS CONCERNED THAT APPLICANTS
- 4 THAT OWE THE BOARD MONEY MAY REMAIN ELIGIBLE TO
- 5 RECEIVE GRANT FUNDS.
- 6 ORIGINALLY, STAFF RECOMMENDED THAT
- 7 A GRANT WOULD BE INELIGIBLE FOR A COMPETITIVE
- 8 GRANT IF AT THE CLOSE OF AN APPLICATION PERIOD
- 9 FOR THE COMPETITIVE GRANT THE APPLICANT HAS A
- 10 BOARD INVOICE OUTSTANDING MORE THAN 30 DAYS,
- 11 HOWEVER, THERE WAS SOME CONCERN THAT 30 DAYS
- 12 MIGHT NOT BE LONG ENOUGH.
- 13 SO STAFF IS NOW RECOMMENDING A
- 14 COMPETITIVE GRANT APPLICATION WITH AN
- 15 OUTSTANDING INVOICE MAY APPLY FOR A GRANT,
- 16 HOWEVER NO GRANT AGREEMENT WILL BE EXECUTED
- 17 UNTIL THE ENTITY HAS PAID THE OUTSTANDING DEBT.
- 18 IF THE DEBT IS PAID WITHIN 90 DAYS WE WILL
- 19 PROCESS THE GRANT AGREEMENT. IF THE DEBT IS
- 20 NOT PAID WITHIN 90 DAYS THE APPLICANT WILL NOT
- 21 RECEIVE FUNDING FOR THAT GRANT.
- 22 THE FIFTH IS SUE WAS METHODS TO
- 23 DISSEMINATE INFORMATION TO POTENTIAL GRANTEES.
- 24 THE BOARD ASKED STAFF TO RESEARCH WAYS TO
- 25 PROVIDE INFORMATION TO GRANTEES.

- 1 CURRENTLY STAFF PROVIDES OUTREACH
- 2 IN THE FOLLOWING WAYS: THE PERMITTING AND
- 3 ENFORCEMENT STAFF ARE IN CONTACT WITH THE LEAS
- 4 AT THE ROUND-TABLE MEETINGS WHICH ARE HELD
- 5 THREE TIMES A YEAR, AND THE ENFORCEMENT
- 6 ADVISORY COUNCIL WHICH MEETS QUARTERLY. THEY
- 7 ALSO MEET WITH THE SOLID WASTE POLICY COMMITTEE
- 8 AT THE CALIFORNIA CONFERENCE OF DIRECTORS OF
- 9 THE ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH ON THE FIRST WEDNESDAY
- 10 OF EVERY MONTH.
- 11 THE BOARD'S TIRE RECYCLING STAFF
- 12 HOLDS TIRE RECYCLING CONFERENCES EVERY TWO OR
- 13 THREE YEARS WHERE THEY DISSEMINATE GRANT
- 14 INFORMATION.
- 15 APPLICATIONS RELEASED BY THE HHW
- 16 AND USED OIL STAFF INCLUDES THE NAME AND NUMBER
- 17 OF TWO STAFF PEOPLE THAT ARE AVAILABLE TO
- 18 ANSWER QUESTIONS. HHW INFORMATION EXCHANGES
- 19 ARE HELD BIMONTHLY. THEY ALSO HOLD A STATEWIDE
- 20 ANNUAL HH CONFERENCE. THERE ARE USED OIL
- 21 FORUMS HELD TWICE A YEAR.
- 22 STAFF WILL BE ATTENDING THE CHA
- 23 ANNUAL CONFERENCE AND OTHER OPPORTUNITIES AS
- 24 THEY COME UP.
- 25 THIS YEAR STAFF SPONSORED REGIONAL

- 1 WORKSHOPS THROUGH A GRANT TO THE LOCAL
- 2 GOVERNMENT COMMISSION ON PROGRAM PLANNING AND
- 3 PROGRAM EVALUATION.
- 4 BECAUSE OF WHAT WE ARE CURRENTLY
- 5 DOING TO DISSEMINATE THE INFORMATION STAFF IS
- 6 RECOMMENDING OPTION B, WHICH WOULD ALLOW A
- 7 POTENTIAL GRANT APPLICANT TO SUBMIT QUESTIONS
- 8 IN WRITING PRIOR TO SUBMITTAL OF THE
- 9 APPLICATION. THEN A QUESTION AND ANSWER SHEET
- 10 WILL BE PREPARED AND DISTRIBUTED TO ALL
- 11 POTENTIAL APPLICANTS PRIOR TO THE DATE THAT THE
- 12 GRANT APPLICATION IS DUE.
- 13 THIS CONCLUDES MY PRESENTATION.
- 14 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: THANK YOU.
- 15 QUESTIONS? MR. EATON.
- 16 MEMBER EATON: I THINK JUST -- AND PART
- 17 OF IT -- AND I APPRECIATE THE STAFF'S HELP WHEN
- 18 WE MET FOR OUR BRIEFING, TO GO BACK AND LOOK AT
- 19 SOME OF THESE THINGS.
- 20 BUT WITH REGARD TO THE EXTENSION,
- 21 DID WE TALK ABOUT THE EXTENSIONS WITH REGARD TO
- 22 IF THERE WERE ANY INTERNAL PROBLEMS, WITH
- 23 REGARD TO A JURISDICTION'S ABILITY TO GET
- 24 APPROVAL?
- 25 DO YOU REMEMBER THAT, WHERE WE SAID

- 1 THAT THEY MIGHT BE ABLE TO COME BACK BECAUSE
- 2 THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OR. THE CITY COUNCIL
- 3 DIDN'T MEET AT A CERTAIN TIME, OR --
- 4 MS. FISH: RIGHT. WE -- AND WE HAD
- 5 KIND OF TALKED - WE HAD THOUGHT THAT IT WAS
- 6 GOING TO BE DIFFERENT, VERSUS THE
- 7 NONCOMPETITIVE --
- 8 MEMBER EATON: RIGHT. RIGHT.
- 9 MS. FISH: RIGHT. WE WERE GOING TO
- 10 SHORTEN THE NONCOMPETITIVE, WITH THE IDEA THAT
- 11 -- NO, ACTUALLY, THE COMPETITIVE, WITH THE IDEA
- 12 THAT THEY HAD ALREADY RECEIVE APPROVAL BEFORE
- 13 THEY APPLIED FOR A COMPETITIVE GRANT.
- 14 BUT IN DISCUSSING THIS FURTHER WITH
- 15 PROGRAM STAFF, THEY WENT BACK AND LOOKED AT
- 16 THEIR RECORDS, AND IN SOME CASES THEY STILL HAD
- 17 TO GO BACK EVEN THOUGH IN A COMPETITIVE GRANT,
- 18 AND ASK FOR APPROVAL FOR THE AWARD.
- 19 SO THAT'S WHY WE DECIDED, WELL,
- 20 OKAY, WE'LL MAKE IT CONSISTENT, AND RECOMMEND
- 21 90 DAYS FOR BOTH COMPETITIVE AND
- 22 NONCOMPETITIVE.
- 23 MEMBER EATON: OKAY. THANK YOU.
- 24 MEMBER FRAZEE: MR. CHAIRMAN?
- 25 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: MR. FRAZEE.

- 1 MEMBER FRAZEE: ON YOUR LAST STATEMENT
- 2 REGARDING THE DISSEMINATION OF INFORMATION, AND
- 3 MAKING AGENCIES AWARE OF THE PROGRAMS THAT ARE
- 4 AVAILABLE, I NOTICE THAT SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY
- 5 COMMENTS ON THE PRE-BID PROPOSAL. AND I THINK
- 6 ALL OF THAT IS KIND OF GENERAL.
- 7 IS THERE ANY PROPOSAL TO DO
- 8 SOMETHING SPECIFIC ON A PARTICULAR GRANT, WHERE
- 9 THERE IS SOMETHING THAT IS IN THE FORM OF A
- 10 PRE-BID CONFERENCE, WHERE IT DEALS ONLY WITH
- 11 THAT GRANT?
- MS. FISH: WELL, WITH THE INDIVIDUAL
- 13 GRANTS THEMSELVES, YOU KNOW, I THINK THAT IT'S
- 14 KIND OF LEFT TO THE PROGRAM MANAGER TO
- 15 DETERMINE. AND MAYBE THEY COULD HELP ME OUT.
- 16 BUT, SOME OF THEM, BECAUSE THE AMOUNT OF
- 17 APPLICATIONS AND THE NUMBER OF PEOPLE THAT
- 18 WOULD APPLY, THEY DON'T HOLD A CONFERENCE
- 19 SOLELY FOR THAT PURPOSE.
- 20 AND THAT'S DIFFERENT THAN THE
- 21 CONTRACTING PROCESS, WHERE WE'LL HAVE 10 TO 15
- 22 INDIVIDUALS, POTENTIAL, TO BID AND WE DO DO
- 23 THAT ON A REGULAR BASIS.
- 24 MEMBER FRAZEE: YES.
- 25 MS. FISH: SO, THERE COULD BE AN

- 1 OCCASION WHERE THERE IS A LIMITED NUMBER AND
- 2 THE INFORMATION HADN'T BEEN PROVIDED, AND SO
- 3 THE PROGRAM MAY GO FORWARD AND HAVE A
- 4 CONFERENCE SOLELY FOR THAT. BUT, NORMALLY I
- 5 THINK THEY USE THESE OTHER AVENUES TO GET THE
- 6 INFORMATION OUT.
- 7 MEMBER FRAZEE: THEN ON THE QUESTION OF
- 8 THE TIME ON GETTING SIGNED AGREEMENTS BACK. WE
- 9 HAVE A LETTER THAT WAS JUST GIVEN TO US FROM
- 10 MONTEREY COUNTY, IT DOESN'T SAY HOW MUCH TIME
- 11 THEY NEED, BUT IT WOULD LEAD ONE TO BELIEVE
- 12 THAT 90 DAYS IS NOT ENOUGH, ACCORDING TO THEIR
- 13 SCHEDULE. AND, YOU KNOW, IT SEEMS LIKE IT
- 14 SHOULD BE, BUT....
- 15 MS. FISH: I KNOW. AND THEY DIDN'T
- 16 OFFER AN ALTERNATIVE, SO I'M NOT SURE HOW MUCH
- 17 TIME THEY'RE NEEDING THERE.
- 18 MEMBER FRAZEE: WHAT WAS THE ORIGINAL
- 19 PROPOSAL, THOUGH?
- 20 MS. FISH: THE ORIGINAL PROPOSAL WAS
- 21 SIX MONTHS.
- 22 MEMBER FRAZEE: OH, OKAY. AND THEY
- 23 DIDN'T THINK THAT WAS ENOUGH TIME.
- MS. FISH: I DON'T KNOW.
- 25 MEMBER FRAZEE: I MEAN, THAT'S THE GIST

- 1 OF THE LETTER HERE, SO --
- 2 MS. FISH: YEAH.
- 3 MEMBER FRAZEE: -- I JUST WONDERED WHAT
- 4 THEIR REACTION WAS GOING TO BE ON 90 DAYS.
- 5 YOU KNOW, EVEN THOUGH, AS THEY
- 6 POINT OUT, THEY WOULD HAVE TO GO THROUGH
- 7 SEVERAL STEPS, INCLUDING HEALTH DEPARTMENT,
- 8 COUNTY COUNSEL, AND FINALLY THE
- 9 BOARD, IT STILL SEEMS THAT THAT COULD BE
- 10 ACCOMPLISHED IN 90 DAYS. AND, I WOULD
- 11 CERTAINLY SUPPORT THAT AMENDMENT.
- MS. FISH: I WOULD ASSUME SO, BUT IT
- 13 WOULD JUST BE PRESUMPTION ON MY PART.
- 14 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: ANY OTHER
- 15 QUESTIONS?
- 16 OKAY. FERNANDO BERTONE.
- 17 MR. BERTONE: GOOD AFTERNOON, MR.
- 18 CHAIRMAN, AND MEMBERS OF THE BOARD. MY NAME IS
- 19 FERNANDO BERTONE WITH ENVIRONMENTAL
- 20 MANAGEMENT TECHNOLOGY CONSULTANTS, AND A
- 21 FORMER STAFF MEMBER AT THE WASTE BOARD FOR 10
- 22 YEARS.
- 23 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: YOU'VE BEEN AWAY
- 24 A YEAR NOW, HAVEN'T YOU?
- 25 MR. BERTONE: I'D LIKE TO TALK ABOUT

- 1 ITEMS NUMBER TWO, ITEM THREE, AND ITEM FIVE.
- 2 IN THE PRESENTATION THAT SARA GAVE
- 3 SOME OF MY CONCERNS WERE ADDRESSED, IN THAT I
- 4 FELT THAT A 90-DAY PERIOD FOR TIMELINESS OF
- 5 SIGNED AGREEMENTS WOULD BE MORE APPROPRIATE FOR
- 6 GRANT APPLICANTS -- OR, GRANT RECIPIENTS. AND
- 7 PART OF THAT, THERE SHOULD BE EXPLICIT
- 8 INSTRUCTIONS THAT IF AFTER 90 DAYS IT'S STILL
- 9 NOT RETURNED, THAT PERHAPS -- YOU KNOW, THE
- 10 GRANT FUNDS WOULD BE DISENCUMBERED.
- 11 THE QUESTION STILL ARISES, IF THE
- 12 MONIES ARE DISENCUMBERED WHAT WOULD HAPPEN TO
- 13 THOSE FUNDS? NOW, THERE'S TWO OPTIONS
- 14 AVAILABLE THERE. BECAUSE OFTENTIMES THE AMOUNT
- 15 OF -- THE NUMBER OF APPLICANTS, AND WHAT'S
- 16 REQUESTED, IT FAR EXCEEDS WHAT'S AVAILABLE.
- 17 50, IF MONEY IS DISENCUMBERED THE
- 18 TWO OPTIONS WOULD BE TO RESTORE BUDGET CUTS TO
- 19 GRANT RECIPIENTS, FARTHER UP THE LINE, OR TO
- 20 FUND GRANT APPLICANTS WHO RECEIVED A PASSING
- 21 SCORE, BUT WEREN'T ABLE TO BE FUNDED BECAUSE OF
- 22 THE LACK OF FUNDS. SO THOSE ARE TWO THINGS TO
- 23 CONSIDER.
- 24 I WOULD RECOMMEND THAT IF THERE
- 25 WERE ANY FUNDS AVAILABLE FROM DISENCUMBRANCES

- 1 THAT IT BE USED TO FUND ANY APPLICATIONS THAT
- 2 WOULD NOT OTHERWISE HAVE BEEN FUNDED DUE TO
- 3 LACK OF MONEY.
- 4 ON ITEM THREE, THE EXTENSION OF THE
- 5 GRANT AGREEMENTS. IT'S BEEN MY EXPERIENCE
- 6 THAT, WHILE EXTENSIONS HAVE BEEN GRANTED, THE
- 7 EXTENSION HAS NEVER EXCEED THE THREE-YEAR
- 8 PERIOD IN WHICH THE FUNDS WERE AVAILABLE TO THE
- 9 BOARD FOR ALLOCATION.
- 10 I'M A LITTLE CONFUSED AS TO WHAT
- 11 THE THREE-YEAR CYCLE IS, ANYWAY. IS THE THREE
- 12 YEAR CYCLE UPON APPROPRIATION BY THE
- 13 LEGISLATURE AND THAT IS MADE AVAILABLE TO THE
- 14 WASTE BOARD? OR, IS THE CYCLE -- IS IT AFTER
- 15 THAT PERIOD?
- 16 MY THOUGHT WAS THAT -- SPECIFICALLY
- 17 FOR THE HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE AND FOR THE
- 18 TIRES -- AFTER THREE BUDGET YEARS THE MONEY
- 19 GOES AWAY, PERIOD. SO REQUESTING AN EXTENSION
- 20 BEYOND THE THREE-YEAR PERIOD MAY NOT EVEN BE
- 21 POSSIBLE. SO, THE QUESTION IS, WHAT THREE-YEAR
- 22 PERIOD ARE WE TALKING ABOUT? THE ONLY POSSIBLE
- 23 GRANT THAT WE'RE TALKING IS THE OIL GRANTS,
- 24 WHICH ARE ALLOCATED WITHOUT REGARD TO FISCAL
- 25 YEAR.

- 1 I DON'T AGREE WITH THE
- 2 RECOMMENDATIONS THAT THE -- ANY GRANT
- 3 EXTENSIONS COME IN FRONT OF THE WASTE BOARD, IN
- 4 FRONT OF THE BOARD FOR APPROVAL.
- 5 I THINK WHAT THE BOARD SHOULD DO
- 6 WOULD BE THAT THEY DIRECT STAFF TO ESTABLISH
- 7 CRITERIA BY WHICH THE STAFF AND MANAGEMENT CAN
- 8 USE TO SAY YES OR NO ON THE EXTENSION.
- 9 CRITERIA INCLUDING INCLEMENT WEATHER THAT MAYBE
- 10 AFFECTED CONSTRUCTION, LITIGATION THAT MAY
- 11 RESULT, EARTHQUAKES, FLOODS, FIRES, THINGS OF
- 12 THAT NATURE. ALL THOSE THINGS DO HAVE AN
- 13 IMPACT ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF A GRANT.
- 14 THE OTHER THING, TOO, IS WHAT --
- 15 CURRENTLY I HAVE ONE CLIENT WHO HAS A GRANT
- 16 AGREEMENT -- AN EXTENSION REQUEST IN NOW, THE
- 17 GRANT EXPIRES DECEMBER 31ST, 1998. SO WHAT
- 18 HAPPENS IF THE POLICY IS ADOPTED TO -- AND
- 19 STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS ARE ADOPTED TO BRING THE
- 20 EXTENSION BEFORE THE BOARD? IS THAT POLICY
- 21 RETROACTIVE, OR WHAT'S GOING TO HAPPEN TO THOSE
- 22 CURRENT REQUESTS?
- 23 I DON'T THINK THAT EXTENSIONS
- 24 SHOULD BE GIVEN TO GRANT RECIPIENTS WHO HAVE
- 25 SHOWN -- HAVE NOT SHOWN ANY TANGIBLE PROGRESS

- 1 IN IMPLEMENTING THEIR GRANT. AND TANGIBLE
- 2 MEANING, YOU KNOW, HAVE THEY SUBMITTED THEIR
- 3 QUARTERLY OR PROGRESS REPORTS, WHAT PERCENTAGE
- 4 OF THE FUNDS THAT WAS AWARDED -- HOW MUCH HAS
- 5 BEEN SPENT, THOSE SORTS OF THINGS.
- 6 I'M AFRAID THAT REQUIRING BOARD
- 7 APPROVAL COULD FURTHER DELAY THE IMPLEMENTATION
- 8 OF PROGRAMS DUE TO THE BOARD'S PUBLIC NOTICING
- 9 REQUIREMENTS, THE AGENDA ITEM PREPARATION, AND
- 10 BOARD DELIBERATION.
- 11 AT MOST, I BELIEVE THE DEPUTY
- 12 DIRECTOR SHOULD GRANT APPROVAL OF THE REQUESTS
- 13 FOR EXTENSION BASED UPON BOARD STAFF REVIEW,
- 14 UTILIZING THE CRITERIA THAT THE BOARD HAS
- 15 DIRECTED THE STAFF TO ESTABLISH.
- 16 THE FINAL ITEM I'D LIKE TO DISCUSS
- 17 DEALS WITH METHODS TO DISSEMINATE ADDITIONAL
- 18 INFORMATION TO POTENTIAL APPLICANTS. THAT'S
- 19 ALWAYS BEEN THE BIGGEST BUGABOO IN ANY GRANT
- 20 PROGRAM.
- 21 BOARD STAFF RECOMMENDS THE QUESTION
- 22 AND ANSWER PERIOD, SO THAT THE POTENTIAL
- 23 APPLICANTS CAN HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO SUBMIT
- 24 QUESTIONS IN WRITING PRIOR TO THE END OF THE
- 25 APPLICATION PERIOD. ALTHOUGH NOT FORMAL, GRANT

- 1 RECIPIENTS CAN DO THAT ALREADY.
- 2 WHAT'S NOT CLEAR IN THE BOARD STAFF
- 3 RECOMMENDATION IS THE TYPE OF QUESTIONS THAT
- 4 MAY BE ASKED. AND, MORE IMPORTANTLY, THE TYPES
- 5 OF QUESTIONS THE BOARD STAFF FEELS IS
- 6 APPROPRIATE TO ANSWER.
- 7 CAN THEY ASK QUESTIONS-- CERTAINLY
- 8 THEY CAN ASK QUESTIONS ABOUT, YOU KNOW, DOES A
- 9 RESOLUTION HAVE TO BE SUBMITTED WITH THE
- 10 APPLICATION? BUT CAN THEY ASK QUESTIONS
- 11 SPECIFIC TO THEIR GRANT PROPOSAL THAT THEY WANT
- 12 TO WRITE? DOES BOARD STAFF FEEL COMFORTABLE IN
- 13 ANSWERING THOSE OUESTIONS? I DON'T KNOW.
- 14 AS JUSTIFICATION FOR NOT
- 15 RECOMMENDING OPTION FIVE-D, BOARD STAFF STATED
- 16 THAT THE INSTRUCTIONS CONTAINED IN GRANT
- 17 APPLICATIONS ARE SPECIFIC ENOUGH THAT POTENTIAL
- 18 APPLICANTS CAN PREPARE AN ACCEPTABLE
- 19 APPLICATION PACKAGE.
- 20 THE OTHER STATEMENT IN NOT
- 21 RECOMMENDING OPTION FIVE-D WAS THAT BOARD STAFF
- 22 ALSO STATED THAT AN ENTITY THAT IS UNABLE TO
- 23 PREPARE AN ADEQUATE APPLICATION MAY BE UNABLE
- 24 TO OPERATE A SUCCESSFUL PROGRAM, AS OPERATING A
- 25 PROGRAM IS MORE COMPLICATED THAN COMPLETING AN

- 1 ADEQUATE APPLICATION.
- 2 THAT SAME JUSTIFICATION CAN BE USED
- 3 FOR NOT SELECTING FIVE-B, WHICH IS WHAT STAFF'S
- 4 RECOMMENDATION IS.
- 5 THE INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE SEVEN-CYCLE
- 6 HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE PLANS, TO ME,
- 7 ARE PRETTY DETAILED. I MEAN, THEY'VE COME A
- 8 LONG WAY. AND THIS IS AN EVER-CHANGING
- 9 PROCESS, THEY'VE COME A LONG WAY. AND I THINK
- 10 THEY'RE VERY GOOD.
- 11 WHAT I WOULD RECOMMEND WOULD BE
- 12 INSTEAD OF A QUESTION AND ANSWER PERIOD, IT
- 13 WOULD BE MORE AFTER THE FACT. I WOULD
- 14 RECOMMEND THAT BOARD STAFF PROVIDE DETAILED --
- 15 AND I MEAN DETAILED -- COMMENTS TO THE
- 16 UNSUCCESSFUL GRANT APPLICANTS SO THAT THE
- 17 APPLICANT CAN IMPROVE THE APPLICATION FOR A
- 18 SUBSEQUENT FUNDING CYCLE. THE COMMENTS FOR
- 19 DENYING THE APPLICANT SHOULD BE AS DETAILED AS
- 20 THE INFORMATION THAT'S REQUESTED IN THE GRANT
- 21 APPLICATION.
- 22 AGAIN, IT'S BEEN--THERE HAVE BEEN
- 23 SOME SUCCESSES USING THAT. I BELIEVE IT WAS
- 24 WEST CONTRA COST WASTE MANAGEMENT AUTHORITY,
- 25 THEY WEREN'T SUCCESSFUL IN ONE HOUSEHOLD

- 1 HAZARDOUS WASTE PLANT CYCLE. BOARD STAFF TOOK
- 2 THE TIME TO DISCUSS WITH THEM WHAT -- HOW THE
- 3 APPLICATION COULD BE IMPROVED. THE SUBSEQUENT
- 4 FUNDING CYCLE, THEY WERE APPROVED, THEIR
- 5 APPLICATION WAS APPROVED. AND, WHILE IT
- 6 DOESN'T TAKE AWAY THE STING OF NOT BEING
- 7 FUNDED, IT WILL HELP WITH SUBSEQUENT CYCLES.
- 8 SO THOSE ARE MY COMMENTS, AND I'D
- 9 BE HAPPY TO ENTERTAIN ANY QUESTIONS THAT YOU
- 10 MAY HAVE.
- 11 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: QUESTIONS?
- 12 MR. BERTONE: THANK YOU. V
- 13 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. THANK YOU.
- 14 WE DID HAVE ONE OTHER PERSON, JON
- 15 JENNINGS FROM MONTEREY COUNTY WHO COULDN'T
- 16 STAY, BUT LEFT A LETTER THAT THEY WOULD LIKE TO
- 17 HAVE MADE PART OF THE RECORD. SO, WITHOUT
- 18 OBJECTION, WE'LL DO THAT.
- 19 OKAY. WHAT'S YOUR PLEASURE, FOLKS?
- 20 MEMBER EATON: I'LL MOVE RESOLUTION 98-3 86,
- 21 RELATIVE TO THE CONSIDERATION OF APPROVAL
- 22 OF STANDARDIZED PROCESS FOR ALL BOARD GRANT
- 23 PROGRAMS.
- 24 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: I NEED A SECOND
- 25 THERE.

- 1 MEMBER RHOADS: WELL, LET ME JUST -- IF I
- 2 COULD, COULD I MAKE A COMMENT?
- 3 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: SURE.
- 4 MEMBER EATON: I'LL WITHDRAW THAT
- 5 MOTION.
- 6 MEMBER RHOADS: DIDN'T STAFF RECOMMEND SOME
- 7 CHANGES TO THAT RESOLUTION, LIKE 90 DAYS
- 8 INSTEAD OF SIX MONTHS?
- 9 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OH, I THOUGHT THE
- 10
- 11 MS. FISH: YEAH, AND- 1
 - MEMBER RHOADS: IN THAT CASE, I'LL
- 13 SECOND THAT.
- 14 MEMBER EATON: I'M KIDDING YOU.
- MS. FISH: YEAH, WE DID MODIFY IT.
- 16 MEMBER EATON: SURE. WHY DON'T YOU
- 17 STATE THE MODIFICATIONS AND THEN I'LL MAKE THE
- 18 MOTION?
- 19 MS. FISH: OKAY. SO THE MODIFICATION
- 20 WOULD BE A 90 DAY DEADLINE, UNDER TWO, INSTEAD
- 21 OF THE SIX MONTHS.
- 22 AND THEY WOULD HAVE 90 DAYS TO
- 23 CLEAR AN OUTSTANDING INVOICE THAT WAS 30 DAYS
- 24 PAST DUE. I'M SORRY, AND THAT WAS UNDER ITEM
- 25 FOUR.

- 1 MEMBER RHOADS: I'LL SECOND THE MOTION.
- 2 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. IT'S BEEN

3

- 4 MEMBER EATON: I THINK I WITHDREW THE
- 5 MOTION, I PROBABLY SHOULD RESTATE IT JUST TO
- 6 KIND OF-

CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: YOU PROBABLY

- 8 SHOULD.
- 9 MEMBER EATON: -- BE CAREFUL. I WOULD
- 10 MOVE THAT WE ADOPT RESOLUTION 98-3 86, WHICH
- 11 DEALS WITH THE CONSIDERATION OF APPROVAL OF
- 12 STANDARDIZED PROCESS FOR ALL BOARD GRANT
- 13 PROGRAMS, WITH THE FOLLOWING AMENDMENTS.
- 14 IN NUMBER TWO, RATHER THAN
- 15 READING THE ESTABLISHMENT OF A SIX-MONTH
- 16 DEADLINE FOR THE RETURN OF SIGNED
- 17 AGREEMENTS IT WOULD BE A 90-DAY DEADLINE FOR
- 18 THE RETURN OF SIGNED GRANT AGREEMENTS.
- 19 AND THEN UNDER NUMBER FOUR, NUMBER
- 20 FOUR SHOULD READ, "A GRANT APPLICANT IS INELIGIBLE
- 21 FOR A COMPETITIVE GRANT IF AT THE CLOSE
- 22 OF THE APPLICATION PERIOD FOR THE
- 23 COMPETITIVE GRANT, THE APPLICANT HAS A
- 24 BOARD INVOICE OUTSTANDING FOR MORE THAN
- 25 30 DAYS, AND THAT THEY HAVE 90 DAYS TO

- 1 CLEAR THAT INVOICE."
- 2 AND WITH THAT, I OFFER THAT MOTION.
- 3 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. IT'S BEEN
- 4 MOVED BY MR. EATON, AND SECONDED BY MR. RHOADS,
- 5 THE ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION 98-3 86, WITH
- 6 AMENDMENTS.
- 7 IF THERE IS NO FURTHER DISCUSSION,
- 8 WILL THE SECRETARY CALL THE ROLL?
- 9 THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER EATON?
- 10 MEMBER EATON: AYE.
- 11 THE SECRETARY: FRAZEE?
- 12 MEMBER FRAZEE: AYE.
- 13 THE SECRETARY: JONES?
- 14 MEMBER JONES: AYE.
- 15 THE SECRETARY: RHOADS?
- 16 MEMBER RHOADS: AYE.
- 17 THE SECRETARY: ROBERTI?
- 18 MEMBER ROBERTI: AYE.
- 19 THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON?
- 20 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: AYE.
- 21 MOTION CARRIES.
- 22 AGENDA ITEM NO. 16: CONSIDERATION AND
- 23 APPROVAL OF THE CONTRACT CONCEPT, SCOPE OF
- 24 WORK, AND AWARD OF THE CMAS CONTRACT WITH
- 25 KPMG PEAT MARWICK FOR INFORMATION

- 1 TECHNOLOGY EVALUATIONS OF THE WASTE
- 2 TIRE PROGRAM AND CAPTIVE INSURANCE FOR SOLID
- 3 WASTE FACILITIES
- 4 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY, MOVING TO
- 5 ITEM NO. 16, CONSIDERATION AND APPROVAL OF THE
- 6 CONTRACT CONCEPT, SCOPE OF WORK, AND AWARD OF THE
- 7 CMAS CONTRACT WITH KPMG PEAT MARWICK FOR
- 8 INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY EVALUATIONS OF THE WASTE
- 9 TIRE PROGRAM AND CAPTIVE INSURANCE FOR SOLID
- 10 WASTE FACILITIES. PATTY ZWARTS.
- MS. ZWARTS: GOOD AFTERNOON, CHAIRMAN
- 12 PENNINGTON, AND BOARD MEMBERS, PATTY ZWARTS WITH
- 13 THE OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE AND EXTERNAL AFFAIRS.
- 14 TO PROVIDE YOU WITH A LITTLE BIT OF
- 15 CONTEXT WHY THIS CONTRACT IS BEFORE YOU TODAY, IT'S
- 16 HERE BECAUSE TWO BILLS THAT WERE ENACTED LAST
- 17 YEAR THAT BECOME EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1ST. AND, STAFF
- 18 ARE ACTUALLY A LITTLE BIT AHEAD OF SCHEDULE HERE
- 19 FOR THESE TWO BILLS THAT BECOME EFFECTIVE STILL IN
- 20 JANUARY.
- 21 THE FIRST MEASURE, AB 715, BY
- 22 THEN-ASSEMBLY WOMAN FIGUEROA, PROVIDES THAT IF
- 23 EVIDENCE OF FINANCIAL ABILITY FOR CLOSURE,
- 24 POST-CLOSURE OR CORRECTIVE ACTION IS DEMONSTRATED
- 25 BY THE USE CAPTIVE INSURANCE THAT THE BOARD MAY

- 1 APPROVE THE USE OF INSURANCE IF IT MEETS SPECIFIED
- 2 CRITERIA, THOSE WHICH ARE SPECIFIED IN THE BILL.
- 3 THE OTHER MEASURE IS AB 117, BY THEN,
- 4 AGAIN, ASSEMBLY WOMAN ESCUTIA. THIS MEASURE
- 5 REQUIRES THE BOARD TO PREPARE A REPORT TO THE
- 6 LEGISLATURE AND THE GOVERNOR, WITH A PRELIMINARY
- 7 REPORT DUE MAY 1ST AND A FINAL REPORT DUE JUNE
- 8 30TH.
- 9 WHAT IS PARALLEL ABOUT THESE TWO
- 10 PIECES OF LEGISLATION AND THE CONTRACT THAT'S
- 11 BEFORE YOU FOR CONSIDERATION TODAY, THAT THEY
- 12 BOTH HAVE VERY SHORT TIME FRAMES FOR WORKLOAD, IN
- 13 TERMS OF GATHERING INFORMATION, BOTH REQUIRE
- 14 EXPERTISE THAT IS NOT CURRENTLY FOUND AT THE
- 15 BOARD, BOTH IN CAPTIVE INSURANCE EXPERTISE, AS WELL
- 16 AS IN DOING PERFORMANCE EVALUATIONS AS RELATED TO
- 17 THE TIRE REPORT.
- 18 ALSO WHAT IS UNIQUE ABOUT THEM IS
- 19 THAT THEY REQUIRE INDEPENDENT REVIEW BY
- 20 INDEPENDENT AUDITOR. AGAIN, THAT IS A SERVICE THAT
- 21 THE CONTRACTOR WOULD PROVIDE.
- 22 AND, LASTLY, THEY BOTH HAVE
- 23 TIME-SPECIFIC NEEDS, IN TERMS OF THE TIRE REPORT TO
- 24 GET THE INFORMATION TO MEET THE STATUTORY
- 25 DEADLINES.

- 1 AND, IN TERMS OF THE CAPTIVE
- 2 INSURANCE INFORMATION, TO PROVIDE THE BOARD
- 3 INFORMATION NECESSARY TO MAKE REGULATORY
- 4 DECISIONS IN THE BEGINNING OF NEXT YEAR.
- 5 TO THAT END, I'D LIKE TO HAND IT OVER
- 6 TO FITZ FITZGERALD, WHO WILL SPEAK TO THE TIRE
- 7 PORTIONS OF THE CONTRACT, AND RICHARD CASTLE WILL
- 8 SPEAK TO THE OTHER PORTIONS.
- 9 MR. FITZGERALD: BYRON FITZGERALD,
- 10 CURRENTLY ON LOAN TO THE LEGISLATIVE AND EXTERNAL
- 11 AFFAIRS OFFICE. IT'S BEEN EIGHT YEARS SINCE I'VE
- 12 TALKED TO THE BOARD FROM THIS SIDE OF THE
- 13 MICROPHONE, SO.
- 14 THE AB 117 CONTRACT SUPPORT IS -- IT'S
- 15 PART OF A TRIAD, IF YOU WILL. THE REPORT TO THE
- 16 LEGISLATURE AND THE GOVERNOR'S GOING TO BE
- 17 GENERATED FROM THREE SOURCES. ONE OF THEM IS
- 18 COMMENTS THAT WE'VE GOT FROM THE STAKEHOLDERS,
- 19 AND OVER THE PAST FEW MONTHS WE HAVE HAD SEVEN
- 20 MEETINGS WITH STAKEHOLDERS, WRITTEN INPUTS, AND
- 21 WE HAVE A RATHER SUBSTANTIAL INFORMATION THAT
- 22 WILL GO INTO THE REPORT.
- 23 WHAT WE DON'T HAVE YET IS AN
- 24 EVALUATION OF OUR CURRENT PROGRAMS, AND AN
- 25 EVALUATION OF WHAT'S GOING ON IN OTHER STATES. IT'S

- 1 SORT OF A -- ONE OF THEM IS, IF IT AIN'T BROKE, DON'T FIX
- 2 IT -- THAT'S LOOKING AT OUR OWN PROGRAMS TO SEE
- 3 WHERE WE'VE HAD SUCCESSES AND FAILURES. AND THE
- 4 OTHER IS, DON'T REINVENT THE WHEEL. IF OTHER STATES
- 5 HAVE TRIED SOMETHING AND IT'S WORKED, WE CAN STEAL
- 6 IT. IF THEY'VE TRIED SOMETHING AND IT HASN'T WORKED,
- 7 WE DON'T WANT IT. SO, THIS CONTRACT DEALS WITH THE
- 8 LATTER TWO, THE EVALUATION OF OUR OWN PROGRAMS,
- 9 AND LOOKING AT EXPERIENCES FROM OTHER STATES.
- 10 THERE ARE BASICALLY THREE TASKS
- 11 ASSOCIATED WITH THIS FROM AB 117. ONE IS EVALUATION
- 12 OF THE COMPONENTS OF OUR CURRENT WASTE TIRE
- 13 PROGRAM. THE SECOND ONE IS, BENCHMARK OUR
- 14 PROGRAMS AGAINST PROGRAMS OF OTHER STATES. AND
- 15 THE FINAL ONE IS, THE DEVELOPMENT OF ADDITIONAL
- 16 OPTIONS.
- 17 WHEN WE WORKED WITH THE
- 18 STAKEHOLDERS WE DEVELOPED A WHOLE SERIES OF
- 19 OPTIONS OF THINGS WE COULD DO, DIFFERENT ASPECTS
- 20 ABOUT THE PROGRAM.
- 21 WHAT WE'LL DO WHEN WE'VE FINISHED
- 22 LOOKING AT THESE TWO SECTIONS -- OUR CURRENT
- 23 PROGRAMS AND WHAT OTHER STATES HAVE DONE -- WE'LL
- 24 HAVE ADDITIONAL OPTIONS TO LOOK AT.
- 25 I CAN GO INTO MORE DEPTH LATER IF YOU

- 1 WANT. BUT I'LL PASS IT ON OVER FOR DISCUSSION OF THE
- 2 OTHER PART OF THE CONTRACT.
- 3 MR. CASTLE: GOOD MORNING, CHAIRMAN
- 4 PENNINGTON, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD. MY NAME IS
- 5 RICHARD CASTLE FROM THE FINANCIAL ASSURANCES
- 6 SECTION.
- 7 AND THE OTHER PORTION OF THE
- 8 CONTRACT PROPOSAL IS FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF AB
- 9 715. THIS LEGISLATION PROVIDES THE BOARD WITH THE
- 10 ABILITY TO DETERMINE THE CRITERIA ESTABLISHING
- 11 STANDARD FOR REVIEW AS TO ADEQUACY OF THE
- 12 INSURANCE PROVIDED BY CAPTIVE INSURANCE CARRIERS
- 13 OR SOLID WASTE LANDFILLS --
- 14 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: YES?
- 15 MEMBER ROBERTI: MAY I ASK A QUESTION?
- 16 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: CERTAINLY,
- 17 SENATOR.
- 18 MEMBER: THESE SEEM TO BE RELATIVELY
- 19 UNRELATED ITEMS. WHY ARE THEY IN ONE CONTRACT?
- 20 MS. ZWARTS: AGAIN, I BELIEVE THAT THE
- 21 CONTRACT WHICH WE'RE PROPOSING CAN PROVIDE THE
- 22 OUTSIDE EXPERTISE ON BOTH THOSE ASPECTS. I KNOW
- 23 THAT THEY ARE UNIQUE, BUT IN TERMS OF THE
- 24 CONTRACTOR, THEY CAN PROVIDE BOTH THE FINANCIAL
- 25 AS WELL AS THE PERFORMANCE EVALUATION EXPERTISE

- 1 THAT WE DO NOT PRESENTLY HAVE HERE ON STAFF.
- 2 MEMBER ROBERTI: ONE IS THE EFFICIENCY OF
- 3 THE WASTE TIRE PROGRAM. THE OTHER IS ANOTHER
- 4 PLANET. DISCUSSING THE RISK, OUR RISK OF CAPTIVE
- 5 INSURANCE. I MEAN, MAYBE IT COMPUTES SOMEWHERE,
- 6 BUT I HAVEN'T HEARD IT. IT JUST DOESN'T COMPUTE IN MY
- 7 MIND.
- 8 MS. ZWARTS: AGAIN, I BELIEVE THAT THE
- 9 SUBJECT MATTER MAY BE A BIT DIFFERENT, BUT THE
- 10 SCALE THAT THE CONTRACTOR WILL BE PROVIDING US, IN
- 11 TERMS OF EXPERTISE AND INDEPENDENT AUDIT IS THE
- 12 SAME FROM THE SAME CONTRACTOR, THAT'S WHY THEY
- 13 WERE PUT TOGETHER.
- 14 MEMBER ROBERTI: IT'S THE SAME
- 15 CONTRACTOR, SO THAT'S WHY THEY PUT THEM TOGETHER.
- MS. ZWARTS: IT'S THE SAME CONTRACT, YEAH,
- 17 AND THE SAME CONTRACTOR, JUST DOING DIFFERENT
- 18 TASKS.
- 19 PEAT MARWICK HAS A WHOLE RANGE OF
- 20 SERVICES, FROM ACCOUNTING TO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, TO
- 21 A WHOLE RANGE OF SERVICES.
- 22 MEMBER ROBERTI: WELL, MAYBE WE SHOULD
- 23 HAVE THE SAME CONTRACTOR. BUT I THINK THAT
- 24 CONTRACTOR SHOULD BE EVALUATED TWO SEPARATE
- 25 TIMES FOR TWO, WHAT STRIKES ME AS, EXTREMELY

- 1 DIFFERENT TASKS.
- 2 MEMBER RHOADS: MR. CHAIRMAN?
- 3 MEMBER ROBERTI: NOW, I MEAN, I'M NEW HERE,
- 4 SO I COULD BE TOTALLY WRONG. BUT, I MEAN, THAT'S
- 5 HOW IT STRIKES ME.
- 6 MS. ZWARTS: AND YOU ARE CORRECT, THEY
- 7 ARE DIFFERENT TASKS. BUT, AGAIN, IT'S THE SAME BASIC
- 8 TYPE OF WORK THAT'LL BE DONE BY THE CONTRACTOR, AN
- 9 INDEPENDENT AUDIT EXPERTISE THAT WE DON'T HAVE
- 10 HERE AT THE BOARD. AND THAT'S WHY, AGAIN, THOSE ARE
- 11 PUT TOGETHER. BUT, YOU'RE RIGHT, THEY ARE UNIQUE,
- 12 THE TWO PIECES OF LEGISLATION.
- 13 MEMBER RHOADS: THIS IS NOT SOMETHING
- 14 THAT WENT OUT FOR AN RFP. I MEAN, THIS IS -- IF I'M
- 15 READING THIS RIGHT, THE STATE HAS A LIST OF -- CALLED
- 16
- 17 MS. ZWARTS: THE CMAS. INDEED.
- 18 MEMBER RHOADS: -- CMAS CONTRACT. AND AS
- 19 AN AGENCY WE ARE ABLE TO TAKE COMPANIES OFF OF
- 20 THAT LIST AND SET UP CONTRACTS WITH THEM IN A VERY
- 21 SHORT PROCESS, SO THAT -- IN ORDER TO MEET THEIR
- 22 NEEDS. AND THEN THE GENERAL SERVICES APPROVES THIS
- 23 LIST BEFOREHAND.
- 24 AND I'M GUESSING WHAT YOU DID, YOU
- 25 SAW TWO NEEDS, AND YOU SAW -- INSTEAD OF GOING

- 1 THROUGH AND GIVING US TWO SEPARATE PROPOSALS YOU
- 2 JUST SAID, WELL, I'LL JUST LUMP THESE TWO TOGETHER,
- 3 AS OPPOSED TO GIVING US --
- 4 MS. ZWARTS: YES. AND THEY ALSO HAD THE
- 5 SIMILAR TIME FRAMES.
- 6 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: MR. FRAZEE.
- 7 MR. CHANDLER: WELL, MR. CHAIRMAN, I THINK
- 8 MR. RHOADS IS CORRECT, BUT CERTAINLY THERE'S TWO
- 9 WAYS WE COULD EXECUTE THIS WORK. ONE IS--AS MS.
- 10 ZWARTS HAS JUST POINTED OUT, AND AS STAFF HAS
- 11 PROPOSED ORIGINALLY -- WHICH WAS TO SIMPLY COMBINE
- 12 THE TWO, ALBEIT INDEPENDENT, WORK EFFORTS HERE
- 13 INTO A SINGLE AGREEMENT.
- 14 HOWEVER, WE COULD JUST AS EASILY --
- 15 SINCE, AS MR. RHOADS POINTS OUT, THEY ARE ALREADY A
- 16 PRESELECTED, STATE-QUALIFIED CONTRACTOR PROVIDING
- 17 EXPERTISE IN THESE AREAS, BIFURCATE THE TWO SCOPES
- 18 OF WORK AND STRUCTURE TWO INDEPENDENT
- 19 AGREEMENTS, PROCESSING THE TWO SEPARATE PIECES OF
- 20 PAPERWORK. AND IT'S MORE OF A MECHANICAL PROCESS,
- 21 BUT IF IT WOULD SUIT THE BOARD TO PROCESS TWO
- 22 SEPARATE AGREEMENTS AROUND THE WORK THAT MR.
- 23 FITZGERALD JUST DESCRIBED, AND MR. CASTLE JUST
- 24 DESCRIBED, WE COULD DO THAT AS WELL. IT'S THE
- 25 PLEASURE OF THE BOARD.

- 1 MEMBER ROBERTI: -- I UNDERSTAND THIS --
- 2 YOU KNOW, I GUESS CMAS ISA PRESELECTED, SO I
- 3 UNDERSTAND THAT. SO I UNDERSTAND THE EFFICIENCY
- 4 OF TIME PUTTING THEM TOGETHER. BUT, I KIND OF THINK
- 5 IT'S A BAD PRECEDENT FOR US TO GET IN A MIND SET, THE
- 6 STAFF AND THE BOARD TO GET IN A MIND SET THAT WE
- 7 COMBINE THESE THINGS.
- 8 BECAUSE, WE'RE ALREADY RUSHING
- 9 THINGS, APPARENTLY, BY GOING THROUGH CMAS. OKAY?
- 10 AND NOW TO DOUBLE-EFFICIENCY OURSELVES, WHICH
- 11 MAY NOT END UP BEING ANY EFFICIENCY AT ALL BECAUSE
- 12 WE'RE MIXING APPLIES AND ORANGES, TO PUT THE TWO
- 13 TOGETHER I THINK JUST MEANS WE'RE CUTTING CORNERS
- 14 TOO FAST. THIS IS MY OWN FEELING.
- 15 AND, SO I'D LIKE TO HAVE THE ASSURANCE
- 16 THE STAFF HAS EVALUATED EACH ONE OF THESE
- 17 SEPARATELY BEFORE I VOTE. AND THEN I MIGHT END UP
- 18 VOTING JUST THE SAME WAY ANYWAY, PROBABLY WILL.
- 19 BUT, I WANT TO HAVE THE FEELING THE STAFF EVALUATED
- 20 THEM SEPARATELY.
- 21 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: HAVE WE DONE THIS
- 22 BEFORE? HAVE WE COMBINED SIMILAR KINDS OF
- 23 CONTRACTS?
- MS. ZWARTS: THAT I'D HAVE TO ASK OUR
- 25 ADMIN, WHICH -- I HAVEN'T DONE TOO MANY CONTRACTS

- 1 --
- 2 MR. CHANDLER: WELL, WE'VE CERTAINLY USED
- 3 THIS MECHANISM. I MEAN, WE'RE THE MASTER OF
- 4 BRINGING THESE KINDS OF CONTRACTS FORWARD. AND I
- 5 WOULD SAY CERTAINLY THERE HAS BEEN A WIDE RANGE
- 6 OF SCOPES, IF YOU WILL, IN THOSE WORK STATEMENTS.
- 7 BUT I'M SURE THIS CHALLENGES THE BREADTH OF THE
- 8 SCOPE OF THOSE WORK STATEMENTS, IN AS MUCH AS
- 9 WE'RE TACKLING IMPLEMENTATION OF TWO PIECES OF
- 10 LEGISLATION, BUT THERE MAY BE THE LAST POINT IN
- 11 WHICH THERE ARE SIMILARITIES.
- 12 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: LET'S HAVE RICHARD
- 13 FINISH HIS PART THERE, AND THEN WE CAN....
- 14 MR. CASTLE: OKAY. LET ME SEE IF I CAN PICK
- 15 UP WHERE I LEFT OFF. AND THEN IF THERE'S ANY
- 16 QUESTIONS, OBVIOUSLY I'LL TRY TO FILL IN THE BLANKS.
- 17 FROM KPMG PEAT MARWICK, THE
- 18 RESEARCH AND ANALYSIS NECESSARY FOR A COMPLETE
- 19 THIRD-PARTY REVIEW OF CAPTIVE INSURER EVALUATION
- 20 STANDARDS, WHICH IS NECESSARY FOR THE BOARD TO
- 21 ADEQUATELY REVIEW CAPTIVE INSURERS FROM
- 22 THROUGHOUT THE NATION, BECAUSE THESE CAPTIVE
- 23 INSURERS ARE NOT GOING TO COME FROM CALIFORNIA,
- 24 THEY'RE GOING TO BE LICENSED OUTSIDE OF CALIFORNIA.
- 25 IT'S NOT AVAILABLE FROM ANY KNOWN

- 1 STATE AGENCY THAT WE KNOW OF AT THIS TIME. AND WE
- 2 SPECIFICALLY CONTACTED THE CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT
- 3 OF INSURANCE IN AN ATTEMPT TO OBTAIN THIS
- 4 INFORMATION. HOWEVER, THE DEPARTMENT OF
- 5 INSURANCE WAS NOT ABLE TO PROVIDE ANY IN-DEPTH
- 6 INFORMATION REGARDING THE LAWS AND PRACTICES OF
- 7 OTHER STATES IN RELATION TO CAPTIVE INSURERS. AND,
- 8 OBVIOUSLY, THAT WAS THE FIRST ROUTE WE WENT, AND
- 9 WE DID NOT GAIN ANYTHING IN THAT PROCESS.
- 10 THE CONTRACT IS INTENDED TO BUILD ON
- 11 SOME PRELIMINARY EVALUATION THAT'S ALREADY BEEN
- 12 DONE OF AB 715, AND ADDITIONAL INFORMATION IS NOW
- 13 NEEDED REGARDING THE IMPACT ALTERNATIVES FOR
- 14 MITIGATING POTENTIAL RISK ASSOCIATED WITH THIS, AND
- 15 APPROPRIATE CRITERIA AND BEST PRACTICES FOR THE
- 16 BOARD'S REVIEW OF THESE CAPTIVE INSURERS, WHICH WE
- 17 DEFINITELY ANTICIPATE HAVING COME TO THE BOARD IN
- 18 JANUARY. THE LEGISLATION WILL BE EFFECTIVE IN
- 19 JANUARY.
- 20 AND THERE'S A NUMBER OF OPERATORS
- 21 ALREADY THAT HAVE HAD CAPTIVE INSURERS FOR THEIR
- 22 CLOSURE AND POST-CLOSURE MAINTENANCE. AND WE
- 23 DEFINITELY EXPECT THEM TO BE BACK REQUESTING THE
- 24 APPROVAL OF THEIR CAPTIVE INSURERS NOW THAT WE
- 25 HAVE THE STATUTORY ABILITY TO DO SO. AND WE NEED

- 1 THE CRITERIA TO MAKE THOSE APPROVALS. THE
- 2 LEGISLATION LAYS OUT SOME BASIC INFORMATION, BUT
- 3 THEN THERE'S ADDITIONAL INFORMATION THAT WE
- 4 BELIEVE WOULD BE NECESSARY TO MAKE A FULL DECISION
- 5 ON THESE.
- 6 AND I CAN ADD A LOT MORE. I DON'T
- 7 KNOW HOW MUCH INFORMATION YOU WANT AT THIS
- 8 POINT, SO.
- 9 MEMBER JONES: MR. CHAIRMAN?
- 10 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: MR. JONES.
- 11 MEMBER JONES: I SEE -- I MEAN, OBVIOUSLY,
- 12 THESE ARE TWO VERY SEPARATE ISSUES. I'VE GOT A
- 13 LITTLE BIT OF A PROBLEM WITH WE'RE ANALYZING ALL
- 14 THE STUFF, BUT THIS BOARD HASN'T MADE ANY POLICY
- 15 DECISIONS BASED ON INFORMATION THAT WAS GIVEN US
- 16 ON AB 715, TO DETERMINE HOW WE ARE GOING TO TREAT
- 17 THAT LEGISLATION.
- 18 YOU KNOW, I MEAN, AS FAR AS THE
- 19 LEGISLATION GOT PASSED, WE FOUND OUT AN AWFUL LOT
- 20 ABOUT CAPTIVE INSURERS IN OTHER STATES SINCE THAT
- 21 LEGISLATION GOT PASSED.
- 22 WE'VE GOT SOME PRETTY MONUMENTAL
- 23 ISSUES, IT WOULD SEEM LIKE, WHEN WE'RE TALKING
- 24 ABOUT -- AND I'M NOT SAYING THAT I'M OPPOSED OR FOR
- 25 THIS, AS MUCH AS I AM CONCERNED ABOUT THE IDEA THAT

- 1 IN SOME STATES IT TAKES A \$100,000 BOND TO BE A
- 2 CAPTIVE INSURER. AND IF A \$100,000 BOND IS THE LIMIT
- 3 OF WHAT IT TAKES TO BE A CAPTIVE INSURER, AND WE'RE
- 4 INSURING, I DON'T KNOW, \$100 MILLION WORTH OF
- 5 POTENTIAL LIABILITY, I THINK WE NEED TO HAVE A
- 6 DISCUSSION ABOUT THAT SO THAT WHEN PEAT MARWICK
- 7 GOES OFF AND STARTS EVALUATING ALL THESE DIFFERENT
- 8 PROGRAMS, WOULDN'T THEY NEED THAT TO KNOW IN
- 9 CERTAIN COMBINATIONS HOW THIS THING MIGHT WORK?
- 10 YOU KNOW, HOW MUCH IS LIQUID? I
- 11 MEAN, HOW MUCH OF THE ASSETS ARE LIQUID, SO IF THERE
- 12 IS A MAJOR DISASTER IT'S ACCESSIBLE? AS OPPOSED TO,
- 13 YOU KNOW, IT'S IN VERMONT, OR IT'S IN NEW HAMPSHIRE,
- 14 IT'S IN WHEREVER THE HECK IT'S AT, AND IT.... YOU KNOW
- 15 WHAT I'M SAYING? WE'RE EVALUATING A PROGRAM, BUT I
- 16 DON'T THINK THERE'S MANY PARAMETERS ABOUT WHAT
- 17 WE'RE THINKING.
- MR. CASTLE: AND IF I MAY, ACTUALLY THAT'S
- 19 WHAT WE WERE LOOKING AT THE CONTRACTOR AT THIS
- 20 POINT TO DO, IS TO BRING US THOSE TYPES OF THINGS FOR
- 21 THE BOARD TO MAKE THE DECISION ON HOW THE BOARD
- 22 WOULD LIKE TO SET UP THE REGULATIONS TO MAKE THIS
- 23 EVALUATION OF CAPTIVE INSURERS.
- 24 THEY WEREN'T GOING TO BRING US AN
- 25 EVALUATION OF CAPTIVE INSURERS AT THIS POINT, BUT

- 1 WHAT INFORMATION IS OUT THERE TO DO THAT
- 2 EVALUATION, SO THAT THE BOARD CAN MAKE THE
- 3 DECISION AS SOON AS POSSIBLE, SO THAT WE CAN GET
- 4 SOME KIND OF CRITERIA IN PLACE, SO THAT WE CAN MAKE
- 5 ADEQUATE DECISIONS WHEN THE CAPTIVE INSURERS COME
- 6 BEFORE US. IT'S NOT TO ACTUALLY LOOK AT ANY SPECIFIC
- 7 INSURER AT THIS TIME, IT'S TO LOOK AT THE DIFFERENT
- 8 REQUIREMENTS IN THE DIFFERENT STATES.
- 9 MEMBER JONES: OKAY. NOW, IS THE --
- 10 BECAUSE ALL THESE PROGRAMS -- NOW, YOU'RE TELLING
- 11 ME THAT ON JANUARY 1ST THEY'RE GOING TO START
- 12 COMING FORWARD ON AB 715 REQUESTS. PROBABLY. AND
- 13 YOU'RE PROBABLY RIGHT.
- 14 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: I THINK THEY
- 15 ALREADY HAVE.
- MR. CASTLE: WELL, AS YOU REMEMBER, WE
- 17 HAVE ONE--
- 18 MEMBER JONES: I KNOW WE'VE GOT WASTE --
- 19 MR. CHANDLER: I UNDERSTAND WE HAVE A
- 20 LETTER FROM, I BELIEVE IT'S REPUBLIC, REGARDING -- IT'S
- 21 REPUBLIC? AND WHAT'S THE REST OF THE NAME OF THE
- 22 COMPANY, ELLEN (PHON), MY MIND IS BLANK AT THIS
- 23 POINT.
- 24 WHAT WAS YOUR QUESTION, RICHARD?
- 25 WE HAVE -- BESIDES WASTE MANAGEMENT,

- 1 WE HAVE VFI, WE HAVE ALLIED WASTE. THEN WE HAVE A
- 2 LETTER FROM ONE OF THE USA WASTE SITES, I'M TRYING
- 3 TO REMEMBER THE NAME OF THE SITE THAT -- ITS
- 4 CHIQUITA LANDFILL THAT WAS --
- 5 MEMBER JONES: THAT'S A REPUBLIC SITE NOW.
- 6 MR. CASTLE: YES, REPUBLIC IS COMING
- 7 FORWARD, AND THEY'VE IDENTIFIED THAT THEY WOULD
- 8 PROBABLY USE CAPTIVE INSURANCE ALSO. SO, THAT'S
- 9 FOUR MAJOR OPERATORS, NOT FOUR FACILITIES, THAT
- 10 WOULD BE COMING FORWARD VERY SOON.
- MR. CHANDLER: YOU KNOW, IF I CAN REFRESH
- 12 YOUR MEMORY, WE DID HAVE SOME INTERNAL BRIEFINGS
- 13 BACK IN OCTOBER, MR. JONES. AND IF YOU RECALL, THE
- 14 BOARD IS REQUIRED TO BASICALLY MAKE ITS DECISIONS
- 15 AROUND BASIC CRITERIA THAT WOULD ALLOW THE BOARD
- 16 TO APPROVE CAPTIVES THAT DEAL WITH FINANCIAL
- 17 STRENGTH. CERTAINLY THE ADEQUACY OF THE LICENSING
- 18 REQUIREMENTS. AND, OF COURSE, THERE'S ADDITIONAL
- 19 ROOM FOR US TO MAKE MORE POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS
- 20 ON ANY REGULATIONS THAT MIGHT GOVERN THIS
- 21 PROGRAM.
- 22 WHAT RICHARD'S JUST DESCRIBED IS FOR
- 23 US TO GET AN OVERVIEW OF WHAT ARE THE LICENSING
- 24 REQUIREMENTS IN MANY STATES AROUND THE COUNTRY
- 25 THAT DO ALLOW CAPTIVES, SO THE BOARD CAN THEN

- 1 MAKE ITS OWN DECISION AS TO WHAT LEVEL OF REVIEW
- 2 OR LICENSING REQUIREMENTS DO WE WANT FOR THE
- 3 STATE OF CALIFORNIA.
- 4 IN ADDITION, WE NEED TO LOOK AT THE
- 5 FINANCIAL STRENGTH OF THESE CAPTIVES, OF WHICH ARE
- 6 GOING TO VARY AS THEY COME IN FOR APPLICATION,
- 7 WHAT CRITERIA DO WE WANT TO APPLY FOR THESE
- 8 APPLICANTS RELATIVE TO THE KIND OF FINANCIAL
- 9 STRENGTH WE WOULD EXPECT TO SEE ON AN APPLICATION.
- 10 AND, LASTLY, SINCE THE LEGISLATION
- 11 LEFT OPEN ADDITIONAL POLICY ROOM FOR THE BOARD --
- 12 WELL, WHEN WE ADD CONDITIONS TO STRENGTHEN, IF
- 13 NECESSARY, TO PROTECT THE PUBLIC'S HEALTH AND
- 14 SAFETY, WHAT ADDITIONAL POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS
- 15 WE MAY WANT TO CRAFT INTO OUR ULTIMATE
- 16 REGULATIONS.
- 17 SO, YOU KNOW, THIS WORK IS
- 18 TIME-CRITICAL, AND ONE IN WHICH I WOULD LIKE TO SEE
- 19 IT BUILD ON EARLIER WORK WE'VE ALREADY BRIEFED MR.
- 20 JONES ON. I UNDERSTAND THAT PART. THIS CONTRACT
- 21 WOULD PUT, WOULD ACCUMULATE THAT INFORMATION SO
- 22 WE WOULD PICK AND CHOOSE WHAT PIECES WORK AND
- 23 WHAT PIECES DON'T WORK, AND THIS IS ON OUR TIME
- 24 BECAUSE THE LEGISLATION SAID UP TO \$10,000, OR ANY
- 25 AGREED-UPON FIGURE ABOVE THAT, WHERE THE

- 1 OPERATOR AND THE BOARD AGREES THAT IT'S GOING TO
- 2 COST MORE MONEY TO DO THE EVALUATION.
- 3 THIS MONEY IS JUST TO-- SO THAT WE
- 4 HAVE OUR FACTS. THIS ISN'T ANY OF THE MONEY -- OR,
- 5 ANY OF THE WORK THAT WOULD GO INTO THE SPECIFIC
- 6 EVALUATIONS FOR ANY OF THESE COMPONENTS. IS THAT
- 7 RIGHT?
- 8 MR. CHANDLER: THAT'S CORRECT. THE
- 9 STATUTE, FOR EXAMPLE, REQUIRES AN A-MINUS RATING
- 10 FROM AM BEST. HOW FREQUENTLY DO WE WANT TO GET
- 11 THAT RATING THAT WE NEED TO MAKE DECISIONS
- 12 AROUND, OR WHAT KIND OF REPORTING AROUND THAT AM
- 13 BEST RATING IS APPROPRIATE, AND HOW DOES THAT --
- 14 HOW IS THAT GOING TO BE HANDLED?
- 15 CERTAINLY, THE COST OF GOING OUT AND
- 16 GETTING AN AM BEST RATING IS SOMETHING THAT -- THE
- 17 STATUTE ALLOWS WILL BE BORNE BY THE INDUSTRY. BUT
- 18 THE CRITERIA THAT WE MAY WANT TO USE RELATIVE TO
- 19 FREQUENCY OF REPORTING, AS I SAID, THE ADDITIONAL
- 20 POLICY CONSIDERATIONS IS ALL WORK THAT IS STILL YET
- 21 TO BE COMPLETED.
- 22 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY.
- MR. CHANDLER: AND SO, MR. CHAIRMAN, IF IT
- 24 WOULD BE THE PLEASURE OF THE BOARD, I WOULD JUST AS
- 25 EASILY, AS I SAID, SEPARATE THIS -- THESE TWO SUBJECT

- 1 AREAS INTO TWO SEPARATE AGREEMENTS IF THAT'S THE
- 2 PLEASURE OF THE BOARD, AND HAVE A SINGLE CONTRACT
- 3 DEALING WITH THE IMPLEMENTATION OF 117 AND THAT
- 4 KIND OF FINANCIAL ANALYSIS OVER THE PERFORMANCE OF
- 5 OUR PROGRAMS IN THE TIRE ARENA, USING TIRE FUNDS, OF
- 6 COURSE. AND THEN A SEPARATE AGREEMENT THAT
- 7 WOULD DEAL WITH THE CAPTIVE INSURANCE REVIEW,
- 8 AGAIN UTILIZING THE MECHANISM THAT THE STATE
- 9 PROVIDES THROUGH THE MASTER SERVICES AGREEMENT.
- 10 MEMBER ROBERTI MR. CHAIRMAN?
- 11 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: SENATOR?
- 12 SENATOR ROBERTI: YES. I TEND TO THINK --
- 13 OBVIOUSLY, THE ANALYSIS ON THIS HAS ALREADY TAKEN
- 14 PLACE, SO -- WHICH IS FINE. AND, HOWEVER, I THINK FOR
- 15 MY OWN VOTE I WOULD PREFER TWO SEPARATE
- 16 AGREEMENTS, EVEN THOUGH THE ANALYSIS HAS ALREADY
- 17 TAKEN PLACE, AS A SORT OF SELF-DISCIPLINE FOR US,
- 18 THAT IN THE FUTURE WE ANALYZE THESE THINGS IF IT
- 19 SHOULD COME UP. AND I'M SURE LEGISLATION
- 20 (INDISCERNIBLE) AFFECTING US (INDISCERNIBLE) WITH US
- 21 TO REPORT BACK ON--WITH ANALYSES. THAT WE JUST
- 22 MAKE SEPARATE ANALYSES EACH TIME. SO, IT'S OUR OWN
- 23 SELF-DISCIPLINE, NO TIME BETTER THAN THE PRESENT.
- 24 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: ALL RIGHT. IF WE
- 25 SPLIT THEM WILL WE BE ABLE TO STAY ON TRACK WITH

- 1 THE TIRE REPORT?
- 2 MS. ZWARTS: I BELIEVE THAT THE BOARD
- 3 WOULD APPROVE THE CONTRACT, BUT THEN DIRECT STAFF
- 4 TO GO BACK AND REDRAFT THE SCOPE OF WORK, I
- 5 BELIEVE. ISN'T THAT WHAT THE -- AND DO A SEPARATE
- 6 CONTRACT FOR EACH, THAT THAT IS WHAT THE BOARD IS
- 7 REQUESTING.
- 8 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: RIGHT.
- 9 MS. ZWARTS: AND I BELIEVE WE COULD, IF THE
- 10 BOARD APPROVES THAT.
- 11 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY.
- MS. ZWARTS: AND THEN JUST, LIKE I SAID,
- 13 DIRECT STAFF TO REWRITE THE CONTENTS OF THE AGENDA
- 14 ITEM TO TWO SEPARATE CONTRACTS.
- 15 MEMBER JONES: WE COULD DO THAT IN A
- 16 RESOLUTION NOW --
- 17 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: WELL, IN A MOTION
- 18 NOW, YES.
- 19 MEMBER JONES: YEAH, AND THE MOTION
- 20 SAYING 50 AND 80.
- 21 MALE VOICE: RIGHT.
- 22 MEMBER JONES: THAT WORKS.
- 23 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. ANYONE
- 24 WANT TO TRY A MOTION, OR DO YOU WANT ME TO? WELL,
- 25 OKAY, I'LL MOVE THAT THE BOARD ADOPT THE CONTRACT

- 1 CONCEPT AND SCOPE OF WORK AS APPLIES TO THE AB 117
- 2 PORTION OF THE AGENDA ITEM. I RECOMMEND APPROVAL
- 3 OF THE SCOPE OF WORK UNDER THE CMAS AGREEMENT
- 4 WITH PEAT MARWICK FOR AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED
- 5 \$50,000 FROM THE TIRE RECYCLING MANAGEMENT FUND
- 6 FOR WASTE TIRE ACTIVITIES. SPECIFICALLY, THIS MEANS
- 7 APPROVAL OF TASKS ONE, TWO AND THREE AS ITEMIZED IN
- 8 THE ATTACHED STATEMENT OF WORK. AND THEN THE
- 9 OTHER PORTION WOULD BE SEPARATED OUT AND HANDLED
- 10 AS A SECOND CONTRACT.
- 11 MS. ZWARTS: AND THAT'S TASKS FOUR
- 12 THROUGH, I BELIEVE, NINE.
- 13 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: ALL RIGHT, FOUR.
- 14 THROUGH NINE. RIGHT.
- 15 MEMBER EATON: I'LL SECOND THAT MOTION.
- 16 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. IS THERE ANY
- 17 DISCUSSION ON THAT? OKAY. IF NOT, THE CHAIR MOVES
- 18 AND MR. EATON SECONDS THE SPLIT MOTION.
- 19 WOULD THE SECRETARY CALL THE ROLL?
- 20 THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER EATON?
- 21 MEMBER EATON: AYE.
- 22 THE SECRETARY: FRAZEE?
- MEMBER FRAZEE: AYE.
- 24 THE SECRETARY: JONES?
- 25 MEMBER JONES: AYE.

- 1 THE SECRETARY: RHOADS?
- 2 MEMBER RHOADS: AYE.
- 3 THE SECRETARY: ROBERTI?
- 4 MEMBER ROBERTI: AYE.
- 5 THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON?
- 6 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: AYE.
- 7 MOTION CARRIES.
- 8 WE'LL MOVE TO ITEM 18,
- 9 CONSIDERATION OF APPOINTMENT/RENEWAL OF TWO
- 10 LOAN COMMITTEE MEMBERS FOR THE RECYCLING
- 11 MARKET DEVELOPMENT LOAN PROGRAM.
- 12 MS. TRGOVCICH: I'M CAREN TRGOVCICH,
- 13 DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF THE WASTE PREVENTION AND
- 14 MARKET DEVELOPMENT DIVISION.
- 15 I WILL MAKE THIS ITEM VERY BRIEF,
- 16 SINCE I PROVIDED YOU WITH AN OVERVIEW OF THE
- 17 PROGRAM PRIOR TO ITEM NUMBER 19. ONCE AGAIN,
- 18 THIS ITEM IS CONSIDERATION OF THE REAPPOINTMENT
- 19 OF TWO OF OUR LOAN COMMITTEE MEMBERS.
- 20 AS I STATED, THE LOAN COMMITTEE IS
- 21 COMPRISED OF NINE MEMBERS WHO ARE REPRESENTED
- 22 BOTH WITH THE GEOGRAPHICAL DISTRIBUTION, AS
- 23 WELL AS A DISTRIBUTION BETWEEN PUBLIC AND
- 24 PRIVATE LENDING EXPERIENCE.
- 25 THE TWO MEMBERS THAT WE ARE

- 1 REQUESTING BE REAPPOINTED ARE MICHAEL MCCRAW,
- 2 HE REPRESENTS THE SOUTHERN REGION OF
- 3 CALIFORNIA, AND HE REPRESENTS PUBLIC LENDING
- 4 INTERESTS. SECONDLY, WE ARE RECOMMENDING
- 5 REAPPOINTMENT OF LUPE VELA. LUPE REPRESENTS,
- 6 ONCE AGAIN, THE SOUTHERN REGION OF THE STATE
- 7 AND PUBLIC LENDING INTERESTS.
- 8 THERE IS A THIRD POSITION THAT WE
- 9 WILL BE BRINGING BACK TO YOU. IF YOU LOOK ON
- 10 PAGE 18-5 OF YOUR PACKAGE YOU WILL SEE THAT
- 11 THERE IS AN OPEN POSITION. WE ARE CURRENTLY
- 12 RECRUITING FOR THAT OPEN POSITION, AND THAT
- 13 WILL BRING ANOTHER PRIVATE LENDER ON BOARD TO
- 14 THE LOAN COMMITTEE.
- 15 BUT, THIS ITEM SEEKS APPROVAL OR
- 16 CONSIDERATION OF REAPPOINTMENT OF MICHAEL
- 17 MCCRAW AND LUPE VELA.
- 18 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. ANY
- 19 QUESTIONS ON ANY OF THE -- EITHER OF THESE
- 20 INDIVIDUALS?
- 21 MEMBER JONES: THEY'RE RE-UPPING.
- 22 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: RE-UPPING.
- 23 MEMBER JONES: MR. CHAIRMAN, I'D LIKE
- 24 TO MAKE A MOTION TO ADOPT RESOLUTION 98-3 83.
- 25 MEMBER FRAZEE: I'LL SECOND.

- 1 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. IT'S BEEN
- 2 MOVED BY MR. JONES, SECONDED BY MR. FRAZEE, THE
- 3 ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION 98-3 83.
- 4 IF THERE'S NO FURTHER DISCUSSION,
- 5 WILL THE SECRETARY CALL THE ROLL?
- THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER EATON?
- 7 MEMBER EATON: AYE.
- 8 THE SECRETARY: FRAZEE?
- 9 MEMBER FRAZEE: AYE.
- 10 THE SECRETARY: JONES?
- 11 MEMBER JONES: AYE.
- 12 THE SECRETARY: RHOADS?
- 13 MEMBER RHOADS: AYE.
- 14 THE SECRETARY: ROBERTI?
- 15 MEMBER ROBERTI: AYE.
- 16 THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON?
- 17 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: AYE.
- 18 MOTION CARRIES.
- 19 WE DID ITEM 19. WE'LL MOVE TO ITEM
- 20 23, CONSIDERATION OF APPROVAL TO BEGIN THE 45-DAY
- 21 COMMENT PERIOD FOR REGULATIONS PERTAINING
- 22 TO FEDERAL SUBTITLE D FLEXIBILITIES FOR
- 23 MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE LANDFILLS RECEIVING 20
- 24 TONS OR LESS PER DAY. JULIE NAUMAN.
- MS. NAUMAN: GOOD AFTERNOON MR.

- 1 CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS.
- 2 SADIE GALOS WILL MAKE
- 3 THE PRESENTATION. AND IN THE INTEREST OF
- 4 GIVING YOU SOME CONTEXT ON THIS, SHE'LL GIVE
- 5 YOU A LITTLE BACKGROUND ON SUBTITLE D
- 6 FLEXIBILITIES. WHILE WE'RE ONLY ASKING FOR THE
- 7 45-DAY COMMENT PERIOD, WE THOUGHT IT WOULD BE
- 8 USEFUL TO SPEND A LITTLE TIME EXPLAINING TO YOU
- 9 THE CONTEXT OF SUBTITLE D.
- 10 MS. GALOS: YES. GOOD AFTERNOON,
- 11 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON, AND BOARD MEMBERS.
- 12 FIRST I'D LIKE TO MAKE A CORRECTION
- 13 ON ATTACHMENT 1, AND THAT'S.... ON THE FIRST
- 14 LINE OF THE TITLE PLEASE DELETE "EMERGENCY."
- 15 TO GIVE YOU SOME CONTEXT, I'D LIKE
- 16 TO GIVE YOU A BRIEF BACKGROUND OF HOW WE GOT TO
- 17 THIS POINT.
- 18 IN OCTOBER OF 1991 NEW FEDERAL
- 19 REGULATIONS, KNOWN AS SUBTITLE D OF TITLE 40 OF
- 20 THE CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS, REQUIRED
- 21 MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE LANDFILLS TO COMPLY WITH
- 22 NEW FEDERAL STANDARDS. SUBTITLE D REQUIRED A
- 23 NUMBER OF CHANGES IN THE WAY LANDFILLS ARE
- 24 DESIGNED, OPERATED, AND ULTIMATELY CLOSED.
- 25 VARIOUS ALTERNATIVES WERE INCLUDED FOR

- 1 QUALIFYING SMALL LANDFILLS WHERE STATE PROGRAMS
- 2 ARE APPROVED BY U.S. EPA.
- 3 IN SEPTEMBER OF 1993 THE BOARD
- 4 ADOPTED REGULATIONS TO OBTAIN U.S. EPA-APPROVED
- 5 STATE STATUS, AND BECAME AN APPROVED STATE IN
- 6 OCTOBER OF 1993. CALIFORNIA THEN HAD THE
- 7 AUTHORITY TO IMPLEMENT THE FULL FLEXIBILITY
- 8 ALLOWED FOR COMPLIANCE WITH THE FEDERAL
- 9 REGULATIONS.
- 10 NEW SUBTITLE D REGULATION BECAME
- 11 EFFECTIVE ON OCTOBER 27, 1997. THEY ALLOW THE
- 12 DIRECTOR OF AN APPROVED STATE TO ESTABLISH
- 13 ALTERNATIVE FREQUENCIES FOR DAILY COVER AND
- 14 EXPLOSIVE GAS MONITORING.
- 15 THESE ALTERNATIVES APPLY ONLY TO
- 16 SMALL MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE LANDFILLS THAT
- 17 RECEIVE 20 TONS OR LESS PER DAY, BASED ON AN
- 18 ANNUAL AVERAGE.
- 19 ON APRIL 29TH OF THIS YEAR, THE
- 20 BOARD ADOPTED EMERGENCY REGULATIONS TO
- 21 IMPLEMENT THIS FLEXIBILITY IN CALIFORNIA. THE
- 22 REGULATIONS WERE APPROVED BY THE OFFICE OF
- 23 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW AND BECAME EFFECTIVE ON
- 24 OCTOBER 28TH. THEY ARE EFFECTIVE FOR 120 DAYS,
- 25 UNTIL FEBRUARY 25TH, 1999.

- 1 AS A FOLLOW-UP TO THE EMERGENCY
- 2 REGULATIONS, AND TO CONTINUE TO ALLOW
- 3 ALTERNATIVES FOR DAILY COVER AND QUARTERLY GAS
- 4 MONITORING FOR SMALL LANDFILL OPERATORS, THIS
- 5 ITEM IS BEFORE THE BOARD FOR CONSIDERATION OF
- 6 APPROVAL OF FORMAL NOTICE OF THE PERMANENT
- 7 REGULATIONS TO THE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE
- 8 LAW.
- 9 AS SHOWN ON ATTACHMENT 1, PAGE 23-5
- 10 OF YOUR PACKET, THE PROPOSED TITLE 27
- 11 REGULATION CHANGES ARE THE SAME AS THE
- 12 EMERGENCY REGULATIONS.
- 13 SECTION 20060, APPLICABILITY OF
- 14 FEDERAL SUBTITLE D RELATED STANDARDS TO SMALL
- 15 LANDFILLS, IS AMENDED TO ADD SUBSECTION (B.)
- 16 IN SECTION 20680, DAILY AND
- 17 INTERMEDIATE COVER, SUBSECTIONS (A) AND (C)
- 18 ARE AMENDED, AND SUBSECTION (F) IS ADDED.
- 19 IN SECTION 20919.5, EXPLOSIVE
- 20 GASSES CONTROL, SUBSECTION (B-2) IS AMENDED,
- 21 AND SUBSECTION (F) IS ADDED.
- 22 STAFF RECOMMEND THAT THE BOARD
- 23 APPROVE FORMAL NOTICE OF PROPOSED REGULATIONS
- 24 WITH THE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW.
- 25 AND THIS CONCLUDES MY PRESENTATION.

- 1 ARE THERE ANY QUESTIONS OF STAFF?
- 2 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. QUESTIONS?
- 3 IF NOT, I'LL ENTERTAIN A MOTION.
- 4 MEMBER JONES: MR. CHAIRMAN, I'LL MAKE
- 5 A MOTION THAT WE PUT THIS FORWARD TO START THE
- 6 45 DAYS, AND NOTIFY THEM -- I'M LOOKING FOR THE
- 7 RIGHT--
- 8 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: WELL, HOW ABOUT
- 9 IF YOU SAY APPROVE THE 45-DAY COMMENT PERIOD
- 10 FOR THE REGULATIONS PERTAINING TO THE FEDERAL
- 11 SUBTITLE D FLEXIBILITY FOR MUNICIPAL SOLID
- 12 WASTE LANDFILLS RECEIVING 20 TONS OR LESS PER
- 13 DAY?
- 14 MEMBER JONES: OKAY.
- 15 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: I'LL SECOND IT.
- 16 I'LL SECOND YOUR MOTION.
- 17 MR. JONES MOVES, THE CHAIRMAN
- 18 SECONDS, THE ADOPTION OF THE RESOLUTION TO MOVE
- 19 A 45-DAY COMMENT PERIOD.
- 20 AND IF THERE'S NO FURTHER
- 21 DISCUSSION, WILL THE SECRETARY CALL THE ROLL?
- THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER EATON?
- MEMBER EATON: AYE.
- 24 THE SECRETARY: FRAZEE?
- 25 MEMBER FRAZEE: AYE.

- 1 THE SECRETARY: JONES?
- 2 MEMBER JONES: AYE.
- 3 THE SECRETARY: RHOADS?
- 4 MEMBER RHOADS: AYE.
- 5 THE SECRETARY: ROBERTI?
- 6 MEMBER ROBERTI: AYE.
- 7 THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON?
- 8 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: AYE.
- 9 ITEM 24, CONSIDERATION AND APPROVAL
- 10 OF THE 1999 ANNUAL RULEMAKING CALENDAR. PATTY
- 11 ZWARTS AGAIN.
- 12 MS. ZWARTS: HELLO AGAIN, CHAIRMAN
- 13 PENNINGTON, AND BOARD MEMBERS. PATTY ZWARTS
- 14 WITH THE OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE AND EXTERNAL
- 15 AFFAIRS.
- 16 BEFORE YOU TODAY, WE HAVE GOT THE
- 17 1999 ANNUAL RULEMAKING CALENDAR, WHICH 23 REG
- 18 PACKAGES ARE BEFORE YOU FOR YOUR CONSIDERATION.
- 19 THIS IS SOMETHING THAT ALL STATE
- 20 AGENCIES DO EVERY YEAR AS A MEANS OF NOTICING
- 21 THE PUBLIC ON THOSE REGULATIONS THAT THEY ARE
- 22 CONSIDERING DOING. IT DOESN'T, CERTAINLY,
- 23 LIMIT THE BOARD TO DOING OR NOT DOING ANY OF
- 24 THESE REGS. BUT, AGAIN, THERE IS A REQUIREMENT
- 25 TO PUT THOSE ON THE PUBLIC NOTICE.

- 1 I HAVE CHRIS PECK HERE WITH ME
- 2 TODAY WHO WILL PRESENT IN MORE DETAIL WHAT IS
- 3 IN THE ITEM THAT IS BEFORE YOU TODAY.
- 4 MR. PECK: GOOD AFTERNOON, MR. CHAIRMAN,
- 5 BOARD MEMBERS, CHRIS PECK WITH THE LEGISLATIVE
- 6 AND EXTERNAL AFFAIRS OFFICE.
- 7 AS PATTY MENTIONED, THE GOVERNMENT
- 8 CODE ESSENTIALLY REQUIRES THE BOARD TO ADOPT A
- 9 CALENDAR OF THOSE RULEMAKING ACTIVITIES IT
- 10 EXPECTS TO UNDERTAKE DURING THE FOLLOWING
- 11 CALENDAR YEAR, AND SUBMIT THAT TO THE OFFICE OF
- 12 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW, OAL, BY THE END OF JANUARY
- 13 EACH YEAR.
- 14 STAFF HAS IDENTIFIED TWO PROPOSED
- 15 REGULATIONS THAT ARE DRIVEN BY RECENTLY-ENACTED
- 16 STATUTES, THAT IS STATUTES ENACTED THIS YEAR,
- 17 AND THOSE ARE IDENTIFIED IN WHAT'S REFERRED TO
- 18 AS OAL AS SCHEDULE A. THOSE TWO ITEMS BEING
- 19 THE RECYCLED CONTENT PLASTIC TRASH BAGS
- 20 RULEMAKING, AND POTENTIAL REGULATIONS AT LEAST
- 21 RELATED TO AB 715, THE CAPTIVE INSURANCE
- 22 PROGRAM THAT WAS JUST DISCUSSED UNDER THE CMAS
- 23 CONTRACT.
- 24 IN ADDITION TO THOSE TWO THERE ARE
- 25 21 OTHER RULEMAKING ACTIVITIES, 16 OF WHICH ARE

- 1 CONTINUED FROM LAST YEAR'S RULEMAKING CALENDAR
- 2 FOR THE 1998 RULEMAKING CALENDAR. SO MOST OF
- 3 WHAT YOU SEE ON THE CALENDAR IS ACTUALLY A SORT
- 4 OF SHIFT TIME-WISE OF RULEMAKING ACTIVITIES
- 5 THAT ARE UNDERWAY ALREADY AT THE BOARD. MANY
- 6 OF THOSE THINGS ARE UNDER NOTICE ALREADY, OR
- 7 HAVE BEEN APPROVED BY THE BOARD TO NOTICE.
- 8 I WANTED TO POINT OUT THERE IS ONE
- 9 ADDENDUM TO ATTACHMENT 2. ATTACHMENT 2 IS THE
- 10 PAGE-BY-PAGE SUMMARY OF EACH OF THE PROPOSED
- 11 RULEMAKINGS. THERE WERE TWO THAT WERE
- 12 INADVERTENTLY EXCLUDED, THOSE BEING THE HEARING
- 13 PANEL APPEALS FROM AB 59, AND ALSO THE WASTE
- 14 TIRE MONOFILL REGULATIONS, AND THAT I BELIEVE
- 15 WAS HANDED OUT TO THE BOARD MEMBERS AND
- 16 IDENTIFIED AS AGENDA ITEM 24, ADDENDUM TO
- 17 ATTACHMENT 2.
- 18 THOSE SUMMARY SHEETS ACTUALLY ARE
- 19 PUBLISHED BY OAL IN THE CALIFORNIA REGULATORY
- 20 NOTICE REGISTER, USUALLY AROUND MARCH OR APRIL
- 21 EVERY YEAR. IT'S A VERY FAT PUBLICATION.
- 22 SO, STAFF IS ASKING TODAY, OR
- 23 RECOMMENDING THAT THE BOARD SELECT OPTION A,
- 24 AND THAT IS TO APPROVE THE RULEMAKING CALENDAR
- 25 FOR SUBMITTAL TO OAL. AND YOU CAN DO THAT WITH

- 1 THE ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION 98-403.
- 2 AND I'D BE HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY
- 3 QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS.
- 4 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: ANY QUESTIONS ON
- 5 THIS ONE? QUESTIONS ON THIS ONE?
- 6 THERE DON'T SEEM TO BE, SO I'LL
- 7 ENTERTAIN A MOTION.
- 8 MEMBER FRAZEE: YES, MR. CHAIRMAN, I'D
- 9 MOVE RESOLUTION 98-403 ON THE RULEMAKING
- 10 CALENDAR.
- 11 MEMBER JONES: I SECOND.
- 12 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. IT'S BEEN
- 13 MOVED BY MR. FRAZEE, AND SECONDED BY MR. JONES,
- 14 THE ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION 98-403, TO APPROVE
- 15 THE 1999 RULEMAKING CALENDAR.
- 16 IF THERE'S NO FURTHER DISCUSSION,
- 17 WILL THE SECRETARY CALL THE ROLL?
- THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER EATON?
- 19 MEMBER EATON: AYE.
- THE SECRETARY: FRAZEE?
- 21 MEMBER FRAZEE: AYE.
- 22 THE SECRETARY: JONES?
- 23 MEMBER JONES: AYE.
- 24 THE SECRETARY: RHOADS?
- 25 MEMBER RHOADS: AYE.

1	THE SECRETARY: ROBERTI?
2	MEMBER ROBERTI: AYE.
3	THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON?
4	CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: AYE.
5	THE MOTION CARRIES.
6	THAT CONCLUDES OUR BUSINESS FOR
7	TODAY. IF THERE'S ANYBODY THAT WANTS TO MAKE A
8	LITTLE COMMENT, WE'VE GOT A LITTLE OPEN
9	DISCUSSION RIGHT NOW.
10	IF NOT, WE WILL RECESS UNTIL 9:30
11	TOMORROW MORNING.
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

I, DEANNE MEINBERG, do hereby certify;

That the proceeding was reported by me and was thereafter transcribed by computer under my direction into typewriting.

I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties in the foregoing proceeding and caption named, nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said caption.

Executed January 18, 1999, at San Rafael, California.

Allause Meinberg

Official Reporter